```
0154
 1
               WESTERN INTERIOR FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE
 2
                REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING
 3
 4
                          PUBLIC MEETING
 5
 6
 7
                            VOLUME II
 8
 9
                      Pikes Waterfront Lodge
10
                         October 20, 2022
11
                        Fairbanks, Alaska
12
                            9:04 a.m.
13
14
15
16
    COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
17
18
    Jenny Pelkola, Acting Chairman
19
    Timothy Gervais
20
    Don Honea
    Tommy Kriska
21
22
    Jack Reakoff
23
    Pollock Simon
    Darrell Vent
24
25
    Kevin Whitworth
26
27
28
29
30
31
    Regional Council Coordinator, Nissa Pilcher
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
    Recorded and transcribed by:
42
43
    Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC
44
     329 F Street, Suite 222
45
    Anchorage, AK 99501
46
    907-227-5312/sahile@gci.net
47
48
49
50
```

0155	
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	/=
3	(Fairbanks, Alaska - 10/20/2022)
4 5	(On record)
6	
7	MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, let's get
8	started.
9	
10	MS. PILCHER: Good morning. This is
11	Nissa Pilcher, Council Coordinator for the Western
12 13	Interior Regional Advisory Council. We're going to get going here in a little bit. Those on the phone if we
14	could get actually we'll let Chairwoman Pelkola call
15	the meeting to order and then we'll do a quick
16	introduction of those that are on the phone. All
17	right.
18	
19	MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. I think
20	the meeting was already called to order, but we just
21	reconvened at 9:04. You can do what you have to do.
22	
23	MS. PILCHER: All right. Jack, are you
24	online?
25	MD DEAMORE V. T
26 27	MR. REAKOFF: Yes, I am.
28	MS. PILCHER: All right. So I think we
29	need to do a quick forum check. We do have a forum,
30	but just roll call because we're reconvening for the
31	morning. So Rebecca Wilmarth, were you able to join
32	us?
33	
34	(No comment)
35	
36	MS. PILCHER: Don Honea.
37	
38	MR. HONEA: Here.
39 40	MC DIICHED. Dollogk Cimon Cr
41	MS. PILCHER: Pollock Simon, Sr.
42	MR. P. SIMON: Here.
43	rik. 1. birlow. Hele.
44	MS. PILCHER: Kevin Whitworth.
45	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
46	MR. WHITWORTH: Here.
47	
48	MS. PILCHER: Jack Reakoff. Jack?
49	
50	

```
0156
 1
                     (No comment)
 2
 3
                     MS. PILCHER: He might be having some
 4
    mute problems, but we know he was just there.
 5
 6
                     MR. REAKOFF: I'm here.
 7
 8
                     MS. PILCHER: There he is. Tim
 9
     Gervais.
10
11
                     MR. GERVAIS: Here.
12
13
                     MS. PILCHER: Darrell Vent.
14
15
                     (No comment)
16
17
                     MS. PILCHER: Oh, that's right.
18
     Darrell, were you able to call in?
19
20
                     (No comment)
21
22
                     MS. PILCHER: Jenny Pelkola.
23
24
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Here.
25
26
                     MS. PILCHER: Then Tommy Kriska.
27
28
                     MR. KRISKA: Here.
29
30
                     MS. PILCHER: All right. So if we
31
     could have introductions of those that are online. Are
32
     there any tribal governments that are online this
33
     morning.
34
35
                     (No comment)
36
37
                     MS. PILCHER: Native organizations.
38
39
                     MS. MONCRIEFF: Madame Chair. This is
     Catherine Moncrieff with the Yukon River Drainage
40
41
     Fisheries Association. Good morning.
42
43
                     MS. PILCHER: Good morning. Any
44
     others.
45
46
                     MS. GOSSELIN: Hi, this is Monica
47
    Gosselin for the Americorps for the Tanana Chiefs.
48
49
                     MS. PILCHER: All right. Good morning.
50
```

```
0157
     So if we move too fast, you can always speak up later.
     How about anybody from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife
     Service.
 4
 5
                     MS. FOX: Good morning. This is Joanna
 6
     Fox. I'm a refuge manager for Kanuti National Wildlife
 7
     Refuge.
 8
 9
                     MS. KLEIN: This is Jill Klein,
10
     Regional Subsistence Coordinator out of Anchorage
11
     Regional Office. Good morning.
12
13
                     MR. HARRIS: Good morning. This is
14
     Frank Harris, fisheries biologist with U.S. Fish and
15
     Wildlife Service, Kenai Fisheries Office.
16
17
                     MR. LONG: Good morning. This is Jared
18
    Long, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Officer stationed out of
19
     Fairbanks.
20
21
                     MS. PILCHER: All right. So anyone
22
    from the National Park Service online.
23
24
                     MR. DEACY: Good morning. This is Will
25
     Deacy with National Park Service.
26
27
                     MS. PILCHER: Anyone from BLM online.
28
29
                     MR. MCKEE: Good morning. This is
30
     Chris McKee, Statewide Subsistence Coordinator for BLM
31
     at the State Office in Anchorage.
32
33
                     MS. PILCHER: Good morning, Chris.
34
     Anyone from Alaska Department of Fish and Game online.
35
36
                     MR. CHYTHLOOK: John Chythlook with
37
     ADF&G Sport Fish Division. Good morning.
38
39
                     MS. PILCHER: Good morning, John.
40
41
                     MS. COLEMAN: This is Jesse Coleman
    with Division of Subsistence.
42
43
44
                     MS. PILCHER: All right. Then anyone
45
     from OSM online.
46
47
                     MS. LAVINE: Good morning, Madame
48
     Chair. Members of the Council. This is Robbin Lavine,
49
     Subsistence Policy Coordinator with the Office of
50
```

```
0158
 1
     Subsistence Management here in Anchorage. Hello,
 2
     everybody.
 3
 4
                     MR. UBELAKER: Good morning. This is
 5
    Brian Ubelaker, wildlife biologist with OSM calling
 6
    back in from Anchorage this morning.
 7
 8
                     MR. ROBERTS: Good morning.
                                                  This is
 9
     Jason Roberts, anthropologist with OSM.
10
11
                     MR. AYERS: Scott Ayers, Fisheries
12
     Division Supervisor at OSM. Good morning.
13
14
                     MR. FOLEY: Good morning, Nissa, Madame
15
    Chair and Members of the Council. This is Kevin Foley,
16
    fish biologist with OSM here in Anchorage. Hello
17
    everyone.
18
19
                     MS. PILCHER: All right. That
20
     concludes online introductions.
21
22
                     MR. RISDAHL: Madame Chair. This is
23
    Greg Risdahl. I'm the Subsistence Program Leader for
24
     the U.S. Forest Service and the Interagency Staff
25
    Committee member. Thank you.
26
27
                     MS. PILCHER: Thank you, Greq. I need
    to add that acronym to my list of ones to go over.
28
29
    Apologies for forgetting you again this morning. Is
30
    there anybody else online that wasn't able to introduce
31
    themselves or is representing a member of the public --
32
     or is a member of the public.
33
34
                     MR. PATTERSON: Good morning, everyone.
35
    This is Dillon Patterson with the National Park
36
     Service, Alaska Regional Office, Subsistence Program.
37
    I apologize. I missed the Park Service introduction
38
    earlier.
39
40
                     MS. PILCHER: No worries. We won't
41
    necessarily go around the room again this morning, but
42
    if there's anyone new in the room that would like to
43
    stand up and introduce themselves so people online know
44
    who's here.
45
46
                     MR. CHRISTIAN: Good morning, Madame
47
    Chair. My name is Peter Christian with the National
48
     Park Service, Regional Office in Anchorage. I'll be
49
     talking earlier -- or later today.
```

MR. SEPPI: Good morning. My name is Bruce Seppi. I came in late yesterday. Bruce Seppi with BLM Anchorage Field Office. Thanks.

MS. PILCHER: All right. Thanks. Just as a reminder for those that are in the room if you could please remember to sign in on the sign-in sheet by the front door. We'd really appreciate that as well. Thanks.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Good morning, everyone. Good to see you again. We do have a long agenda today. Hopefully we can get done by 5:00. So we'll try. Right now we're going to go to the non-agenda items. We have Jim Simon, public testimony.

MR. J. SIMON: Thank you. For the record my name is Jim Simon. I'm a consultant with the Tanana Chiefs Conference Tribal Resource Stewardship Program and the Kuskokwim River Intertribal Fish Commission, but I'm just speaking on my own behalf this morning.

I really appreciated the concerns raised by a couple of the Council members about non-salmon and the needs for information and monitoring of those species. There was some discussion about how non-salmon subsistence harvests are documented in the post season salmon household survey programs that the Department of Fish and Game and Partners do on both the Yukon and Kuskokwim.

It's important to recognize -- and I believe Holly mentioned this -- that a lot of those non-salmon harvest opportun -- or harvests occur in the winter. So in order for those post-salmon season surveys to really adequately document non-salmon harvest people would have to remember all the way back to the previous winter and what they harvested.

Caroline Brown did a study like 15-plus years ago in the GASH reason, the Grayling/Anvik/Shageluk/Holy Cross Region, where she was focused specifically on documenting indigenous knowledge about non-salmon as well as harvest, doing household harvest surveys in those four communities. She compared those estimated harvests to the post-season salmon survey estimates and found those lacking. So that's something important to keep in

1 mind.

The projects that I spoke about yesterday, and there's one happening in the Upper Koyukuk, going to be happening, you know, those are just small snapshots in time documenting non-salmon harvest. I really encourage this Council and the Federal Subsistence Program to really think about the needs for annual monitoring of both the biology of those various stocks as well as the harvest monitoring.

Twenty years ago when I was hired to work at the Division of Subsistence for the Department of Fish and Game one of the directives I received from then Director Mary Pete, the late Mary Pete, was that there should be developed a State Management Plan for whitefish on the Yukon because there have been commercial fishery opportunities for those.

I do know that occasionally those also take place on the Kuskokwim and there are no management plans to ensure the sustainable management and harvest and commercial sales of those whitefish species. To my knowledge that has not yet happened. The same for arctic lamprey. There is for lamprey in the Lower Middle Yukon River. There's a commercial opportunity there.

The way it was explained to me by Mary Pete was that we get a lot of information from those commercial fisheries and that that information should be used to then develop these management plans. In the absence of State management plans ensuring the sustaining utilization of these non-salmon fish, I would encourage this Council to encourage the various Federal agencies to develop fishery management plans for both salmon and non-salmon in their conservation units.

There's many refuges along the Yukon River. Many of the comprehensive conservation plans are woefully out of date, you know. From those are step-down fishery management plans, which are also woefully out of date. The Federal Subsistence Management Program appears to be here, you know, since the State is no longer pursuing implementing ANILCA Title VIII on its own. So I would encourage you to encourage -- you know, as a RAC to encourage the Federal agencies to get on it.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Any questions for Jim.

MR. WHITWORTH: Thank you, Madame Chair. Jim, you brought up some interesting points. Made me think about -- so this fall in the Kuskokwim River starting August 16, I believe, the Kuskokwim River was shut down because of the low coho numbers. Completely closed for all gear types; fishwheels, fishnets, rod and reel on the mainstem.

The State couldn't -- because their management plan for the coho didn't allow them to have openers for -- you know, window openers for coho salmon using other gear types. But anyhow. The question I have -- so if the State had a -- so we couldn't target whitefish either during the coho salmon closure, which was a big hardship for people in McGrath and Upper Kuskokwim when they rely on these species a lot.

So if there was a State-managed plan for whitefish on both the Yukon and Kuskokwim River, would it help the State of Alaska to have an opener during that closure for targeted whitefish? I remember in the working group there was a discussion that because they don't have a working management plan that they couldn't really do -- you know, they didn't have much in their toolbox to do this.

Can you elaborate on that?

MR. J. SIMON: That's a very good question and I don't think I'm going to be able to adequately answer it. It would be good for someone from the Department of Fish and Game to tackle that, but I do know that the management plan that you referenced is lacking the conservation tools on the Kuskokwim for coho. That is specifically a salmon management plan. So how those salmon management plans articulate with non-salmon management plans is difficult to assess because of the lack of non-salmon management plans for the most part.

You know, there is a Minto Flats Northern Pike Management Plan that really focuses on providing reasonable opportunities for subsistence and that shuts down the sport fish harvest in order to

ensure those subsistence opportunities and then when the subsistence opportunities get shut down for sustainable management purposes, conservation purposes.

Then there's the wild Arctic Grayling Management Plan, which seems to be more focused on trophy fish management versus sort of regular recreational. But again I'm not an expert on those management plan issues especially when resources are being commodified in commercial fisheries. I think that's really important that those are guided by a management plan.

With respect to this particular issue you raised, I did talk to some of my colleagues at Tanana Chiefs Conference yesterday to see if we have ever heard back from the commissioner about the concerns that Tanana Chiefs Conference expressed to the Commissioner about the complete shutdown of all subsistence gear types in the Middle and Upper River and the lack of reasonable fishing opportunities for non-salmon species despite the conservation concern for coho and, to our knowledge, we have not received a response from the Department of Fish and Game Commissioner at this time.

Thanks.

MR. WHITWORTH: Thank you, Jenny. Thank you, Jim. The reason I asked that is because there are gear types as you all know where you can target whitefish for instance with fishwheels. You can release the non-target species like coho, chinook salmon. But these are all closed down so the Department of Fish and Game did not allow for fishwheels. If you wanted to use it and have a live release box for coho, you just release the non-target species and then catch other species for your freezer.

 So that's why I bring it up because I think there needs to be some changes there so that these other gear types can be used when there is conservation concerns. It has been done for chinook salmon. Beach seines are used, fishwheels are used, rod and reel are used. They're used for targeting species that are relatively healthy like whitefish.

That's all. Thank you, Jim.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Kevin. Anybody else? Tommy.

MR. KRISKA: Hey, Jim. I like what you brought up there. I really hope that the Federal or the State or whatever kind of adjusts to making something happen to allow some of the Natives and not only the Natives. There's a lot of rivers along the Yukon, Koyukuk River, all that. The fish that they're protecting they don't go up in those.

There's a lot of sloughs. Probably maybe 60 percent of the sloughs are not -- salmon don't really go up there. They don't even go in the sloughs at all. It's kind of really heartbreaking to see your own people and OSHA, everybody look at, you know, the people -- we're all different races. It's hard to look at your people and tell them, no, you can't fish, but I think there's ways to do it.

Some of these rivers where the salmon they don't go up, I know right at my camp they don't go up there, they don't go into Nikolai's Slough, they don't go up Woodyard Creek. There's a lot of sloughs, like I said. There's probably 60 percent of the sloughs that the salmon go up, but the non-salmon don't.

I think if you save -- you know, they're worried about a lot of the salmon getting caught, but if you put your nets maybe back in the slough or somewhere, there's something can be done because right now as it is I think all fishing is closed till December and it cuts a lot of the people off. By December the ice is two or three foot thick.

 There's a lot of older people that are out there. I have a guy that's 80-some years old and you're not going to stop him. He got caught for the salmon before, but he was in the right and they let him go on it, but as it is right now there's not many people out there fishing. But I really that in the fall a lot of us are out at our camps and you see a lot of fish.

I mean I'm not going to lie to you. I did break the law on fishing. What else are you going to eat. Our kids got to eat. Our elders got to eat. We're just going to feed them moose meat, that's it?

It's easy for the Federal and the State to say and do anything they want. I guess they're all from the higher up, the governments or whatever.

All this stuff is -- I think it goes all back to money. I don't know. We're trying to save anything and it's just not going anywhere. Our people, we don't have like Fred Meyers, all this stuff out there. We can't just choose what we want to eat every day. And then the fuel prices right now out there is 9.99 a gallon. And you're going to tell me I can't eat anything? You're not going to stop me. I'm just going to say it because a lot of people feel like that. It's hard. It's really hard.

Try putting one of you guys out there with us at our camps and let you survive. You'll believe it's totally not right at all. I better not get too far into this. But there's ways to deal with this non-salmon fishing. It's got to be talked about and I think the State or the Federal, whoever they are, they should or they better respond.

This is what I'm going to say there.

25 Thank you.

 $\label{eq:madame_chair_pelkola:} \mbox{MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Tom.} \\ \mbox{Anybody else:} \mbox{Tim.}$

MR. GERVAIS: Yes. Thanks, Jim. Could you or Kevin clarify that closure on September 15th of all gear type. I thought Holly Carroll was stating yesterday that they had allowed 60 feet of 4-inch gear, but is that only on Federal waters?

MR. J. SIMON: Thank you for the question, Tim. When you're looking at management on the Kuskokwim and the Yukon, in some respects it's sort of like the different approaches being used. It's almost like looking at the Area M fishery. So on the Yukon 4-inch gear was allowed to be able to target non-salmon fish and to be able to retain pink salmon or coho salmon despite a low return of coho on the Yukon also.

On the Kuskokwim beginning August 15th or 16th that's when the -- I think as Kevin explained yesterday that the coho run had gotten into the Middle River. That's when all opportunity was shut down.

That effectively closed non-salmon fishing with gillnet gear also and these other selective gear types that Kevin is speaking about.

So, you know, it's sort of peculiar to look at those different management strategies on two sister rivers with both facing conservation problems. It was sort of surprising given in 2021 there have been concerns expressed by the State's Advisory Working Group on the Kuskokwim about coho declines for a number of years now. It's been wide open with regular mesh gear in 2021, up to 8-inch gear, et cetera, allowed to fish during that coho run.

This year it was like completely out of the ordinary approach to just shutting down the river. I can't really explain those differences in the absence of any response from the Commissioner's Office. I can't really give you more explanation.

MR. GERVAIS: Okay. Then I had another question. On the Kuskokwim is there subsistence or commercial fishing for the Arctic lamprey and Bering cisco?

MR. J. SIMON: I don't recall there ever being a commercial fishery for lamprey on the Kuskokwim and I believe that most of the whitefish commercial opportunities have been like a catcher/seller opportunity, but I'm really not sure when the last time that happened.

 $\label{eq:madamechair} \mbox{MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Thank you, Tim. Anybody else on the board.}$

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ REAKOFF: Madame Chair, I'd like to make a comment.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Go ahead, Jack.

 MR. REAKOFF: Yeah, that was just so weird to close down the 4-inch mesh when they allowed subsistence harvest in the Kuskokwim River out of the mainstem 100 feet inside the sloughs. They should have maintained that kind of harvest opportunity for 4-inch mesh all the way up the river through the entire -- for the protection of coho, but allowed take of freshwater stocks of whitefish, pike, et cetera.

I feel that the Department of Fish and Game on the Kuskokwim River was completely out of touch with reality in what they had previously done through the entire season for the lower river allowing fishery inside sloughs. So I think that has to be re-evaluated with the management discussions this winter.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Jack.

MR. J. SIMON: If I may respond to what Jack just said. I agree that it's very peculiar especially since in 2021 the Department of Fish and Game during their opening for all Alaska residents to use gillnet gear despite a Federal closure for even Federally qualified users on that particular day, June 28th.

You know, the Department allowed this gillnet gear with the live release of chinook salmon, I think. So if they do honestly believe that you can live release salmon from a gillnet gear, then why wasn't gillnet gear allowed in the middle and upper river in 2022 with allowing the harvest of non-salmon fish with the release of coho.

It's very unfortunate that politics are influencing the sustainable management and the subsistence priority in this region.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Tom.

MR. KRISKA: Just being Alaska Native and one of the reasons I said 60 percent of the rivers probably the salmon don't go up was just being out there, living out there. Why I think that Federal or in-state should revise their -- you know, closing of the salmon for non-salmon fish is because a lot of the rivers and the sloughs a lot of the salmon will go for a gravel bottom.

But if you really think about it and learn about it and look into a lot of the rivers and little sloughs, a lot of the just run into the flats where there's only silt bottom, so there's no salmon up there. You can fish all summer in one of those sloughs

and you won't never catch a salmon at all because only the pike, the whitefish and the sheefish are going back into those lakes and they're going in now. All my life I never did catch a fish in the silt water at all.

So it's something to think about. I hope they think about it because you come in here and older Natives that are alive right now they always say they don't know what they're talking about. For them to be 70, 80 years, they know what they're talking about. They never did catch a salmon in those silt water places. It's all flats, so there's no drainages that run up into the mountains. Once you start going into elevation, that's where you're going to find your salmon.

So that's something to think about and I hope you really think about it to allow this 4-inch at least in some of these rivers. You could move it farther back off the river if you want, but it would be something.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Tom.

MR. J. SIMON: Yeah, through the Chair. Tommy, I really appreciate the perspective that you're sharing here. It's one of another significant difference between the Yukon River and the Kuskokwim River. That kind of knowledge is actively used to manage salmon fisheries on the Kuskokwim River because of the cooperative management partnership between the Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge and the Kuskokwim River Intertribal Fish Commission.

Bringing that local indigenous knowledge into making the decisions how to sustainably manage the resource, conserve the resource and provide as much subsistence fishing opportunity as possible to fulfill the requirements and obligations of the State and Federal subsistence priority laws.

It's really something that I would encourage your Council to continue to push to develop a similar kind of cooperative management process on the Yukon.

Thank you.

0168 1 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Jim. 2 MR. GERVAIS: Pollock had a question. 3 4 5 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Oh, I'm sorry. 6 Pollock had a question. 7 8 MR. P. SIMON: I wanted to mention I 9 have a dozen dogs and after breakup I would sit there 10 with a little fishnet, 4-inch or smaller, just use to 11 catch pike, suckers and little whitefish. Most of June 12 we catch the fish and there's no salmon yet. We should 13 continue to catch fish to feed our dogs. They eat the 14 little whitefish. Sometimes we gift them away. 15 16 Thank you. 17 18 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, 19 Pollock. 20 21 MR. WHITWORTH: Madame Chair. 22 23 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Kevin. 24 25 MR. WHITWORTH: If I can bring this 26 topic up just a little bit longer or keep on with this 27 topic if you don't mind. 28 29 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: A few minutes more. 30 31 MR. WHITWORTH: I think this fall when 32 the State of Alaska basically said that they didn't 33 have the tools in the toolbox to allow for non-salmon 34 harvest during that fall closure on the Kuskokwim 35 River, I think on the Yukon it sounds to me that they 36 do have the tools in the toolbox to manage for 4-inch 37 mesh nets, you know. 38 39 We were told that we could submit an 40 emergency petition to the State of Alaska or they could 41 do it, they could start it, but it was going to take 42 several months or a month or whatever, a long time. By 43 that time the fishery would have been over. We 44 wouldn't have had a chance to fish. 45 I'd like to make a motion here if you 46 47 guys would entertain me to start that process. For the 48 State of Alaska to start that process on the Kuskokwim 49 to revise their management plan for non-salmon species,

for whitefish species, so that people in the Upper Kuskokwim can have an opportunity for whitefish in those -- if there is conservation concerns for coho and start that process so that there are -- they do have the tools in their toolbox.

I don't know how to word it, but we could figure that out later. We could write a letter to the Commissioner of Fish and Game and get this started. They need the tools. They don't have it they say.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Kevin. So what does the board think about that motion -- not a motion, but suggestion of a motion?

MR. KRISKA: Ms. Chair. I think it's a great idea and add the Yukon to that.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Tom.

21 Don.

MR. HONEA: Yeah, I would certainly support the idea. I think it's a great idea in all fairness. I mean my exact feelings on this whether it's on the Yukon or whether it's on the Kuskokwim I think it should be in all fairness to have the opportunity to fish for them.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Tim.

MR. GERVAIS: Yeah, I agree. I'd like to see non-salmon harvest in particular on the years of low or no salmon harvest. I'd like the language to be such. So Tommy just clarify. You're saying the sloughs where there's no water moving are generally the ones where you have very low salmon being caught?

MR. KRISKA: Okay, the salmon tend to head for the gravel up higher as far as they can go, but in the silt water sloughs there's a lot of them. There's hundreds of them. Right up to the end of the slough it just ends. There's no elevation, nothing. So the salmon they go up in elevation to spawn and they will not go back into the silt because I think the silk might suffocate the eggs or I don't know what's the big deal there, but they won't never go back in the sloughs.

 Another thing that I want to say. I had a net in, the 4-inch, and I had it on one of the slough into a lake and an older person told me don't put it there, put it across. A long time ago we used to have it here and that's where we catch the sheefish and the whitefish. Over here that night I caught six whitefish. Turned that net in the same area onto the slough and caught I think nine sheefish and 14 whitefish.

And there's no salmon. There's nothing back there. And this is seven miles back into the slough. It's not way down. I mean we're not going to kill the salmon if you don't want them killed. There's other fish that we want to eat. They're fat, they're nutritious for us. They're a delicacy at every potlatch for fish ice cream. It's like you buying fruit. Some of this stuff we eat and we're going to get it.

Hopefully rather than see a commotion out there in the woods, fix it. It's so easy to do for you. Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Tom. Pollock, do you have anything to add?

(No comment)

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Jack.

MR. REAKOFF: Yes, Madam Chair. I do think that the Western Interior Regional Advisory Council needs to submit a letter to the Commissioner's Office for re-evaluation of the management plan for fall management on the Kuskokwim River for 4-inch mesh net out of mainstem of the Kuskokwim River as they do throughout the mainstem in season. So I do feel it's a worthwhile motion and if Kevin would make that motion.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: I have something to add also. I would agree with the rest of the members here that's a good idea to have a motion on the board. I guess you have to do the motion now.

MR. WHITWORTH: Madame Chair. This is Kevin. Nissa says that there may be a proposal out for it. If there is a proposal, we can do the proposal, but I think we should have a motion still. I'd like to

0171 1 make that motion. Jack really defined that motion best. If I could just make the motion like Jack had just mentioned and include the Yukon River in that 4 motion. 5 6 MR. HONEA: Madame Chair. I would 7 support -- I would second that motion. 8 9 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Motion made 10 by.... 11 12 MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair. 13 14 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Jack. 15 16 MR. REAKOFF: The Yukon River fishery 17 manager Holly Carroll, Deena Jallen, Christy Gleason 18 they all allowed 4-inch mesh use for the subsistence 19 harvest opportunity for non-salmon species. I don't 20 think we really need to beat them up. They did a great job. I do feel that the Kuskokwim River should be 21 22 specifically addressed in this motion. 23 24 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: We have Holly 25 here to respond to the motion. 26 27 MS. CARROLL: Madame Chair. This is Holly Carroll, U.S. Fish and Wildlife manager for the 28 29 Yukon River. You're bringing up a lot of good points, 30 but I believe you're talking about a few different 31 issues. So I just wanted the opportunity to clarify in 32 case it's helpful for you making your motion. 33 34 On the Yukon River we have a lot of 35 flexibility to allow non-salmon harvest opportunity. We can allow 4-inch gear, we can allow selective gear 36 37 like fishwheels, dipnets, all of that already. So I think it's worth focusing on what changes you might 38 39 want to make by river and going that way. 40 41 Tommy, what you're talking about where 42 you could have particular streams where salmon don't 43 even go. So maybe what you might be saying is it might 44 be better to be allowed to use any gillnet size? We do get asked about that a lot and I think there's work 45 46 that could be done on stream surveys to find these

areas where locals are telling us no salmon go there so

that we can allow bigger mesh. I think that's

something we need to talk about going forward.

47

48

49

But that's a real different issue than -- I'm not familiar with the regs for the Kuskokwim, but if they do not have the ability to allow fishwheels or dipnets or 4-inch gillnets for non-salmon, then you would want to take up those regulations specifically because on the Yukon we do have all of those gears listed as legal gears for non-salmon.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Tom.

MR. KRISKA: Thank you. One of the reasons I'm talking about this a lot of our people start -- they're going back to their camps and trying to figure out other ways to get the subsistence fish. There's no berries out there. It's really hard this year. Like me, I'm way back seven miles off the river and, like you said, you can use a bigger mesh because there's no salmon back there.

Some of the people in Nulato they're 30 miles off the Yukon back in the Kaiyuh area where there's a lot of lakes and a lot of whitefish and right now as it is on the Yukon it's all shut down. The whole thing is shut down and it's just not like who made these decisions. They don't even know what the heck is going on. They're starving us out here.

I think a lot of this stuff can be revised and something done about it. Even if we're close to the river if they want to -- just to make them happy, there's a lot of -- back in the sloughs there's a lot of forks that go off into -- one goes off into the big lakes. That's where a lot of the whitefish and the sheefish go. I was proven that this fall by an elder.

So there's a lot of things that's miles off the river that could be fished. I think a lot of these people out there would be happy. Like Huslia. There's a lot of places out there you could fish rather than close to the river and still get what you need and it's not very much. If you really think about it, a lot of the families wouldn't mind having 10 whitefish, 10 sheefish and that's it. They've got no freezer room because of the moose and other things that they harvest.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Tom.

Senior moment.

2 3 4

MS. PILCHER: This is Nissa Pilcher for the record. So Proposal 91 to the Board of Fisheries, so we're talking Stateside, does seek to modify Kuskokwim area lawful gear and gear specifications. There's an opportunity later on in your agenda to comment on this. I haven't read this before, so I'm doing it really fast on the fly.

One only speaks to salmon, so we're not talking non-salmon species. It looks like it realizes — it increases the ability of the manager to deal with nets and net sizes, fishwheels, allows the use of dipnets in times of specific salmon conservation. So that's one avenue that you guys could use in order to get comments before the State on concerns on the Kuskokwim.

One thing to think about is the State's process timeline is different than the Federal. So currently right now we're in an AYK year, which would include the Kuskokwim. The Board of Game will be meeting I believe in January on that. So in order to get something in front of the Board of Game the normal process would be proposal, which were do April 10th of this year. So proposal-wise we're out of luck. ACRs normally are due in August sometime, so the agenda change request avenue wouldn't be an option.

You could do an emergency petition if this doesn't do what you would like it to do, so that's another option just to get it in front of the Board. You could comment on this proposal and modify it, but I would put a caveat on that. If you wanted to go as far as to change it from salmon to salmon and non-salmon species, I don't -- just based on my understanding of how the Board of Fish works, they would be leery of doing that without putting that specific proposal out for more public comment just because it's a pretty massive change of this proposal. They'll do tweaks here and there, but that's a pretty big overhaul.

So those are a couple different processes that you guys could use in order to seek what you're looking for through the State process and the Board of Fish.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Kevin.

MR. WHITWORTH: Madame Chair, thanks. I'd appreciate bringing up Proposal 91. I do believe that a letter is -- we should go forward with a letter as well. We could do both. I think we really need to paint this picture very clearly to the Commissioner, the hardships that this caused us last fall for the people in the Upper Kuskokwim and that region not being able to catch whitefish and them telling the folks that they just didn't have the tools in the toolbox to allow for 4-inch is -- people were very upset.

So I still want to go with the motion on the table. Tommy, if you don't mind, it sounds like you're proposing to add Yukon, but it may be -- your avenue may be better to go through Federal government, like Holly is saying. She has the tools in the toolbox to do that. This sounds to me more like a State of Alaska issue in the Upper Kuskokwim.

So if we could go with the motion with just the Kusko, we could do other stuff for you, Tom, as well. But this motion, if we could just keep it clean, Kuskokwim, State Commissioner letter, if you don't mind.

MR. KRISKA: Yeah, go for it.

MR. WHITWORTH: Okay. So the motion would be on the table with that. We'll just erase the Yukon. That's all. Thanks.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ HONEA: In the sake of time, Madame Chair, I call for the question.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Thank you, Don. All in favor say aye.

MR. GERVAIS: Wait. Stand by. I want to restate the proposal. Give us the language.

MR. WHITWORTH: Jack -- sorry. Madame Chair. Since I brought up the motion. Jack, could you restate what you had said? I think Jack had said it best.

MR. REAKOFF: I was speaking to the Department use the full 4-inch mesh back into the side

200 feet or 100 yards off of the mainstem during the king salmon -- prosecution of the king salmon fishery. The Department should have that ability to pull -- allow 4-inch mesh gear off the mainstem of the Kuskokwim River. I do appreciate Nissa bringing up the Proposal 91, which was -- they seem to be under regulation for king salmon protection only.

When we get to Proposal 91, it should be expanded. It's talking about chum salmon, but it should be expanded to all salmon including king salmon and the other species.

The motion should be regarding the ability of the Department to prosecute closures on this last season. They should have had the ability to pull large mesh salmon gear off the mainstem. They should have had that already. That should have been an emergency order ability. The motion is regarding the Department's ability to pull off the mainstem and protect salmon while providing for non-salmon species on side streams.

Thank you.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{MADAME}}$ CHAIR PELKOLA: Kevin, is that what you had in mind?

(No audible response)

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Tim.

MR. GERVAIS: Okay. So we're drafting -- the motion is to draft a letter for the Kuskokwim River only allowing 10 fathom length of 4-inch gear more than 100 yards off the mainstream of the river targeting non-salmon species. Is that what the motion is?

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Kevin.

MR. WHITWORTH: Madame Chair, thanks. Sorry about the confusion here. I think we just need to keep it generic and I don't know how to say it best. The State of Alaska needs tools in their toolbox to manage the fishery for non-salmon species in the State waters of the Kuskokwim River. The tools in the toolbox, if it's a management plan or whatever it is, we can figure that language out, but if we can just

```
0176
     keep it a generic letter at this point.
 2
 3
                     That's all.
 4
 5
                     MR. GERVAIS: Asking for emergency
 6
     change in the regulation for this end of the season?
 7
     Is that....
 8
 9
                     MR. WHITWORTH: No, it's not.....
10
11
                     MR. GERVAIS: What's the action you're
12
     ask -- we want to ask the State for?
13
14
                     MR. WHITWORTH: We've got to be able to
15
     allow for the State to have the tools in their toolbox
     to allow 4-inch mesh whitefish targeted fishery when
16
17
     there's coho concern and there's coho -- conservation
18
    of coho and everything is closed down. They couldn't
19
    make those decisions when we wanted to use a fishwheel
20
    and release coho salmon. They said, no, we can't do
    that. We can't get into that much detail in our
21
22
     regulations because we don't have tools in the toolbox.
23
24
25
                     I don't know how to say it more than
26
     that. Maybe Jim Simon can help. There is already
27
    pressure to the Commissioner's Office. Jim had
28
    mentioned TCC had written a letter to the Commissioner
29
     about this issue. I think we should follow with our
     own letter to the Commissioner and say, hey, look,
30
31
     there's a problem here. If you guys don't have the
32
     toolbox, let's put them on the table so in the future
33
     -- this year is already done. We can't fish whitefish
34
     anymore, but in the future we should be able to.
35
36
                     I agree with Don. I think we should
37
    move on.
38
39
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: I feel like a
40
     little owl. It's hurting my head going back and forth.
41
42
                     (Laughter)
43
44
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Tim, are
45
     you satisfied with that?
46
47
                     MR. GERVAIS: Yes, I am.
48
49
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. I don't
50
```

```
0177
     really know what the motion is, how it goes now, but
 2
     all in favor say with it, I guess. Nissa.
 3
 4
                     MS. PILCHER: Can I get a double check
 5
    on who seconded it.
 6
 7
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: The motion was
 8
    made by Kevin and Don Honea, Jr. seconded. I guess the
 9
     question was called for. All in favor say aye.
10
11
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
12
13
             MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: All opposed say.....
14
15
                     MR. REAKOFF: Aye.
16
17
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Jack opposed.
18
    Was that an opposed, Jack?
19
20
                     MR. REAKOFF: No.
                                        The phones are slow,
21
     so I was in favor of the motion.
22
23
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Sorry
24
     about that. All opposed same sign.
25
26
                     (No opposing votes)
27
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Motion carries.
28
29
30
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Madame Chair. Thank
31
     you for going through that. I had no idea I was going
32
     to do that this morning, so I didn't have a motion.
33
    Basically it's a letter. The details are in the
34
     letter. We can work together on those details, but
35
     these people got to fish for whitefish. The relatively
    healthy species of whitefish up there. They're not
36
37
     able to fish for them.
38
39
                     Thanks.
40
41
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Thank
42
     you. With that, before we start on the next person,
43
     Tommy has to leave at 10:30. It's five to 10:00 right
44
     now. He had a report, but we also had a non-agenda
45
     item. Do you want to go before that?
46
47
                     MR. KRISKA: I just had this resolution
48
     from the Nulato Tribe. It's a resolution or request to
49
    make Kaiyuh a controlled use area for moose hunting.
```

If you could read it, I'd appreciate it. We have copies. I had a meeting last week. Too many things happening. I'll just save those.

It's not a controlled use area, so there's a lot of planes going in there into people's hunting areas. Flying in there, shooting moose. Some of them are just going in there. You're supposed to wait until the next day, but going in there, shooting a moose and flying off with it.

They're using those planes to river, two airplanes right at -- you know, on Native allotments too. They're all over. They just fly back off the river back into Kaiyuh, do their thing, come out, fly back out with a moose.

It's happening and there's a lot more River is a controlled use area they're limited to go up there. They're starting to hit from across Galena all the way down to probably Holy Cross. So they just down the river. I mean everybody has a right to hunt, but it's just way out of hand.

So they wrote this resolution and it's kind of sad for all of them. One of the things they wanted to do was to have their own check station at the mouth of Kaiyuh. So that's what it is.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: So Nissa is going to go ahead and read the proposal.

MS. PILCHER: I'll read the resolution into the record. So this is from the Nulato Tribal Council, Resolution 2022-22. A resolution making a request to make Kaiyuh a controlled use area. Whereas the Nulato Tribal Council is the governing body of the Nulato Village, is a Federally Recognized Tribe.....

(Phone interruption)

 MS. PILCHER: All right. Nulato Tribal Council is the governing body of the Nulato Village, is a Federally Recognized Tribe and is on the United States list of Federally Recognized Tribes under the Tribe List Act of 1994 preserving sovereigns and aboriginal rights in perpetuity and;

Whereas we recognize our inherent

0179 authority to exercise our tribal rights as stewards to our traditional territories and resources. Since time immemorial we have properly cared for the hunting of the Kaiyuh Flats. We commit to conserve, restore and provide for the tribal use of wildlife based on 6 indigenous knowledge systems and scientific principles 7 8 9 Whereas the Nulato Tribal Council is 10 concerned about the increasing number of outside 11 hunters going into Kaiyuh and; 12 13 Whereas the Nulato Tribal Council is 14 also concerned about the number of float planes that 15 are going into Kaiyuh and taking out moose and; 16 17 Whereas the Nulato Tribal Council is 18 concerned about the effects that these things will have 19 on our traditional hunting grounds and; 20 21 Whereas the Nulato Tribal Council is 22 also concerned about the trespassing that happens on 23 our tribal members' Native allotments located in 24 Kaiyuh. 25 26 Now, therefore, be it resolved that the 27 Nulato Tribal Council hereby requests that the Kaiyuh 28 be made into a controlled use area. 29 30 That is the end. A quick note for the 31 record. I believe that this would be a resolution that 32 would be going towards the Board of Game. That would 33 be during an Interior Board of Game cycle, which I'd 34 have to double check, but may come up next year or the 35 year after, but I'll verify. 36 37 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you. 38 39 MR. KRISKA: The reason that they --40 they put it on, they know that, you know, the period for putting this resolution in is next year, so that's 41 42 the reason they put it out now so it would be brought 43 up. Thank you. 44 45 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Tom.

MS. PILCHER: My understanding is the

So did they want us to -- do we pass this or what?

46

47

48

Vote on it?

Nulato Tribal Council is seeking the Western Interior Regional Advisory Council's support in this on the resolution.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Is there a motion to that effect to support this resolution by Nulato? Pollock.

MR. P. SIMON: Motion to adopt.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Is there a second? The motion was made by Pollock.

MR. GERVAIS: I'll second it.

MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Jack.

MR. REAKOFF: I support the motion. It should state -- delineate the Kaiyuh -- there has to be a delineation of what area they're actually talking about so this could actually move forward. So we could reference that with further delineation of a controlled use area in the motion.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Tom.

MR. KRISKA: The Kaiyuh Flats is -- you know, a lot of the people there -- they have a lot of Native allotments over there and there's only so many places they can go with boats and that's the area they want to cover. Most of the main hunting grounds is Kaiyuh Flats in general.

MR. REAKOFF: But I mean if you're making a management area under State regulation it goes from this point to that point to this point to that point. Like the Koyukuk Controlled Use Area, the Kanuti Controlled Use Area. They have to have -- it can't be vague. It's got to be actually set out. So part of the motion is to delineate where the Kaiyuh Flats Controlled Use Area would entail. That's the direction that this needs to go.

MR. KRISKA: Okay. From what they were talking about was like from across Koyukuk where they call Squirrel Creek down to 22 miles to Kaltag. So that's the area.

MR. REAKOFF: And then back -- so far back from the river. I mean there's got to be some back boundary.

MR. KRISKA: Okay. Back to the Federal lands there's a boundary back there someplace. It's pretty much over halfway back into Kaiyuh and that's there that's out and about.

MR. REAKOFF: Okay. That gives a rough idea of what we're discussing.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Thank you. Tom.

MR. KRISKA: Madame Chair. I'm a little confused on here. So what we're supporting here is a motion to support the idea, but eventually -- I mean so this is going to come up in our next cycle like on game and I'm also kind of confused. I mean so we could support this idea OSM, but is this a State kind of a thing? Because it's going to come up with the State and I guess maybe from now until then we could garner support from ACs and villages and stuff like that. You know, hey, I'm supporting the idea. I like the idea. It kind of knocked down the numbers a lot I think on the Koyukuk. Did it not, Tom? So that was the general idea.

So I guess what I'm asking right now is we're just supporting the general idea, but we don't take this issue up until the next game cycle? Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: That's what I'm thinking. Nissa.

MS. PILCHER: So the next Interior Board of Game meeting is 2023-2024 meeting cycle, which means that the proposal would need to be submitted in early 2023. I believe prior to May. The proposal book would go out and then the Board of Game would take action— would look at this and take action on it during their 2024 Interior Board of Game meeting, which is typically held in March.

So this Council would have the ability to weigh in on the proposal as written. So first support or -- support notwithstanding of this resolution or withstanding. The Council could then

also formally support a proposal that was submitted that would potentially have boundaries put in place so they could do both for sure.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Tommy

MR. KRISKA: Okay. We did bring this proposal -- put a proposal in before and I wouldn't -- Jim, I think you might have known that. Could you come up and explain a little bit more on that. We do have a map.

MR. J. SIMON: Through the Chair. Thank you, Council Member Tommy Kriska. Yeah, there was a similar proposal submitted to the Board of Game for the 2020 meeting cycle. It was Proposal 58. I am now trying to go through -- there was a map associated with it and I am trying to find that map on the Board of Game website and I have not yet found it.

MR. GERVAIS: Madame Chair. May I make a statement while Jim is looking up that map?

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes.

MR. GERVAIS: Okay. I support what the Nulato Tribe is trying to do here and will continue to support it. I just want to make this Council aware that whenever you restrict access or means of access like no-fly zone in a controlled use area, that puts — that shifts additional burden onto other areas nearby.

Like when they created Koyukuk Controlled Use Area as a no-fly zone, I started to see a lot more air taxi traffic upriver from Ruby where those air taxi operators operating out of Galena they had a certain amount of hunters that they wanted to fly out. So what that did is it put more hunting pressure on areas outside of that controlled use area. So there's always an effect to any kind of restriction.

It may benefit Nulato Tribe and it probably will do that, but there's going to be some overflow effect or people moving -- since they can't hunt in that controlled use area with airplanes, they're going to land their transport clients in other areas in Unit 21, which potentially could affect other subsistence users. But I do support what Nulato Tribe is trying to do there, but there will be some adverse

effects to other parts of Unit 21 because of this.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Tom.

MR. KRISKA: The State already have a permit hunt and the draw permit in there. They have 31 permits. There's permits all over in those areas that a lot of people are not aware of. It was put out there by an abundance of moose. Like in the Uke area I think there's 16 permits. Pilot Mountain area there's 22. Behind Galena, Bear Creek there's 14. Kaiyuh Flats, going on downriver there's 31. In between Nulato and Kaltag there's 13.

So all these permits they add up and they were put in there because of the abundance of moose already. They know that when they draw for these permits they can go in there without stopping them. But what it will do and what they want to shut down mostly is the no-fly zone.

Because that's when -- I mean there was a few years back when this first came up. There was a guide. I don't know where he was from, but he was in the lake, landed on this -- one guy was across Koyukuk walking around back in those lakes and a plane landed and he was already pretty much halfway back to that lake and hear a shot, boom. He can hear a bullet hit, so he thought I wonder who in the heck is back there.

So he walked back there and walked up to a guy, another guy, in a float plane with a little girl there and that girl, before her dad or anybody else could say anything, she said, hey, my dad just shot that moose right from the airplane. We brought that up to the Fish and Game, you know, and tried to do something about it. You can't do anything about it.

So this is the concerns that a lot of the Nulato -- and not only -- honest, I mean I go over there too myself, but then you go out this lake and, you know, no one out there and you go in there and it's like, holy smokes, three or four airplanes. What's the use of going there? Nine dollars a gallon of fuel. It's kind of heart-breaking.

So that's one of the reasons. I mean a lot of these people their jobs are minimized. I support this and I just kind of want to clarify a

0184 1 little bit of it. Thank you. 2 3 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Jim. 4 5 MR. J. SIMON: Thank you, Madame Chair. 6 So the proposal -- I remember this now from when we 7 went through this in 2020. The proposal incorrectly identified registration moose hunt 833 as the area 8 9 being proposed to establish this controlled use area. 10 The subsequent submission to the Board of Game 11 clarified that it is actually the hunt area of RM-831, 12 which covers the Kaiyuh Flats. 13 14 I would presume that you have the State 15 Hunting Regulation Booklet, but if you would look at 16 Unit 21 maps you will see RM-831 and that, I believe, 17 is what -- that proposal had been submitted by the Middle Yukon Fish and Game Advisory Committee. What is 18 19 unclear to me -- this is the first I've heard of this 20 resolution coming from the Nulato Tribal Council, so 21 it's unclear to me whether or not Nulato Tribal Council 22 is specifically requesting the same controlled use area 23 as the Middle Yukon Fish and Game Advisory Committee 24 requested for the 2020 regulatory cycle. 25 26 Thank you. 27 28 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Any other 29 comments. 30 31 MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair. 32 33 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Jack. 34 35 MR. REAKOFF: We'll meet before the 36 Board of Game proposal period is closed, so this whole 37 discussion can occur when we move into wildlife 38 proposals at our spring meeting. So I support this 39 resolution, so we should forward it to our next meeting 40 where we can have delineation and have more concise 41 adoption or submission of the proposal regarding this 42 resolution. 43 44 Thank you, Madame Chair. 45 46 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Jack. 47 48 MS. PILCHER: Just a quick process 49 point. There is a motion on the table.

0185 1 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. So all in 2 favor to support this resolution for the Nulato Tribe say aye. 4 5 IN UNISON: Aye. 6 7 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: All opposed same 8 sign. 9 10 (No opposing votes) 11 12 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: So this motion 13 carries that we're supporting the Nulato Tribe on this 14 proposal. Thank you. 15 16 With that we have one more non-agenda 17 item and it's by P.J. Simon of Fairbanks and he'll be 18 talking about Dall sheep. 19 20 MR. P.J. SIMON: Good morning, Madame 21 Chair. Members of the board. Just a quick note that's 22 my dad right there. Can I just give some general 23 comments on sheep and then what worries me for 24 biological fish and whatnot, Madame Chair? 25 26 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes. 27 28 MR. P.J. SIMON: My name is P.J. Simon. 29 I've hunted the Brooks Range most of my life. First 30 went on a sheep hunt when I was 11 with my dad up to 31 the Tangle Blue (ph) in the Brooks Range. Last year we 32 went sheep hunting. We didn't see much. Some locals 33 went up to Alatna River, Unakserak, Guruk (ph). They 34 saw a few carcasses from most likely winter starvation 35 for sheep. I have to applaud you guys' efforts on 36 conservation. I think that's really important right 37 now. 38 39 If you have watched the state or 40 national news, you see the crash of the king crab 41 fishery where some of the smartest and richest people 42 in the world they don't have anything to fish now. So I 43 heard politics from a past presenter in different 44 words. I think biological science will really help 45 guide the board and stick to that.

My people in the Upper Koyukuk River

have lived a subsistence lifestyle. We live right next

to Bettles, which sometimes has five air transporters

46 47

48

49

or three different guide operations. We found that balance over time. I'd like to keep that balance healthy and not one-sided where our moose population starts getting decimated, the same with sheep.

In the recent closures of the 24A and 26A, I believe, along the Dalton Highway, in my opinion as a hunter, a state resident, a subsistence hunter, tribal member, is warranted. The Bering Sea on the west coast stays open most of the year round and a lot of snow shadows come over from the west and slowly a lot of our sheep are dying because of heavy snowfall.

A few years ago in this very room there was the cycle for Board of Game on game issues and I heard the State biologist say the population was healthy to open an August 1st take your kid hunting on State land. That worried me, especially when we started hearing rumors, we started seeing signs or TEK or our visualization of sheep up there.

By the way, I hunt Unakserak, Guruk up there and Alatna River. I hunt the Tangle Blue area, Sheep Creek up at the John River, (Indiscernible) area on Glacier River Valley. That area, Madame Chair, is five to seven thousand years pre-contact. So that's a very old hunt for us and we want to preserve our culture. We are tied to the land. We take what we need, just like all the books. You hear the speeches. That's how we live. I think we won't go forward until -- my opinion is until you guys are transparent with the agencies to see actual trend data, recruitment, numbers instead of them saying, oh, it's good. Yeah, it's down last year. Well, what's down? How much? How much was it five years ago? How much was it 10 years ago?

I think overlaying that data trend will guide the WIRAC board to keep doing what you guys need to do. It's a touch job. I commend you guys on what you guys are doing. A lot of pressure, a lot of side conversations. Just in the Upper Koyukuk specifically, you know, our caribou numbers are down from the Ray Mountain Herd. If our caribou doesn't come from the north, from Anaktuvuk or out from Ambler east, then we go south to the Ray Mountain Herd. We've really cut into that population. I'm sure airplane SuperCubs land there in fall time and pick out a few. It's a lot of pressure. With climate change especially, going

seining this fall in Allakaket for people for food and then my dad's dogs with no salmon. We have so much rain with climate change in the last five years or however we want to call it. It just rains more and the water levels are springtime high where seining is fruitless. Where I used to catch 140 broad whitefish, a only catch a few. We give away to the elders the choice fish and then throw a bunch into my dad's lot for his dogs, which he's had -- he's 82. He's had dogs for 68 years. It's that type of culture we're protecting and I commend you guys on especially closing the sheep.

We go up -- at \$11.50 a cents a gallon we go up Unakserak, we go up Tangle Blue. A bunch of us pool our hard-earned money. Median income is \$12,500. So imagine we take a big chunk of our earnings to go to keep that old hunt alive. When you look at the true cost of it, if a sheep hunt cost \$25,000 and you guys okay and kept it open, well, what if they take 30 sheep. Well, you know, 30 x 25,000. That's what we're decimating the sheep with.

I commend you guys on helping close that area. I think it's warranted. It will come back. Just like moose numbers go down, they come back up. I just wanted to say some generalized comments. Salmon is worrisome also. We don't get that much salmon anymore as we used to. We're adapting to how the environment is changing by eating more whitefish and whatnot, waterfowl. We have a lot of waterfowl in the springtime. Just dealing with a lot of different things.

Mainly I just wanted to emphasize today to WIRAC board to keep up your hard work and ask for data. Five years, ten years on sheep counts. These Federal agencies or the State they have data on where every single sheep is from year to year. They don't know if it's alive or not, but just the numbers. I think giving that to the tribal members of the Upper Koyukuk that will help out too rather than say, oh, it's down this year. Oh, a virus is bothering the sheep this year. But that's one of our really precious foods.

Part of my family comes from the South Fork River. The Bear Paw Clan, my mother. They're mostly extinct now. There's a few people that have

lineage to the Bear Paw Clan. They used to go up to the John River with dogs and get the sheep skin in the winter time and then they barter with it or make warm clothing. So we're tied to that in a long way.

I just wanted to commend -- I'm sorry Jack Reakoff is not here, but Madame Chair what you guys do is important in terms of conservation. I trap wolves, I hunt. I kill a lot of things. Guide. I'm even in the guiding industry. I've transported before. These type of things come up. Populations go down. You guys make a swift decision for conservation. Populations come up and life goes on for the guide. Life goes on for the subsistence hunters.

I don't have anything more. I just wanted to commend your guys' hard work on the sheep. Keeping an eye on the sheep populations. That's really important to the Upper Koyukuk too. The Inuit there with Alatna and Allakaket, Evansville, Hughes. Thank you.

 $\mbox{MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, P.J.} \label{eq:madame} \mbox{Any comments or questions for P.J. Don.}$

MR. HONEA: Thank you, Madame Chair. Thank you, P.J. I really appreciate that kind of a heartfelt talk about the sheep. I know our chairperson Jack is very compassionate about that. Also it's a real concern. I appreciate somebody from an area speaking up about their concerns.

Like in the past I've had people say, hey, would you support this proposal from this area. Okay. Fine, Sure. A friend of mine. Okay. So when it came time to testify for that proposal there's no comment. There's no village comment, there's no comment from the maker of the proposal. So I really appreciate and I for one really feel compassionate toward my own area for our moose population because fishing is non-existent almost.

I just wanted to thank you for testifying for that.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Don. Anybody else? I know Tommy has to leave pretty quick. Do you have something to add?

2

5

6

7

MR. KRISKA: Thank you, P.J., for a lot of that. I wish there was more people like you to come up who are concerned about your areas. You gave me something to say in my closing comments that is very important. I appreciate you coming. Like Don said, a lot of people shoot back their proposals and everything else that they get into and it's kind of hard to fight for things and you don't have the backing.

9 10

Thank you very much.

11 12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Tom.

P.J.

13 14

> MR. P.J. SIMON: Yes, one quick comment on that. I also forgot to mention that a lot of us started going sheep hunting in the springtime. Two years ago I was 25 miles from Anaktuvuk with a snowmachine at 4,500 feet. So we do take advantage before the season closed April 15th. It's an area we feel really tied to and we want to keep that hunt alive.

22 23 24

25

26

27

28

29

It's not about killing something. It's about bringing food home to our people. You know, an elder once said there's nothing more sorrowful than a hunter coming home empty-handed. There's a lot going You know, you know it. You guys know it. There's a lot of politics. But you're just hearing from our side of the story.

30 31 32

33

34

35

Anyway, a lot of people can't fly in, Madame Chair. It's 170 one way, hotels are 300 a night. So testimony -- and there's not much internet in the villages, but they are concerned and they do pay attention.

36 37 38

Thank you.

39 40

41

42

43

44

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, P.J. Every time I hear you speak I learn a lot from you. know your heart -- you can just feel it when you speak because you speak from the heart and I think that's what we all try to do when we have proposals or resolutions or anything before us.

45 46 47

One thing I'd like to say I do miss, we brought it up earlier, is we don't have a couple areas represented here today for some reason or another, but

49 50

we really need to get the GASH area and Aniak area people members. Try to get them on the board because we don't know what's going on in their area and we do have to vote on some of the stuff that we see in our packet and we don't know really unless we hear from the person or people. Then we can make a better decision.

So I just want to thank you again and I think your dad has something to say. Pollock.

MR. P. SIMON: Thank you, Madame Chair. I want to add to my son's report that my father and my grandfather used pulling boats in the early 1900s to go up into the mountains. They had no powerboats. They'd go up the John River and Alatna River. Today with the price of gas and (indiscernible) of sheep, it's getting kind of difficult to think about going up into the mountains to look for sheep. I just wanted to mention this.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Pollock. Anything else?

(No comment)

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: If not, Tommy, did you want to do your report, your Council report now?

MR. KRISKA: Yes, thank you. I'm sorry that I have to leave and I'm really kind of heartbroken about it, but I have other -- put on a different hat this afternoon and it's very important today and tomorrow. I tried to get on a plane tonight, but there's no room from 5:00 o'clock and 8:00 o'clock flights, so the only flight I can get on is this 11:55.

There's really a lot of concerns and I'm really -- it's sad to be almost living out there and it's getting harder and tougher. Not only we lose our game, but like the weather is this year, there's no berries at all, which is a big factor to the sheep that we're trying to catch. With the salmon it's gone. Then the fuel prices go up. So I don't know.

It's like pushing us back into a corner and it's really sad when other folks don't really think

about it because -- you know, they don't -- I mean everybody lives a subsistence life no matter who you are, but being out there in the village and you actually need a lot of the necessities that's out there that the Lord gave us and they're the reason he put us there and it's being taken away from you by people that are -- a lot of them are not even from the state of Alaska. But to make the sort of comments, decisions and all of this stuff it's just heartbreaking to see that.

I'm saying that on behalf of a lot of my hunting buddies that we go out there. The State -- or I don't know. I shouldn't say anything like that. But, you know, it kind of really gets me kind of upset when I'm spending a lot of money for predator control, for the wolf control. You can't imagine how much money we spent, me and our hunters out there, that control the predators and why these abundance of moose are out there for the last -- all our lives.

You don't see the State out there. You don't see the Federal government out there managing this stuff. We do this stuff ourselves and when the population comes up, they freely go in there and make their decisions. Big bunch of moose right here. We can put in permits. We could allow hunters in there. Why do they get to do something like that when we're the ones that's managing this stuff ourselves all our lives. They don't even recognize that.

What I'm trying to say is that before the State or the Federal government or whoever they are making decisions on what could be harvested out there, how they can be, why they're going to be harvested, who gave them the right to make these decisions. If they're going to make a decision to put more hunters in an area that's not even the tribe or the ACs have no consent about anything, they're not even allowed to speak on that behalf.

I don't know. I'm just kind of confusing myself. I'm kind of a little upset about it because, you know, for me in between 275 to 300 wolves in my time managing that area. That's me alone. The last few years me and my -- just four of us in the Nulato area where all those moose are for how many years now, two years ago we shot 61 wolves in there. Last year was 42, I think, or something like that. You

put those numbers together. We're the ones that put those -- and one of the reasons those moose are there. And some of the big bears that are affecting a lot of this.

 We should be in that room while they're making that decision about moose hunt or either help us manage this predator control. They're not out there, but they sure the heck can make decisions on what can be harvested and when and all of this stuff. I just want to be in the room when they're making those kind of decisions in some way or let us know what they're doing. Let the village, let the tribes know what's coming on.

I don't think Galena, Koyukuk, Nulato, Kaltag knew all this 100-some permits are allowed in their backyard. Right in their backyard. It's no doubt right up to their house. They didn't have no idea. They were not even aware of it. It kind of pissed me off that all these decisions were made without our consent. We should be notified because what you're doing is allowing — the outside hunter now can go right in your aunt's backyard and shoot a moose. They can come that close because that's where the permitted area is.

It's all the way from Yuki down 40 miles below Kaltag on the south bank and quite a bit from Koyukuk on the one mile below the airport on down to the river and from Nulato River on down. They didn't know all of this stuff and it's kind of heartbreaking that this has happened and we have no control over it whatsoever, but we're still going to continue to pay \$10 a gallon, you know, for our own predator control for the years to come on. It's kind of -- I don't know. If they're going to do that, they should help us.

But anyway -- and then the fishing, all of this stuff. One of my comments for the State or the Federal government, it's just a suggestion, that maybe if they're not too keen about right at the mouth, but a fish will wander a little ways in, maybe, like they said, 100 feet, 100 yards, but if they put it a quarter mile in and that would -- the fish, the salmon and non-species they won't go that far because they already have the scent of the stream that they come from. They follow that scent all the way right into the stream.

Since they know that scent they go into that stream. That was told by one of my late uncles. Then after that you can make your decision if you can allow 6 inch, 5 inch or something like that. Just move the little boundary a little farther back so that way the people -- possibly anywhere that these silt water rivers are -- can be allowed to, you know, smile or something about what they have.

Like I said, you're not going to take things away from the potlatches. Those potlatches are sacred and have been going on for more years than anyone. They were here before you were even born. This is part of our traditions, the whole thing.

I have a lot to say. I probably can talk all day, but I have other places to be and I'm sorry that I have to leave. I'm kind of heartbroken about it, I really am, because there's so much decisions here that need to be made. I advise the people we're people too and we're out there. We're busting our nuts for you to enjoy your decisions or permits or whatever you put in our lands. It's kind of crazy. Anyway, just try to figure it out and, you know, balance your thoughts.

With that, I'm sorry I have to leave again, but I'm thankful that these young guys are still here and Tim and Jenny and Don and Pollock. I'm not going anywhere. You're going to hear from me for I don't know. Until we get old, I guess.

So thank you very much for all that. I thank you for being on this board. Thank you.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{MADAME}}$ CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Tom. With that -- yes, Don.

MR. HONEA: Yeah, I'd like to say something before Tom leaves. I think that every village -- I know for a fact that he keeps the wolves down. I actually wished he lived in Ruby. I mean we've got some wolf hunters there. But he's exactly right. Why should we as a tribe dole out 200, 500 for the sake of keeping the numbers up, you know? I actually pray for our population of moose to be stable.

It's kind of disheartening when we have

a big population of moose and then we have an X amount of people coming in and we see all these racks going upriver. I did not get a moose for -- I didn't get one this fall, but it's not like we can take one any time we want or anything like that. So I appreciate the people that's keeping the wolf numbers down.

Jeremy knows on the Novi that they're just across the river there howling away. I mean the Tanana people. We all hear them. There's a lot of them out there.

So I appreciate your work. I know what you're talking about. If we as tribes can have an incentive program to keep those numbers at bay, I appreciate you being on the board and speaking from the heart. Speaking from -- say, hey, I'm up here and, you know, I've got a cabin up here and bringing it basically down to earth.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Don.

Anybody else?

MR. VENT: Yeah, this is Darrell.

MR. HONEA: I just have one more little thing. The thing that gets me is, you know, the moose are counted out there by the State. I don't like to say and point fingers at anybody, but this is just the way things are done. These decisions out there, our moose are counted, abundance is there, the number is there, so whenever the number is up so high the percentage of allowing permits are allowed.

We're on this other side where ACs say come on just tell us everything. We bring it up and nothing happens. But I just thought of this here. We're really doing the work and it costs a lot of money to do this. The thing is we like to be out in the woods and there's a handful of us and there's people in Galena, Koyukuk, Nulato, Kaltag, they really are strong people. If it was way back in the day, those people would be the main chiefs of those villages. They'd follow them anywhere they want to go.

 $\label{thm:changes} \mbox{Nowadays there's different changes and you're the chief on paper and all of this stuff, but}$

technically those people that do all this stuff they watch they're like from maybe the Lord. I don't know where they're from. But they help the people for everything; fishing, hunting, protection, search and rescue. Those guys I hand it to them. They're never mentioned. They never ask for anything, but they protect their country from a lot of things.

 $$\operatorname{\sc Anyway},$$ like I said, I talk all day. Thank you for being here.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Darrell. Now we -- Darrell. Tom, we have Darrell on line. You wanted to say something, Darrell?

MR. VENT: Yes. Good morning, Madame Chair. I'm here in Anchorage and I'm listening to Tom there. It's a good thing what he's doing down in that area because we've been having issues up in our area. I remember back in the '80s or '90s they went out and had to take some of the predators out and it really helped the moose population.

Now here again we are having some issues up in our area, around the Dolby area. There's a big pack down there. I know Tom's been around there. I think Rico and probably a few others up in that area there.

It's good that they're working on the wolves, but now that these bears they don't have no more fish, we're having a real issue here in Huslia right now. They're really trying to get that bear. It's been around two days now and people have been spotting it right in their yards.

I think that, you know, once they take those moose a lot of times those animals will start coming around. So I think we have to try to put in a preventive program for predation because, you know, they affect one area and they don't do nothing about another area. That's going to increase and we're going to have a loss of moose population.

So I agree with Tom there. We've got to work on that program there and help on both sides because it costs money to go out and do what he does.

Thank you.

1 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, 2 Darrell. Very good comment. Pollock.

MR. P. SIMON: Thank you, Madame Chair. I want to talk to about our salmon fishing in the early days. The old timers told stories of some lean years where there was no salmon. 1940 to 1945 there was hardly any salmon. It improved since 1945 to 1950. In the '50s I was in Hughes. There was plenty of fish then. We'd catch about 80 or 100 a day. The way it was is we'd just take as needed. Our elders always tell us to save what we get hunting and fishing. They always tell us to take care of our fish and take care of the animals and show respect. That's how we make our living.

Then the Statehood Act and then the State and the Feds took over the fish management and the salmon disappeared. Around Allakaket there's about 20 miles there's David's fish camp. That's the only one of cabins left. But in between there and Allakaket were other fish camps. They're empty now and the fish racks falling in. There's no fishing now. It's like that's if you can't catch -- not allowed to catch king salmon then they don't want to fish at all. That's the way it is.

King salmon is the main fish diet for our people up and down the river. Once fishing and hunting were and he said the salmon might come back a little bit, but not as it used to be. He said that king salmon is something we enjoyed in the past.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Pollock. Okay. We're going to take a short break now until 11:00. Be back by 11:00 because we have so many things to go over. Thank you to all who spoke. Thank you, Darrell for speaking and Jack. We'll see you in 10 minutes.

(Off record)

(On record)

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. I guess we're all ready. Welcome back. As I look at our agenda, we do have a lot of things to cover. We're

going to move some things around. I just realized we haven't even started the meeting yet. So, with that, we'll start with Cory.

MR. GRAHAM: Hello, Madame Chair.
Members of the Council. For the record my name is Cory
Graham. I'm a fisheries biologist with the Office of
Subsistence Management. I'll now present Fisheries
Closure Review 23-02, which can be found starting on
Page 87 of your Council book.

 $$\operatorname{FCR23-02}$$ reviews the Federal subsistence closure to the harvest of all fish in the Kanuti River drainage. This is a standard Board directed review to determine if the closure is still necessary.

Under Federal regulations subsistence harvest of all fish is prohibited in the Kanuti River closure area. The Federal closure was carried over from State regulations at the beginning of the Federal program and has not been reviewed or modified since. Under State regulations the Kanuti River is closed to subsistence fishing, but sport fishing is allowed. The closure area crosses the Dalton Highway and is located on general domain land managed by the Bureau of Land Management.

According to local knowledge and the anadromous waters catalogue, chinook and summer chum salmon are present in the Kanuti River downstream of the closure area. Aerial surveys indicate few salmon inhabit the drainage. Non-salmon fish species such as Arctic grayling, burbot, round whitefish, northern pike and longnose sucker have been observed in the Kanuti River, but information in the closure area is limited.

Wiseman and Coldfoot are the communities most likely to subsistence fish in the area if the closure is rescinded. If the closure is rescinded, Federal subsistence regulations for the Yukon northern area would apply. Harvest of salmon would be allowed if authorized by State emergency order or Federal special action.

Non-salmon fish could be taken with any gear listed at the top of Page 89 of your Council book. Subsistence rod and reel harvest limits would match State sport fishing harvest and possession limits.

Harvest would be unrestricted for all other legal gear types.

The OSM preliminary conclusion is to rescind the closure. Currently, a portion of the Kanuti River is closed to subsistence fishing but open to other uses. Rescinding the closure would establish a Federal subsistence priority in the area. However, allowing unrestricted harvest for gear types other than rod and reel may lead to overharvest. While populations may be protected by limiting subsistence harvest to rod and reel or modifying harvest limits, these modifications simply aren't possible through the closure review process and would require a fisheries proposal be submitted during the next fisheries regulatory cycle.

Until such a proposal can be submitted, the Federal in-season manager may use their delegated authority to restrict gear types and/or harvest limits, for up to 60 days, to protect populations in the closure area. Actions exceeding 60 days would require a temporary special action be implemented by the Board.

 So the motions are slightly different for this than for the proposal, so if you can turn to Page 103 of your Council book, you'll see what motions can be presented or that the Council can do. The firsts is retain status quo. So that's just simply keeping the closure in place. The next is rescind the closure, so that would just be eliminating the closure from regulations. The Council can also modify the closure, but again you can't modify gear type or harvest limits. You could, for example, rescind the closure only for non-salmon species. The Council may also defer the decision to the next regulatory cycle or take no action.

That concludes my presentation. I'll stand by for any questions you may have.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Do we have any questions for Cory at this time?

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: If not, thank you very much. Next one is -- Cory, I think you're up

again. You're going to be talking about Bonanza Creek. No? MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair. MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Jack. MR. REAKOFF: We're still deliberating this proposal FCR23-02. We would be going down through the tribal consultation reports, ADF&G comments, et cetera. We're still deliberating this -- or going to deliberate this proposal. MS. PILCHER: All right. So we just did the presentation of analysis. Is there any tribes or ANCSA corporations online that would like to weigh-in. I do not believe we received any, but if anyone is online. For the sake of time, I will probably go guickly. So if I gloss over you, just speak over me, all right. Any agency comments, which would include ADF&G, Federal or tribal. MS. STUBY: Good morning, Madame Chair. Lisa Stuby, Alaska Department of Fish and Game. There are no ADF&G comments, which is pretty much my fault. I misunderstood what Cory had just mentioned about the procedures and rules for modification. I assumed that this would proceed similarly to two years ago or last year when the Jim River proposal was an FCR and it was modified to pretty much follow the sport fish regulations just for conservation purposes because this is off the road system. It is a pretty prolific grayling fishery, but then to allow for a harvest of 10 versus 5 Arctic grayling.

So I had thought -- and I pretty much confused everybody. The Delta will come up and it's going to be similar. That we should wait until the Western Interior Regional Advisory Council presents modifications, but now I know that those can't be presented.

 $$\rm So\ I\ will\ be\ working\ on\ and\ going}$ through my channels and going through my leadership for official State comment, but the one thing that I can

mention is just a concern regarding sustainability. Again, we're off the road system of an unregulated fishery and just express those concerns. Generally we are -- again, I can't say today, but would be concerned about the sustainability. Generally would be opposed to an unregulated fishery.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you.

MS. PILCHER: All right. So the next is advisory group comments. Other Regional Councils.

MR. GRAHAM: The Eastern Interior Regional Advisory Council voted to modify by rescinding the closure to non-salmon species only. The Council was concerned about Yukon River salmon stocks and additional harvest on those stocks.

The North Slope RAC met and they voted to rescind the closure. The Council noted that rescinding the closure would benefit subsistence uses and provide a Federal subsistence priority.

Seward Peninsula RAC opted not to take up the closure review and the Yukon Kuskokwim Delta will meet next week and consider this proposal then.

MR. WHITWORTH: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Kevin.

MR. WHITWORTH: I just have a question for Cory. So this rescinding would basically -- the delegation of authority for the fishery would go to the Federal in-season manager and that person would make open/closure comments. It doesn't completely open it to fishing like Lisa had mentioned. So I just wanted to ask that. Holly or Cory could answer that. Does that make sense?

MR. GRAHAM: Madame Chair. This is Cory Graham with OSM. So rescinding this closure would open that fishery, but we have the tools through the special action process to make changes, modify, to close, to open and such. That would be limited to 60 days under Holly's delegation of authority and it could be a temporary special action request, but would need to go to the Board and that wouldn't go until the next regulatory cycle.

0201 1 MS. CARROLL: I guess through the Chair. This is Holly Carroll. I think -- Kevin, does that answer your question? One of the concerns is that as the Fishery Manager I only have temporary special 5 action authority. So if we're going to open a whole drainage, that's year round, right? So I can't close 6 7 it for longer than 60 days. So while it gives Federal management authority, I still would have to go to the 9 Federal Subsistence Board to create some structure 10 around managing that. 11 12 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Did that answer 13 your question, Kevin? 14 15 MR. WHITWORTH: Yes. Thank you. 16 17 MS. PILCHER: All right. There's been 18 no Fish and Game Advisory Committees submitted to 19 comment on this at this time. I don't believe any 20 Subsistence Resource Commissions have either as they 21 haven't met yet. We have not received any written 22 public comments. No public testimony has been received 23 at this meeting. After that it is back to the Council. 24 25 MR. REAKOFF: I'd like to speak. 26 27 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Jack. 28 29 MR. REAKOFF: I'm going to make a 30 motion to modify the closure to non-salmon to maintain the salmon closure and allow for freshwater stocks 31 32 harvest. So modification of the closure as EIRAC has 33 done already. I will speak to various aspects of this 34 after we get a second. 35 36 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Is there a second. 37 38 MR. GERVAIS: Seconded. 39 40 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Motion by Jack 41 to -- whatever he said and seconded by Tim. 42 Discussion.

43 44

MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair.

45 46

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Jack.

47

MR. REAKOFF: This area is distant from Wiseman, Coldfoot, which are the primary subsistence

```
0202
    users. These fisheries would occur for like grayling
    would be as people were down there berry picking.
    it would be nominal harvest that far distant from these
    communities. There's absolutely little concern for
    overharvest that we would be utilizing that fishery.
 5
    This ascension would then give opportunity to submit a
 6
 7
    proposal, as we have for the -- at this time there's
    little concern on my part that there would be any
 9
     realistic overharvest scenario.
10
11
                     Thank you, Madame Chair.
12
13
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Jack.
14
    Any other discussion.
15
16
                     (No comments)
17
18
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Question.
19
20
            MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you. All in favor
21
            say aye.
22
23
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
24
25
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: All opposed same
26
     sign.
27
28
                     (No opposing votes)
29
30
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: The motion
31
     carries. Okay. Cory, you're up again.
32
33
                     MR. GRAHAM: Madame Chair. Members of
34
     the Council. Again for the record my name is Cory
     Graham. I'm a fisheries biologist with OSM. I'll now
36
     present Fisheries Closure Review 23-03, which can be
37
     found starting on Page 105 of your Council book.
38
39
                     This is again a standard review of a
40
    Federal subsistence fishery closure to the harvest of
41
    all fish in the Bonanza Creek drainage. The purpose of
42
    the review is to determine if the closure is still
43
    necessary and this is really similar to what I went
44
    over for the Kanuti River.
45
46
                     So like the Kanuti River, under Federal
47
    regulations subsistence harvest of all fish is
48
    prohibited in this drainage.
                                    The Federal closure was
49
    carried over from State regulations and has not been
```

reviewed or modified. Under State regulations Bonanza Creek is closed to subsistence fishing, but sport fishing is allowed. The closure area also crosses the Dalton Highway and is located on general domain land managed by the Bureau of Land Management.

Information regarding salmon is limited, but chum salmon may spawn in Bonanza Creek and have been documented downriver of the Dalton Highway. Population assessment near and above the Dalton Highway for all salmon species is lacking in the drainage.

The non-salmon fish community is comprised of Arctic grayling, burbot, round whitefish, longnose sucker and northern pike. Grayling abundance was assessed in 1996 in a 3.3 mile section of the creek. Results indicated there was a density of approximately 349 grayling per mile.

Again, Wiseman and Coldfoot are the communities most likely to subsistence fish in the area if the closure is rescinded. If the closure is rescinded, Federal subsistence regulations for the Yukon northern area would apply. Harvest of salmon would be allowed if authorized by State emergency order or Federal special action.

Non-salmon fish could be taken with a variety of gear types. Rod and reel harvest limits would match State sport fishing and harvest and possession limits. Harvest would be unrestricted for all other legal gear types.

The OSM preliminary conclusion is to rescind the closure. Currently, the system is closed to subsistence fishing but open to other uses. Rescinding the closure would establish a Federal subsistence priority. Populations may be protected by special actions until a proposal that modifies allowable gear types or harvest limits could be submitted.

That concludes my presentation. I'll stand by for any questions you may have.

MR. GERVAIS: Madame Chair.

48 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Tim.

```
0204
 1
                     MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Madame Chair.
    Cory, I'm not understanding. How can it be open to
 2
     sport fishing if the land is BLM land? Wouldn't it be
     closed except for -- well, it seems like the Federal
 5
     closure would overrule the State sport regulation
 6
    because of land ownership.
 7
 8
                     MR. GRAHAM: Madame Chair. These are
 9
     again closures that have been on the books for a really
10
     long time that we're just now looking at. Because
     they're in there in the regulations I don't think that
11
12
     that would automatically close sport fishing because
13
     that's a State regulation.
14
15
            MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Don.
16
17
                     MR. HONEA: Thank you, Madame Chair.
18
    Cory, I think what's really throwing me off is the word
19
     rescind. Is that OSM preliminary conclusion to
20
     eliminate....
21
                     MR. GRAHAM: (Nods affirmatively)
22
23
24
                     MR. HONEA: Okay, eliminate.
25
26
                     MR. GRAHAM: Through the Chair. Yes,
27
     that is correct.
28
29
                     MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair.
30
31
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Jack.
32
33
                     MR. REAKOFF: We should have Nissa go
34
     through the Tribal consultation report, et cetera.
35
36
                     MS. PILCHER: 10-4, Jack. All right.
37
     So I did not receive word that any tribes or
38
     corporations submitted. If there's any online.
39
40
                     (No comments)
41
42
                     MS. PILCHER: Agency comments. State,
43
     Federal or Tribal.
44
45
                     MS. STUBY:
                                Through the Chair. Lisa
46
     Stuby, State of Alaska, Department of Fish and Game.
47
     My comments for Bonanza Creek are pretty much the same
48
     as for Kanuti as they're all -- pretty much follow the
49
     State subsistence closures including the Jim River. So
50
```

0205	
1	my comments are similar.
2	•
3	MS. PILCHER: Other Regional Councils.
4	
5	MR. GRAHAM: Madame Chair. Cory Graham
6	for the record.
7	ior the record.
8	MD WHITTER. His is Vlaus Wuttie
	MR. WUTTIG: Hi, this is Klaus Wuttig
9	with Fish and Game.
10	
11	MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Go ahead.
12	
13	MR. WUTTIG: Thank you, Madame Chair.
14	I apologize this is through the phone, but just to
15	reiterate Bonanza Creek is different than the Kanuti
16	and the Department has sustainability concerns given
17	that rescinding it would allow gear types such as
18	gillnets. You can almost jump across it in places. So
19	having all gear types available with no harvest limits
20	is a true concern. So I just wanted to point that out.
21	It's a completely different situation than Kanuti.
22	
23	Madame Chair.
24	
25	MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Thank
26	you, Klaus. Cory.
27	you, Ridus. Coly.
28	MR. GRAHAM: Madame Chair. The Eastern
29	
30	Interior Regional Advisory Council voted to modify by rescinding to non-salmon species only. Again, the
31	Council was concerned about Yukon River salmon stocks
32	and additional harvest on those stocks.
33	
34	North Slope Regional Advisory Council
35	voted to rescind the closure. The Council noted that
36	rescinding the closure would benefit subsistence uses
37	and provide a Federal subsistence priority.
38	
39	The Seward Peninsula Regional Advisory
40	Council opted not to take up the closure review and Y-K
41	Delta will meet next week.
42	
43	Thank you.
44	<u>.</u>
45	MS. PILCHER: All right. State Fish
46	and Game Advisory Committees. I don't think they
47	weighed in yet.
48	
49	Subsistence Resource Commissions have
49	Subsistence Resource Commissions have

0206 not met yet. 2 3 MS. CARROLL: Sorry, Madame Chair. You 4 asked for agencies and I'm going to talk on behalf of 5 the Federal agency. I just wanted to reiterate that just like Kevin's question on the previous one, my 6 7 management authority on this, if this were to be opened, is limited to 60 days. So to manage this 9 fishery year round we would need to go to the Federal 10 Subsistence Board and create structure around that as 11 to gear, length of time people could fish, all of that. 12 13 So I just wanted to clarify that for 14 you. While you would have Federal management, it needs 15 further refinement if this fisheries opened up. 16 17 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you. 18 19 MS. PILCHER: Nissa Pilcher again for 20 the record. There were no written public comments 21 received and no public testimony either. So that would 22 turn it back over to the Council. 23 24 MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair. 25 26 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Go ahead, Jack. 27 28 MR. REAKOFF: So at this point I would 29 make a motion to modify the closure to, as EIRAC has 30 done, provide non-salmon species harvest without salmon 31 harvest. I will speak to other aspects of these 32 fisheries that will be used for subsistence after we 33 get a second. 34 35 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. So second 36 to the motion. 37 38 MR. WHITWORTH: I'll second. 39 40 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Seconded by 41 Kevin. Discussion. 42 43 MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair. 44 45 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Jack. 46 MR. REAKOFF: These drainages, Jim 47 48 River, Kanuti, they cross perpendicular to the 49 direction of the road, so as documented there's quite

0207 1 the biomass there. The subsistence users -- the primary predominant species in those drainages is Arctic grayling and those are harvested with rod and reel. Those fish are only present from May through 5 late September and then they vacate the drainages. 6 7 So there's no year-round fishery. 8 There's no fishery going to occur. Ninety-nine percent of grayling that are harvested are harvested with rod 9 10 and reel for subsistence in the upper drainages. It's 11 the most effective method of take. 12 13 So again I will state for the record 14 that I feel there would be incidental -- if people are 15 down in that area, they may catch grayling incidental 16 to their other subsistence activities in that area, but 17 there would not be excessively large harvests or people 18 are not going to go there with other harvest methods of 19 gillnet, et cetera. 20 21 Thank you, Madame Chair. 22 23 24 All in favor of the motion say aye.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Jack.

25 26

IN UNISON: Aye.

27 28

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: All opposed same

29 sign.

30 31

(No opposing votes)

32 33

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Motion carries. Okay. Onto Cory again. Sorry about that. This is Liz that's going to speak.

35 36 37

38 39

40

41

42

34

MS. WILLIAMS: Good morning, Madame Chair and members of the Council. This is a crossover customary and traditional use analysis and I'll kind of keep it short. It's more of a housekeeping thing that came up during some of the recent closures. I'll start with some of the notes and cut it short unless you want more detail.

43 44 45

46

47

48

The analysis itself begins on Page 123 and it's a crossover proposal because it affects fisheries in the Yukon. Proposal FP23-02 was submitted Chevak Native Village and requests the Federal Subsistence Board to recognize customary and

traditional uses of chinook, summer chum, coho, sockeye, and pink salmon by residents of Chevak, Hooper Bay, and Scammon Bay.

Currently these three communities have a customary and traditional use determination for and are eligible to harvest fall chum salmon only. So the reason Chevak submitted this proposal was that in spring 2022 the Federal Subsistence Board closed Federal public waters of the Yukon River drainage to the harvest of salmon from June 1st through September 30th. Any subsistence fishing opportunity on Federal public waters was announced by the Federal manager.

This brought up the issue that Chevak, Hooper Bay and Scammon Bay residents customary and traditional use determination for salmon is for fall chum only. When the Federal manager provided opportunity to harvest salmon, residents of these three communities were not eligible to harvest other species of salmon under Federal regulations. So OSM staff asked the Native Village of Chevak to submit this proposal so that we could correct this omission.

In the early days of the program there were a few oversights and, quite frankly, mistakes or accidental omissions. We can't really reconstruct the record of how these three communities were not included. So that's the purpose of this proposal is to acknowledge their customary and traditional uses of all these other species. They were harvesting them under State regulations before this was -- or I guess they knew. But anyway. So Federal regulations would make it much better for them.

 That's kind of the summary of this proposal. If you'd like more information, the person who wrote the analysis went through the eight factors for customary and traditional uses and found them 1,000 percent customary and traditional uses.

So that's the end of my presentation unless you have questions. Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Do you have any questions, Kevin?

MR. WHITWORTH: Yes. Thank you, Madame Chair. Do these communities have customary and

0209 traditional use on the Kuskokwim? I can't remember. 2 3 MS. WILLIAMS: Through the Chair. Mr. 4 Whitworth. I don't know. 5 6 MR. WHITWORTH: I'm seeing no in the 7 background. It doesn't really matter, I guess, but I 8 was just curious. Thank you. 9 10 MS. WILLIAMS: Okay. I would have to 11 look. Pippa Kenner actually did this analysis, so I don't know off the top of my head. Okay, according to 12 13 the fish regs, no. That's on Page 37 in the Federal 14 regs if you wanted to look. 15 16 MR. WHITWORTH: Thank you. 17 18 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you. With 19 that we'll give a presentation of the analysis. 20 21 MS. PILCHER: This is Nissa Pilcher. 22 Board consultations. We did not receive any comments 23 that I am aware of from tribes or corporations. I'll 24 move to agency comments. Fish and Game. 25 26 (No comments) 27 28 MS. PILCHER: Federal. 29 30 MS. CARROLL: Madame Chair. This is 31 Holly Carroll. I know Liz did a great job presenting, 32 but as a Federal Manager I just want to reiterate that 33 if this was passed it would give me another tool in my 34 toolbox to allow these three communities to be 35 Federally qualified and fish for salmon when we have 36 the opportunity to allow that. 37 38 It was really hard as a manager this 39 year to allow this tiny opportunity to go for coho and 40 those three communities were not legally Federally 41 qualified users during those openers. If this passes 42 at the Board, they will get to fish in any Federal 43 opportunities next summer for any type of salmon. 44 45 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you. 46 47 MS. PILCHER: Tribal. 48 49 MR. J. SIMON: Thank you. Through the

Chair. For the record my name is Jim Simon. I'm representing the Tanana Chiefs Conference, Tribal Resource Stewardship Program. I've discussed this proposal with the leadership of the Resource 5 Stewardship Program and based on knowledge shared with me by the late Mary Pete when I was hired 20 years ago 6 7 to the Division of Subsistence Mary Pete was the director then, but had previously worked as a 9 subsistence resource specialist throughout this portion 10 of the coastal area. I learned from her very quickly 11 because of such a priority for her research background 12 that the salmon stocks that these three communities 13 rely on are Yukon River bound salmon stocks.

14 15

16

17

18

19

Some of the residents of these three communities formerly lived in other villages prior to the government requiring people to move and get their kids in school, et cetera, lived closer to -- I believe it's called the Black River, which is part of what leads into one of the Yukon River mouths.

20 21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

So from the perspective of the Tribal Resource Stewardship Program at TCC the inability of the Federal In-season Manager to allow any limited opportunities for Federally qualified subsistence uses that these three communities couldn't have access to those resources is a problem that the Tanana Chiefs Conference wants to support in correcting by supporting this proposal.

29 30 31

Thank you.

32 33

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you very

34 much.

35 36

MS. PILCHER: Other Regional Councils.

37 38

(No comments)

39 40

MS. PILCHER: Did any other Regional Councils weigh in on this?

41 42 43

44

45

MS. WILLIAMS: The Eastern Interior RAC -- this is Liz Williams -- deferred to the home region. The Yukon Kuskokwim Delta RAC meets next week, so they'll address it then as well.

46 47 48

MS. PILCHER: This is Nissa Pilcher. To my knowledge no Fish and Game Advisory Committees or

```
0211
 1
    Subsistence Resource Commissions have submitted
    comments on this proposal either. There was no written
    public comments received and no public testimony at
    this time that I'm aware of. So that would turn it
 5
    back over to the Council.
 6
 7
             MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Is there a motion
 8
             to adopt.
 9
10
                     MR. GERVAIS: I make a motion to adopt
11
    FP23-02 with the intent to support.
12
13
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Is there a
14
    second.
15
16
                     MR. P. SIMON: I second.
17
18
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Seconded by
19
    Pollock. Motion made by Tim and seconded by Pollock.
20
    Discussion.
21
22
                     (No comments)
23
24
                     MR. P. SIMON: Question.
25
26
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: The question has
27
    been called for. All in favor of the motion say aye.
28
29
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
30
31
            MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: All opposed same sign.
32
33
                     (No opposing votes)
34
35
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Motion carries.
36
    With that we have Cory.
37
38
                     MR. GRAHAM: Madame Chair. Members of
39
     the Council. Again for the record my name is Cory
40
     Graham. I'm a fisheries biologist with the Office of
41
     Subsistence Management. I'll now present Fisheries
42
    Closure Review 23-05, which can be found starting on
43
    Page 149 of your Council book.
44
45
                     FCR23-05 reviews the Federal
46
     subsistence fishery closure to the harvest of all fish
47
     in the Delta River. Again it's a standard or directed
48
     review to determine if the closure is still necessary.
49
    Under Federal regulations subsistence harvest of all
```

fish is prohibited in the Delta River. The Federal closure again was carried over from State regulations at the beginning of the Federal program.

Under State regulations the Delta River is closed to subsistence fishing, but sport fishing is allowed. The Delta River, which is located in the Eastern Interior Region, begins at the outlet of Lower Tangle Lake, about 60 miles south southwest of Delta Junction. The upper portion of the Delta River is a component of the National Wild and Scenic River System, which is administered by the Bureau of Land Management. The lower section of the closure area is on General Domain Land, which is also managed by BLM.

 Chum and coho salmon spawn in the lower section of the Delta River, but salmon do not inhabit the closure area. The non-salmon fish community is comprised of Arctic grayling, burbot, round whitefish, longnose sucker, lake trout and dolly varden. Previous research indicates the closure area provides year-round habitat for an abundant population of Arctic grayling with one of the highest recorded density estimates ever recorded for the species in Alaska.

Big Delta and Delta Junction are the communities most likely to subsistence fish in the Delta River if the closure is rescinded due to their close proximity to the drainage. If the closure is rescinded, Federal subsistence regulations for the Yukon northern area would apply. Non-salmon fish could be taken with a variety of gear types that are listed on Page 150 of your Council book.

Rod and reel harvest limits would match State sport fishing harvest and possession limits. Again, harvest would be unrestricted for all other legal gear types.

The OSM preliminary conclusion is to rescind the closure. Again Federal public waters of the Delta River are closed to subsistence fishing, but open to other uses and rescinding the closure would establish a Federal subsistence priority in the area. Again, similar to the previous closure reviews, populations may be protected by special actions until a proposal that modifies allowable gear types or harvest limits can be submitted.

```
0213
 1
                     That concludes my presentation and I'll
 2
     stand by for any questions you may have.
 4
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Any questions.
 5
 6
                     (No comments)
 7
 8
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: If not, that's
 9
     introduction of the proposal. Now we need a
10
     presentation of the analysis.
11
12
                     MS. PILCHER: This is Nissa Pilcher.
13
     To my knowledge no comments were received by tribes or
14
     corporations. If there's any online, this would be the
15
     time to speak.
16
17
                     (No comments)
18
19
                     MS. PILCHER: So agency comments.
20
     State.
21
22
                     MS. GLEASON: Thank you. This is
23
     Christy Gleason. I'm the fall season manager with the
24
     Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Deena and I manage
25
    the subsistence and the personal use fisheries for this
26
    area. We don't have an official ADF&G comment on this
27
    proposal, but I did want to let you know that the Delta
28
    AC met last night and I was in attendance for that
29
    meeting with Brandy Baker, the sport fish biologist,
30
     and this proposal was discussed and they took it up and
31
     they unanimously opposed it just for the general
32
     concerns of sustainability of people fishing with
33
     gillnets for non-salmon in this area.
34
35
                     Those are my comments at this time.
36
37
             MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA:
                                   Thank you.
38
39
                     MS. STUBY: Alaska Department of Fish
     and Game, Division of Sport Fish, Yukon Area Management
40
41
     Biologist, excluding the Tanana. I'm actually just
42
     speaking for Brandy, who is out counting coho salmon
43
     currently on the Delta Clearwater. The State at this
44
    point does not have comments for the reasoning I gave
     for both Bonanza and the Kanuti River. A
45
46
    misunderstanding of the whole procedure for
47
    modification.
48
49
                     But I just want to kind of reiterate
```

0214 1 what I said for those two drainages. In general, the State is concerned and generally does oppose an unregulated fishery with respect to harvest limits and gear types due to very similar sustainability concerns. 5 6 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you very 7 much. 8 9 MS. PILCHER: Now we'll hear from 10 Federal. 11 12 MS. CARROLL: Thanks very much. Madame 13 Chair. Holly Carroll, Federal In-season Manager for the Yukon. I wanted to highlight that again. If this 14 15 closure were rescinded and it was open to all fishing 16 year round, the Federal manager has to take action to 17 close anything or to set bag limits or do anything like 18 that. My authority is limited to a 60-day period. So 19 we would need to work with the Federal Subsistence 20 Board and other managers to figure out a way to manage 21 this fishery. So just kind of reminding you of some of 22 the limitations in my abilities to manage a year-round 23 fishery on this system. 24 25 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: 26 27 MR. WHITWORTH: Madame Chair.

28 29

30 31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

48

49

50

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Kevin.

MR. WHITWORTH: I have a question for Holly. Could you -- after that 60-day period could you reapply basically for another 60 days?

MS. CARROLL: Through the Chair. Thanks for that question. What I'm understanding about my emergency special action authority is no. It is limited to 60 days for the same type of action, so I can only take new types of actions and that's why we would need the Federal Subsistence Board or proposals or something to create a lot of structure around this for gear types, different salmon, bag limits.

It would be a whole new fishery that has not existed before and it would take a bit of work to execute. I just wanted to make it clear that when you take those Federal closures away, it is open and I can only close it for a certain amount of time. just making that clear for you guys.

0215 1 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Tim. 2 3 MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Madame Chair. 4 Holly, what year was this closure instituted? 5 6 MS. CARROLL: Through the Chair. 7 think Cory discussed all these closures have been on the book for decades and this is part of the closure 9 review to see why they're there and whether they should 10 be there. I don't know the original closure date or 11 the reasons for the closures. Most of these closures 12 are based around the road system. You can see there's 13 a lot of roads around this system. 14 15 MS. PILCHER: All right. And then 16 tribal. 17 18 MR. J. SIMON: Thank you. For the 19 record, my name is Jim Simon. For the purposes of this 20 closure review I'm representing the Ahtna Intertribal 21 Resource Commission where I'm also a consultant. 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29

This closure review is a very clunky process, you know, with the inability for OSM or you to make any modifications in rescinding a closure to provide for sustainable methods and means, customary and traditional use determinations, et cetera. For that reasons, you know, this is the first time as I understand it that this closure review has ever been before reconsidered by the Federal Subsistence Management Program. OSM is recommending removing the closure.

36

37

38 39

40

30

31

When this was added to the agenda, I believe last week Southcentral Regional Advisory Council meeting for them to consider it, that is when we learned that the Southcentral Regional Advisory Council doesn't have any jurisdiction to weigh in on this closure review despite the fact that the headwaters of the Delta River are contained within the Ahtna Traditional Use Territory.

41 42 43

44

45 46

47

48

While the Board of Directors of AITRC has not yet met to discuss this specifically, AITRC staff as well as the president of the Native Village of Tazlina, who sits on the Southcentral RAC, wants to weigh in on this closure review. This is an area that is customarily and traditionally been used for taking non-salmon as well as wildlife resources since before

the Delta Junction and road system existed and they continue to use this area.

Having grown up in the Ahtna
Traditional Use Territory, I'm personally familiar with
this area of the Upper Delta River and have fished for
non-salmon fish there myself even though I am no longer
Federally qualified. I think it's important to
recognize that the Ahtna Intertribal Resource
Commission is also very committed to sustainable
fisheries management and would also not like to see
this closure removed to the extent that an
unsustainable fishing practices occur. But in order to
get the process started there needs to be support for
rescinding the Federal closure.

As Holly has expertly explained, her authority is limited to 60-day emergency authority. Removing the closure could then -- and having Holly place limitations or a temporary closure or an emergency closure in place could at least allow the Federal Subsistence Board to take up a temporary Special Action Request that could close fishing until the next fisheries regulatory cycle whereby then a proposal could be submitted to establish bag limits and methods and means determinations.

I suspect, given the Office of Subsistence Management is recommending rescinding this closure, if I remember correctly, that the Ahtna Intertribal Resource Commission will be submitting a proposal to have their customary and traditional use determination recognized given the longstanding and continuing use of this area for fishing.

 $\mbox{\sc I}$ think with that I've covered all the points I need to. Thank you.

 $\label{eq:madame} \mbox{{\tt MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA:}} \quad \mbox{\tt Tim has a question.}$

MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Madame Chair. Jim, the Federal Subsistence Board cannot take any action on creating seasons and methods and means and bag limits until the closure is rescinded?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$ J. SIMON: To my understanding that is correct, but there are others in the room who know this much better in more detail. I think the

constraints that you and everyone has about these closure reviews stems from the closure review policy and some of the limitations put into that. But I would defer to Katya or Tom or others in the room who probably have a much clearer explanation. Perhaps Cory.

Thank you.

MR. GRAHAM: Madame Chair. So the Board can do that through the proposal process. The fisheries proposal process. Right now we can't do that through the closure review process, but if this was for example deferred, somebody could submit a proposal like you did for the Jim River that would establish bag limits, gear type restrictions and also rescind the closure at the same time.

MS. PILCHER: This is Nissa Pilcher just to finish out the procedure. The next is advisory group comments. We did hear from Ms. Gleason about Fish and Game Advisory Committees. How about other Regional Councils?

MR. GRAHAM: Madame Chair. This is Cory Graham for the record. The Eastern Interior Regional Advisory Council voted to defer this. The Council noted the need to hear from people that would be affected by this closure and that would be the Delta AC. The Council was also concerned about the lack of monitoring and potential overharvest.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{The}}$ North Slope RAC recommended to defer to the Eastern Interior Council who is most affected by this closure.

The Southcentral Regional Advisory Council provided a comment and they recommended OSM remove the Federal subsistence fishing closure of the Delta River, but delay opening Federal fishing until such time that OSM has conducted an 804 or similar analysis of the area to determine which Federally qualified users should receive a C&T determination in the Delta River Drainage and to make recommendations as to the appropriate methods and means of harvest to ensure sustainable fisheries management.

The Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta RAC will meet next week.

0218 1 MS. PILCHER: All right. And then 2 Subsistence Resource Commissions. I don't believe we've received comment from them. No written public 4 comments or public testimony was received. Hold on. 5 6 MR. J. SIMON: I'm sorry. For the 7 record, this is Jim Simon on personal testimony. 8 Something I forgot to mention in the AITRC testimony I 9 just gave is that, again, when I was hired into the 10 Division of Subsistence what I was taught is that all 11 of the Delta River was closed as well as these other 12 closures that you've been dealing with today in order 13 to protect the fish during the construction of the 14 Alyeska Pipeline and then as Cory has already 15 identified those closures. 16 17 So those closures all predated the 18 State subsistence statute as well as the Federal 19 Subsistence Management Program. It's a holdover of the 20 adoption of existing State subsistence fishing 21 regulations and closures when the Federal subsistence 22 fishery program was established. 23 24 Thank you. 25 26 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you. 27 28 MS. PILCHER: All right. Then with 29 that it goes back to the Council. 30 31 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Is there a 32 motion to adopt. 33 34 MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair. 35 36 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Jack. 37 38 MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair. I make a 39 motion to defer this proposal FRC23-05 to region. This is within the Eastern Interior Region and I feel that 40 41 they should deal with this issue as it affects them 42 mostly. 43 44 Thank you, Madame Chair. 45 46 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you. 47 there a second. 48

MR. WHITWORTH: Second.

49

```
0219
 1
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: The motion was
 2
    made by Jack to defer. Seconded by Kevin. Discussion.
 3
 4
                     MR. P. SIMON: Question.
 5
 6
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: The question has
 7
    been called for. All in favor say aye.
 8
 9
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
10
11
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: All opposed same
12
     sign.
13
14
                     (No opposing votes)
15
16
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Motion carries.
17
18
                     MS. PILCHER: This is Nissa Pilcher for
19
     the record. Sorry to stall you guys's forward
20
    momentum, but I was hoping we could actually go back to
     the previous proposal FP23-02, which was to revise
21
     customary and traditional use determinations for Yukon
22
23
     River salmon. If you guys could just put a brief
24
     something on the record for your support, that would be
25
     very helpful.
26
27
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Thank you
28
    so much.
29
30
                     MR. GERVAIS: Madame Chair.
31
32
                     MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair.
33
34
                     MR. GERVAIS: Can I....
35
36
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Jack.
37
38
                     MR. GERVAIS: .....supply some
39
     justification for 23-02.
40
41
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Oh, Tim.
42
43
                     MR. GERVAIS: Yeah.
44
45
                     MR. REAKOFF: I'll defer to Tim. Go
46
     ahead, Tim.
47
48
                     MR. GERVAIS: Excuse me, Jack.
49
    didn't hear you on there. I think the justification
50
```

for our support would be that these coast communities it would be natural for them to be first and any migrating salmon heading towards the various mouths of the Yukon River. From what we know it sounds like a fishery that would have been occurring for a long time and we're just recognizing that those people use that resource.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Tim.

10 Okay, Jack.

MR. REAKOFF: I agree with Tim's statement. This is for the OSM record for the Federal Subsistence Board use that we recognize this customary and traditional use and rescinding this closure is warranted.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Jack. Is there a question? Call for the question. Sorry, we already voted. I'm all mixed up, but that's okay. Okay with that. I don't know what happened to Mr. Honea. Anybody know? MIA, I guess.

 $\label{eq:with that we have --- I guess we'll do} % \end{substitute} % \end{substitute}$

MS. WILLIAMS: Sorry about that. Good afternoon, Madame Chair and members of the Council. I'm Liz Williams, anthropologist with OSM. Cory and I are here to discuss the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. What we're talking about today is an action item. There's general information about the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program on Page 161. Then I think Nissa has in your packets some of the specific information we'll be talking about.

So what we would like to do today is have the Council consider and approve the priority information needs for fisheries research for the Yukon and Kuskokwim regions. OSM administers the Monitoring Program which funds research and monitoring projects that informs the management of subsistence fisheries in the Federal public waters of Alaska. It's also directed at supporting meaningful involvement in fisheries management by Alaska Native and rural organizations and promoting collaboration and partnerships among Federal, State, Alaska Native and

local organizations.

So sometime in 2024 -- or actually the Office of Subsistence Management will announce the Notice of Funding Opportunity for 2024 later this winter. So this is like a call for proposals. The first step in the funding process is for the Regional Advisory Councils, you, to identify priority information needs for each region. We've talked about a lot during this meeting.

Priority Information Needs identify issues of local concern and knowledge gaps related to subsistence fisheries. In addition they guide the monitoring program by determining the types of projects that are submitted for funding and provide a framework for evaluating and selecting project proposals.

In late September of this year volunteer members from the Eastern Interior, Western Interior, and Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta Councils met to develop a list of Priority Information Needs for the Yukon region.

Members from Western Interior and Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta Councils met to develop a draft list of Priority Information Needs for the Kuskokwim Region. Volunteers from your Council were Tim Gervais for the Yukon and Kevin Whitworth for the Kuskokwim.

If you like, I can read the Priority Information Needs for both rivers and ask for you to comment on and approve the list. Again, this is an action item and we'd like to get your consideration and approval of these lists today. We can certainly modify or specify things to what's written. It doesn't have to be exactly as written.

Madame Chair, if you would like for me to read these out loud or if you'd all prefer to just read them yourselves.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Council, do you want to just read them yourself? I think we'll just read them ourselves. Thank you.

MS. WILLIAMS: I'll defer to you, Madame Chair, when you all have finished reading. Do you want to read them right now so we can decide?

MR. WHITWORTH: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Kevin.

7

9 10

MR. WHITWORTH: Liz had mentioned I was part of the group that helped with the list for the Kuskokwim River and I'll just give a brief summary of what we were trying to do here. We were trying to -we started off with a big list. I mean over 20 Priority Information Needs. But we tried to pare it down to some critical five I would say or the highest priority.

12 13 14

15

16 17

18

11

I worked with several people from OSM and Alissa Rogers with the Yukon Delta RAC also was involved. So it's not just me. Anyhow, I feel comfortable with the five that we proposed for the Kuskokwim. Feel free to read them, but I'm comfortable with these five for the Kuskokwim.

19 20 21

That's all I wanted to share. Thanks.

22 23

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Anybody else.

24 25

MR. GERVAIS: Madame Chair. Did you want me to comment on how we evaluated the Yukon needs?

26 27 28

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes.

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

MR. GERVAIS: Okay. So in our meeting approximately a month ago we had a long list because we're also interested in trying to find information and solutions to increasing our fish stocks. I don't think we took hardly anything off the table. One new one that was introduced to the list from our meeting was cued analysis so much different information coming out, all the studies, whether it's genetics or ichthyophonus or whatever. A lot of research from all different levels.

39 40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

I was stating I thought it was beyond the means of the average Council member to understand all the information from all the different sources and, therefore, this term meta analysis meaning that somebody would assemble all this different information from different sources and provide a more condensed report so that the Council members could make decisions based on the best available information.

```
0223
 1
                     I don't know if we can change it, but
    on this cue when it talks about the marine environment,
    environmental conditions, bycatch competition. I think
    we also discussed interception as one of those reasons.
     If it's okay with the anthropological team, I would
     like to add that specifically to the list, interception
 6
 7
     along with bycatch.
 8
 9
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Tim.
10
    Any other comments.
11
12
                     MS. WILLIAMS: I just wanted to say
13
     that if we hear correctly, Kuskokwim, you would like to
14
     adopt as written. Yukon, you would like to amend Item
15
     Q and include interception?
16
17
                     MR. GERVAIS: That's correct for Yukon,
18
     yes.
19
20
                     MS. WILLIAMS: Okay. That's in the
21
    marine section. Thank you. This was Liz Williams.
22
23
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Kevin.
24
25
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Thank you, Madame
26
    Chair. I'd like to make a motion to accept
27
    Yukon-Kuskokwim Priority Information Needs for 2024
28
     FRMP cycle as written with that modification to add
29
    interception to Q.
30
31
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Is there a
32
     second.
33
34
                     MR. GERVAIS: I will second that.
35
36
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Motion made by
37
    Kevin. Seconded by Tim. Discussion.
38
39
                     (No comments)
40
41
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: If not, all in
42
     favor say aye.
43
44
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
45
46
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: All opposed same
47
     sign.
48
49
                     (No opposing votes)
```

1 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Motion carries. 2 Thank you. Liz.

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

4

MS. WILLIAMS: This is Liz Williams again, anthropologist at OSM. I have a part two. Apologies. We also wanted to talk about the sort of subcategory of the Fisheries Resources Monitoring Program. It's the Partners for Fisheries Monitoring Program. We call it the Partners Program for short. I'm sure you've heard reports from Partners throughout past meetings.

11 12 13

14

15

16

17

Before I begin I'd like to introduce Nicole Farnham who's in the back and she'll be presenting to you later. She works with Tanana Chiefs and she is funded through the Partners Program and she'll be giving you an update on her work that she conducted this summer.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In mid October there will be another Notice of Funding Opportunity or a call for proposals and it will be posted on OSM's website and it's a competitive grant for Alaska Native and rural nonprofit organizations. Again, the intent is to strengthen Alaska Native and rural involvement and Federal subsistence management by providing salary funds to organizations so they can hire a professional biologist, social scientist or educator.

29 30 31

32

33

34

35

The grant provides funds for science and culture camps and paid student internships. More information on this is posted on our website, but if you look at Page 170 my colleague Karen Hyer coordinates that program and her email address as well as her direct phone number are there if you have questions, you'd like to apply.

36 37 38

39

40

41

42

We try to really support people who submit applications and we don't often get questions, but organizations that would like to participate are highly encouraged to call and get some assistance or guidance in preparing an application because those aren't always crystal clear.

43 44 45

That's the end of this presentation.

Thank you.

46 47 48

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Liz. With that I think we're going to go ahead and go to

0225 lunch. I'll give you an hour and 15 minutes. Be back at 1:30. Be back at 1:30. 3 4 (Off record) 5 6 (On record) 7 8 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, we'll 9 reconvene our meeting at 12:34. And our first item 10 would have been Jack been discussing the process and 11 timeliness but we're going to table that until the next 12 meeting and we'll go ahead and hear annual report from 13 Nissa. 14 15 MS. PILCHER: Thank you, Ms. Chair. 16 Members of the Council. For the record my name is 17 Nissa Pilcher, Subsistence Council Coordinator with 18 OSM. 19 20 Now -- yes, I'm on the right agenda 21 item, just doublechecking. 22 Now, is the time for the Council to 23 24 decide what issues to include in its annual report. 25 For your information guidelines for annual reports can 26 be found on Page 55 of your meeting book, that's the 27 one with the black comb binding, so that's Page 55. 28 29 This is an action item. 30 31 ANILCA established the annual report as 32 a way to inform the Federal Subsistence Board of 33 regional subsistence uses and needs and to provide 34 recommendations for regional fish and wildlife 35 management strategies, policies, standards, guidelines 36 and proposed regulations. Section .805(A)(3(d) as in 37 Delta of ANILCA stipulates that your annual report 38 should contain an identification of current and 39 anticipated subsistence uses of fish and wildlife 40 populations within the region, an evaluation of current 41 and anticipated subsistence needs for fish and wildlife 42 populations within the region, a recommended strategy 43 for the management of fish and wildlife populations 44 within the region to accommodate such subsistence uses 45 and needs and recommendations concerning policies, 46 standards, guidelines and regulations to implement the

MR. HONEA: I can't find it.

49 50

47

48

strategy.

0226 1 MR. GERVAIS: It's not on Page 55. 2 3 REPORTER: Nissa, it's 171. 4 5 MR. HONEA: Thank you. 6 7 MS. PILCHER: Page -- oh, my apologies, 8 it looks like I misspoke, it's not Page 55, it's Page 9 177 of your meeting book. 10 11 MR. HONEA: I still can't.... 12 13 MS. PILCHER: Your annual report 14 ensures the Board has the most up to date awareness of 15 issues, concerns and current events that impacts your subsistence ways of life. With your report and 16 17 recommendations.... 18 19 REPORTER: Don, it's 171. 20 21 MS. PILCHER:the Board can make 22 informed decisions on regulatory and policy actions. 23 Please share items or information that you would like 24 to see raised to the Board's attention in your 2022 25 annual report and have a discussion on what you would 26 like OSM to include under this topic. These items will 27 be drafted into topics of your annual report based on 28 information you provide today and the Council will 29 review the draft report at your winter '23 meeting to 30 provide edits and any additional information. 31 32 All right. 33 34 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you, 35 Nissa. The next one will be..... 36 37 MS. PILCHER: Sorry, this is an action 38 item so this is an opportunity for the Council to let 39 the Board know of any concerns or other items that 40 they'd really like to draw the Board's attention to. 41 42 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Jack, do you 43 have any comments on this. 44 45 MR. REAKOFF: The only thing that I 46 could think of, Madame Chair, is we have the dall sheep 47 closure for the prescribed unit of Unit 24A and 26B 48 west, there needs to be -- the Board needs to be aware 49 there has to be a sheep management plan to progress

forward and a strategy to manage the dall sheep population within and near the Dalton Highway Corridor Management Area. So this is sort of a -- the Council should have a report from biological Staff and the Council should be in discussion about a management plan but the Federal Subsistence Board also has to be aware 6 7 that there needs to be a management strategy to initiate a reopening of this area to non-subsistence 9 uses so that should be one of the annual report topics 10 to the Federal Subsistence Board.

11 12

Thank you, Madame Chair.

13 14

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you, Jack. Anyone else.

15 16 17

Tim.

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Madame Chair. Yeah, I would like an item for the annual report to communicate this Council's disagreement that there's still salmon being harvested in commercial fishing when we're having trouble -- we're not meeting our escapement goals on the Yukon River. Specifically that we still have salmon taken out of the population with the BSAI trawl fishery, bycatch -- incidental bycatch in a commercial fishery while there is no subsistence harvest and escapement is not being met.

32

33

And I guess it would be a second item because it's a different system, that there's severe subsistence restrictions on the Kuskokwim and the same issue that we're having, the bycatch taken out of the population with -- from the BSAI trawl fleet also.

34 35 36

So I think those should be two separate items in the annual report.

37 38 39

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. What did you say the second one was?

44

45

46

MR. GERVAIS: The second one is pertaining to the Kuskokwim River, saying that there's significant subsistence restrictions in place and there's still fish removed from the marine ecosystem due to commercial fishing operations, commercial trawl fishing operations.

47 48 49

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you,

0228 Tim. Any other concerns with the Board. 2 3 Kevin. 4 5 MR. WHITWORTH: Thank you, Madame 6 Chair. If we can add on the salmon issue concern that 7 Tim brought up, add Area M interception -- did you say 8 Area M Tim. 9 10 MR. GERVAIS: No, my..... 11 12 MR. WHITWORTH: No. 13 14 MR. GERVAIS:the two topics I 15 brought up were specifically addressing Bering Sea 16 trawl bycatch. 17 18 MR. WHITWORTH: I would like to see, I 19 know it's a State water issue but Area M intercept 20 part of that concern. 21 22 Also, I think we should have a topic of 23 continued co-management of fish and trying to work with 24 tribes and the tribal co-management and the tribal 25 consultation. I think this just needs to be put up 26 front again that the Biden Administration and Secretary 27 of Interior Haaland have executive orders for continued 28 co-management and also doing more co-management within 29 DOI and NOAA. So co-management and co-stewardship of 30 species that are not only under conservation concern 31 but species that are important for people, tribal 32 people. Like Darrell suggests, you know, he's talked 33 about we should be active with all management, not just 34 conservation species like -- anyhow. 35 36 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Don. 37 38 MR. HONEA: Thank you, Madame Chair. 39 would concur with Kevin on maybe a more thorough study of Area M complex there. I believe that we should, at 40 41 least support Virgil's, whatever that proposal was, and 42 I think -- you know, when we talk about the bycatch or 43 whatever, the factors that are leading to, I think we 44 have to really consider some of the bycatch of chum in 45 Area M, so I think we ought to have a thorough study 46 done on that.

Thank you.

```
0229
 1
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Don.
 2
     Tim.
 3
 4
                     MR. GERVAIS: I wanted to ask the other
 5
    Council members if they thought it was valid to express
     concern over Alaska Industrial Development Authority
 6
 7
     still pushing for development of the Ambler Road when
    this Council has noted in our past meetings to -- that
 9
    it would be a big detriment to the ecosystem and to
10
    subsistence users to have that road put in place. Do
11
    other Council members feel that's valid for a topic?
12
13
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Don, do you have
14
    any?
15
16
                     MR. HONEA: No.
17
18
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay.
19
20
                     MR. HONEA: I'm sorry, Tim, I kind of
21
     -- are you asking about the support for that
22
     resolution?
23
24
                     MR. GERVAIS: Well, I'm asking if you
25
     feel like the WIRAC's opposition to the Ambler Road, if
26
     you think that's valid to be an annual report topic?
27
28
                     MR. HONEA: I deem it necessary and
29
     whatever it takes to oppose that road, yes.
30
31
                     MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair, this is
32
     Jack.
33
34
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Jack, do you
35
    have any -- Jack, yes.
36
37
                     MR. REAKOFF: Yes, Tim's right. This
38
     Council has highlighted this issue to the Federal
39
     Subsistence Board that the road would have significant
40
     impact to fish and wildlife resources that would affect
41
    our region. The road crosses -- basically half of the
42
    road crosses through our region. And as I've stated
    before on the record, that road is not a private road,
43
44
    it will be open because anybody can stake a mining
45
    claim to then become a commercial user. That's how
46
    these hunters started using the Haul Road. Long before
47
    the Haul Road was open, hunters found out they could
48
     stake mining claims for relatively price to buy their
49
    permit to be a commercial user, so it's a serious
```

concern of the people in the Upper Koyukuk at how much additional hunting pressure will be exerted and fishing pressure against sheefish stocks on the Alatna River and Kobuk River and all the fisheries, the commercial guiding that will take place if this road is now open — there's lots of commercial guides that are hunting up here and they're highly competitive with local people. This is an issue that the Federal Subsistence Board — concerns that we should bring forward again, that this is — this will have long range ramifications for the subsistence users in our region.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you, Jack. I also think -- I know from the past this Council has been very supportive in opposing the Ambler Road and I think we should keep continuing to say it and write letters or whatever it takes to -- for them to hear us. Like Darrell said we need to take action on stuff so I think we need to just keep saying it and saying it and saying it. Because I remember when I sat on our Louden Council we had something that we brought up and year after year after year after year we kept on just harping, bringing it up and we finally got what we were harping about. So I think if we just keep on going and harping and, you know, standing behind the opposition of the Ambler Road maybe it'll happen.

Pollock.

MR. SIMON: Up in Allakaket the peoples talk about the Ambler Road, they said it's going to go through anyway but there's things that -- if the road right now is just -- it's for industrial use only, that sounds good but they also said that about the oil pipeline Haul Road but later it was turned over to the State and of course the State opened the road to the public and lots of people drive up the road, not only to look at the mountains but fish here and there and hunt and trap, that's (indiscernible) people from Allakaket. Of course we don't have no salmon, we're restricted in fishing, there's low number of moose, and the caribou hasn't returned since 1974. So that's one reason that they're afraid of the Haul Road. They come to our village sometimes to offer job opportunities but that will be just short-term compared to what will happen. The proposed road will cross the Henshaw River and salmon spawn on the Henshaw River and Alatna River

there's sheefish spawn, whitefish spawn and the proposed road will (indiscernible) these places and that's why people are afraid if they build this it would be a disaster. Right now there's no salmon and we really fish for sheefish and whitefish (indiscernible) if those are impacted through this.

That's it, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you, Pollock. Okay, do we have anything -- oh, Kevin.

MR. WHITWORTH: Thank you, Madame Chair. So I sit on the McGrath AC and they, too, are really concerned about sheep in 19C so it's west — east of McGrath in the Farewell region. Nikolai has a winter hunt up in the range, I think the quota is maybe five. But I would just like to add 19C to the concerns for our letter as well as the Brooks Range population too. But the number 1 concern for that McGrath AC was sheep. That was at the last meeting so I wanted to bring that up.

Also the last concern I have, that we've heard a lot of it, too, that folks are talking about is non-salmon species, whitefish in particular, and sheefish and folks having to adjust, you know, they can't get salmon so they're having to target whitefish to try to meet their needs and there's concern for the populations of whitefish. But in the upper Kuskokwim also there's concern because there's no access to fish for whitefish all of that time in December -- or excuse me, that whole month -- anyways, was completely closed so I think we should point that out as well.

That's all, thanks.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you, Kevin. Tim, did you have something to say.

MR. GERVAIS: Yeah. I just wanted Kevin to talk about that region around McGrath and up river, this -- is that all State land, 100 percent other than the Native land.

MR. WHITWORTH: Yeah -- Jenny. So there's BLM -- there's -- it's mixed. But I think most of it is State land, State managed but I can't remember how close Denali Park is to that area but it is State

managed. You know it's just like the Ambler Road, it's very checkerboarded throughout the entire reach of that Ambler Road but it is a concern.

I think Nikolai had C&T for sheep at one point but I can't remember the Federal lands up in that area. But most of it is State, yeah. Is that helpful.

MR. GERVAIS: (Nods affirmatively)

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Are we done with that now, Kevin are you satisfied, Tim.

MR. GERVAIS: Yeah, Jenny -- or Madame Chair. I would like to add just one specific topic on its own, it's just saying that for these river systems, historically chinook salmon was the most important and most nutritious subsistence resource we had, and the other -- the other salmon were also significant on their own and now that there's either no fishing allowed, or significant reduction in subsistence harvesting, that all the subsistence users in the Western Interior are extremely compromised and we would encourage the Federal Subsistence Board to do whatever they can to help us understand and figure out a way forward to regain these runs back into all these drainages.

So that, that's differing than those first two I was mentioning, I was addressing the bycatch. This last statement I made is just highlighting the significance of not having salmon as part of our subsistence economy.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you, Tim. So any more to add for the annual report.

Nissa.

MS. PILCHER: This is Nissa Pilcher for the record. Just to doublecheck something, so Jack's looking at -- or sorry, Member Reakoff's looking at a dall sheep -- or requesting the Board elevate, understand that a management plan needs to be put into place for the population near the Dalton Highway Corridor. Unit 19C sheep probably wouldn't be included in a management plan involving the corridor. I do understand the McGrath AC has had concerns for awhile,

0233 that would mostly be population, or external, guiding forces, basically -- not guiding forces but..... 3 4 MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair. 5 6 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Jack. 7 8 MR. REAKOFF: I'll clarify for the 9 record, I was referring to the Federal public lands 10 associated through the Dalton Highway Corridor 11 Management Area in Unit 24A and 26B west of the 12 Sagavanirktok, not just the Corridor. 13 14 MS. PILCHER: Thanks for that 15 clarification Jack. Just to circle back on Kevin, so 16 do you want to expand on the concerns that residents of 17 19C have for sheep in that area, just to make sure that 18 I get it right on the report? 19 20 MR. WHITWORTH: Yep. Yeah, not a 21 So McGrath AC, I think at the last meeting, problem. 22 so at the spring meeting State biologists plus the --23 all of the members of the McGrath AC had concern for 24 the sheep up in 19C Farewell drainage, up in those 25 areas. The population has significantly crashed in the 26 past year or two and this is -- friends of mine have 27 gone up there sheep hunting and they just left because 28 they weren't seeing the sheep. And the guide 29 operations are calling it quits because they are not 30 seeing sheep. Lots of concern -- it's the same time 31 period when the Brooks Range crashed, the 19C 32 population also crashed. These rain events, or icing 33 up in the mountains. And so the McGrath AC thought 34 that they were going to close this sheep hunt but they 35 did not this last year, it may be coming up but I think 36 we should just, in general, just need to say that there 37 is concern for this sheep population and it should be 38 closed. 39 40 Is that helpful. 41 42 Thank you. 43 44 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Tim, do you have 45 something else. 46 47 MR. GERVAIS: Well, regarding Kevin's 48 concerns with 19Charlie sheep, it sounds like it should

just be its own separate topic rather than just in

49

0234 addition to Jack's. All right. 2 3 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Anything 4 else. Don. 5 6 MR. HONEA: Thank you, Madame Chair. 7 Yeah, I would just like to mention Tommy's concern from the proposal, whatever that was from Nulato Tribe, as 8 9 to how to -- the protocols for getting to be a 10 controlled use area, in the Kaiyuh Flats there. I 11 believe it's across from Nulato and what kind of concerns me is, you know, whether it's -- we're talking 12 13 about State land or it's a myriad of State, Federal, 14 BLM, I don't know the -- I think that it would be a 15 good thing to bring up in a future meeting. I'm not 16 going to be at the next meeting, granted, this is my 17 last meeting for a year or something. But I would like 18 to know the protocol for doing that. And between then 19 and now, and next year -- next fall -- when we -- when 20 we will take the thing up, I would like to, you know, 21 be able to be informed on that, or the Council to be. 22 23 Does that make sense? 24 25 I think it -- I think it's a concern of 26 ours. If a tribal council brings that up and they're 27 concerned about, you know, the -- how to go about that whether it's through State avenues or whatever, I think 28 29 it's something we should be aware of, something that 30 Ruby AC can help out and all of our ACs collectively 31 could, you know, jump on board and support them. 32 33 And I think if we're talking about 34 annual reports or something, I think it's -- it's --35 it's a matter of concern that, you know, I'd like to 36 mention. 37 38 Thank you. 39 40 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Don. 41 Do we have anything else that you want to add to the 42 annual report. 43 44 Tim. 45 46 MR. GERVAIS: I'd like to hear your 47 concerns, Madame Chair. 48 49 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: I thought I said

0235 my concerns. Mine was short so I did say it. 2 3 (Laughter) 4 5 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: But like I said 6 we harp about things and I agree with all of you, what 7 we have because the annual report is very important when we write it -- we don't write it, but somebody 9 else writes it for us and I know Jack has always been 10 stressing that annual report, we have to get this in, 11 get that, make sure they hear this. So I think we did a good job with the few Council members we have here 12 13 and Jack on the phone. Thank you, Jack, for being 14 right there. 15 16 Anything else. 17 18 Tim. 19 20 MR. GERVAIS: Yeah, we need -- I think 21 another thing we should add on is we need to get the --22 need to get a member from the GASH area and more 23 reliability out of middle Kuskokwim so we can -- the 24 Council needs to understand better what's going on in 25 those areas. And, yeah, we need to increase the amount 26 of women on the Council. 27 28 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Very well said, I agree with you. Okay, Kevin. 29 30 31 MR. WHITWORTH: Thank you, Madame 32 Chair. I know, process-wise, usually the Staff writes 33 the letter but the last go around I was able to help 34 early on in the writing of the letter, especially the 35 salmon portion, I'd be willing to help write this 36 portion again, you know, it's in a lot of our reports 37 and I could try to capture some of this if you guys are 38 open to that. And the co-management section, 19C 39 sheep, these areas that I've -- at least the points 40 that I brought up today I can elaborate on that, if you 41 guys would like, I could work with Nissa, it's no 42 problem. 43 44 We did this the last letter. 45 46 (Council nods affirmatively) 47 48

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: We all agree.

0236 1 Go ahead. 2 3 MS. PILCHER: Can I -- through the 4 Chair. Don, I have a question about Tommy's concern. So one of the things -- the impetus of his concern was 5 basically hunters coming in from outside and that's why 6 7 they wanted the controlled use area being created and just process-wise, controlled use areas are State so 8 9 that would be through the Board of Game, which would be 10 a proposal, which it sounds like they're going to do so 11 we'll have another opportunity to weigh in on that. 12 But would -- do you want the report to reflect that the 13 Council is interested in furthering the controlled use 14 area, a State proposal, or do you want it to maybe 15 reflect that you have concerns with -- in times of 16 limited resources, especially outside hunters coming 17 into areas where resources are limited and others are 18 depending on them? 19 20 MR. HONEA: Well, I guess what I meant 21 was maybe a study, maybe it doesn't have to be 22 extensive or something but, you know, when we were 23 discussing this, Tim mentioned something kind of 24 interesting like, well, hey, maybe if you do this then 25 we're going to get more pressure in this area which 26 brings up a valid point. So I guess what we -- I am 27 kind of geared towards the pros and cons on this whole 28 thing. The very protocol of entering into, you know, 29 it's not so much a call of ours or even OSM or the --30 but because it's the Subsistence Council -- I mean the 31 Federal Board or whatever, but it's a State call 32 correct. 33 34 MS. PILCHER: Uh-huh. 35 36 MR. HONEA: Okay. I guess I'm just 37 looking for maybe information for myself on protocol on 38 this and so maybe -- maybe just something that --39 study of how to go about this -- a protocol and stuff 40 could be made available to the Council members. 41 42 Thank you. 43 44 Is that clear, is that..... 45 46 MS. PILCHER: (Nods affirmatively) 47 48 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you,

49

50

Don.

```
0237
 1
                     MR. HONEA: Thank you.
 2
 3
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Anything else.
 4
 5
                     MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair.
 6
 7
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Jack.
 8
 9
                     MR. REAKOFF: I just wanted to clarify
10
     for Don, a proposal will be submitted to request a
11
     controlled use area so typically what the State Board
12
     of Game regulatory process -- Ruby AC and the Regional
13
     Councils will have opportunity to comment on that
14
    proposal when it's produced. And at our spring
15
    meeting, which is in February, we would have the
     opportunity -- is it February or April -- anyways at
16
17
     our next spring meeting coming up we'd have opportunity
18
     to find out what the prop -- if the proposal is
19
     submitted and what it says and we would be able to
20
     comment and Ruby AC would make comment at that time.
21
22
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Jack.
23
24
                     Don.
25
26
                     MR. HONEA: Can I respond.
27
28
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Go ahead.
29
30
                     MR. HONEA: Thank you, Mr. -- thank
31
     you, Jack, for clarifying that. I guess what I'm --
32
    maybe I'm just making some pointers for the next
33
    meeting for the next agenda and maybe this should not
34
    be an annual report thing. So if that's confusing I'll
35
    retract it.
36
37
                     Thank you.
38
39
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. I think
40
     we....
41
42
                     MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair.
43
44
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Jack.
45
46
                     MR. REAKOFF: Yes, it'll be in the
47
    proposal process and I just see that our next meeting
48
     is in April, so just for clarification.
49
```

0238	
1	Thank you.
2	
3	MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Jack.
4	Okay, if there's nothing else I think all our would
5	you be able to read some of the stuff back or do we
6	just go with what we have?
7	
8	MS. PILCHER: It's up to the Council.
9	Do you want me to run through the bullets or Jenny
10	Chairwoman could run through the bullets, or does
11	everyone feel they're clear on it.
12	
13	MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Does anybody
14	want her to read it back or did you listen when you
15 16	were saying things.
17	(No comments)
18	(NO COMMETICS)
19	MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, I think we
20	all listened and heard.
21	
22	MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair.
23	
24	MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: So if there's
25	nothing else to add to the annual report, do we need a
26	motion on this?
27	
28	MS. PILCHER: (Nods affirmatively)
29	
30	MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, we need a
31	motion. Is there a motion to
32	MD GIMON. Go wood
33 34	MR. SIMON: So moved.
35	MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Moved by
	Pollock. Is there a
37	Torrock. To there a
38	MR. HONEA: Second.
39	
40	MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA:seconded by
41	Don to go ahead with the annual report with the stuff
42	that was said.
43	
44	Discussion.
45	
46	(No comments)
47	
48	MR. SIMON: Question.
49	
50	

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Question has been called for. All in favor say aye. IN UNISON: Aye. MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: All opposed same sign. (No opposing votes) MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, motion carries. Next on the agenda we have Nissa and she's going to do Council applications.

MS. PILCHER: Yep. Thank you, Ms. Chair. Members of the Council. For the record my name is Nissa Pilcher, Subsistence Council Coordinator for OSM. I'd like to briefly talk about the current Council application and nomination open season. This is not an action item and I would like to note we did actually just talk about it in your annual report. So — and actually quite frequently over the last day and a half this has come up.

So first I would like to thank all Council members for your volunteer service on the Council and on behalf of the Federally-qualified subsistence users in your region. Your knowledge and experience are of great value to the Federal Subsistence Management Program and to the Board. This program is best served by having robust Councils with full membership including more women and also members from the GASH region and the mid-Kuskokwim. At the request of the Board we will be engaging the help of Native Liaisons of Federal agencies in helping to solicit more applications during this appointment cycle. We are also requesting that you help because you are our connection to the communities and the region that you represent.

The 2023 Council application period is currently open and will close February 21st, 2023. Please assist us to help spread the word in your region to recruit new applicants. You know the people in your region and you also can share firsthand experience of what it means to serve on the Council, what is involved and how much time it takes. You can also nominate candidates if someone is interested but having a difficult time applying or you're more than welcome to

give them my contact information and I will assist them.

There are application packets at the meeting for you to take back to your communities to distribute to interested persons, organizations and tribes. OSM, in turn, has mailed lots of copies out of the application period -- packet across Alaska. OSM will also gladly fax applications if anyone is in need, and they can also be found on our website at www.doi.gov/subsistence/regions. Hopefully there will be a good number of qualified applicants this year to fill all vacant seats and have alternates available. There are currently three seats that will expire and there's one vacant seat to fill for a total of four seats that will become open for appointment or reappointment on December 2nd, 2023. All incumbents whose seats expire will then need to reapply during this cycle. Incumbent forms were handed out in your green meeting folders of those council members whose terms will expire in 2023 which are Member Whitworth and Member Gervais. Jack, I emailed one out to you since you weren't able to attend in person. And I also have extras if you have misplaced yours.

And that concludes that.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you, Nissa. And I don't know if this should be on the record but looking at Page 4 of the Advisory Council you have me down for 20 -- expire 2021, and I think it's.....

MS. PILCHER: Yep, I got it in my notes to get it fixed. Thanks.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{MADAME}}$ CHAIR PELKOLA: So my term expires in 2024.

MS. PILCHER: Correct.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{MADAME}}$ CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. With that we have Nissa again.

MS. PILCHER: All right. Ms. Chair. Members of the Council. For the record my name is Nissa Pilcher, Subsistence Council Coordinator for OSM. I am going to present to you information on how you could potentially get reimbursed if you had any

telephonic or internet expenses associated with your participation in the Council meetings over the last two and a half years. The material for this agenda is in your supplemental materials, Tab 1. This, again, is not an action item.

This issue was brought up to the Board's attention by the Yukon Kuskokwim Delta Council during their winter, 2022 meeting. OSM reviewed the policies on reimbursement and I would like to provide you with information on the types of expenses that may be reimbursed and the documentation necessary to receive reimbursement.

For the time period when the Council meetings were held via teleconference you can be reimbursed for internet and phone charges that are in excess of their normal bill. Council members who have internet or cellular plans that charge a flat monthly fee that they pay regardless of Council meetings cannot be reimbursed for their normal charges. In order for Council members to receive reimbursement for excess charges they need to submit a copy of their bill that covers the time period in which the virtual Council meetings took place and indicate the excess charges for attending the virtual meeting. Council members can submit this information to me or mail their bills to the Office of Subsistence Management at 1011 East Tudor Road, Mail Stop 121 Anchorage, Alaska 99503.

That's it.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Who brought that up did you say?

36 MS. PILCHER: The Yukon Kuskokwim 37 Delta.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. So how does that Council feel about that.

Kevin.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Don.

MR. HONEA: Yeah, Madame Chair. I believe we all got a letter concerning this thing here.

```
0242
    But I -- I don't know if it's going to arise again but,
     you know, we all know the complications of
     teleconferencing. It's especially hard for me, for
    myself, and having to do this without any kind of
    compensation. I'm not looking so much for compensation
 5
     as to boy you just going to have to have a -- when we
 6
 7
     do that, two cell phones. About three or four hours
     into this and I'm running dead here and I'm going to
 9
     have to plug in. I don't know, I guess it's just
10
     something we have to endure. But I would support the
11
     thing of some kind of compensation, some kind of, you
12
     know, give us a temporary cell or hours or something if
13
     and when we had to do that.
14
15
                     That's just my comment, thank you.
16
17
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Tim, do you have
18
     anything.
19
20
                     MR. GERVAIS: Not at this time.
21
22
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Pollock.
23
24
                     MR. SIMON: No, I was sleeping.
25
26
                     (Laughter)
27
28
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. That's
29
     okay, we all do the same thing.
30
31
                     MS. PILCHER: Am I that boring.
32
33
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, Kevin, do
34
    you want to reconsider and say something.
35
36
                     MR. WHITWORTH: No.
37
38
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: No, okay.
39
     time he's quiet.
40
41
                     (Laughter)
42
43
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: But I agree with
44
     Don. It's really hard on the teleconference, and I've
     already said it before. It's so good to be here to see
45
46
     faces and sometimes we look confused up here because we
47
     haven't seen each other in so long and we don't know if
48
     we're speaking to the same person. But, Jack, do you
49
    have anything else to add.
```

MR. REAKOFF: No, I don't, Madame Chair, thank you. MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Wow, short. So what do we do, just go on. MS. PILCHER: It's not an action item. MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, something to think about. Okay, thank you. Next up we have Peter Christian. MR. CHRISTIAN: Good afternoon, Madame Chair and members of the RAC. My name is Peter Christian. I work for the National Park Service out of the Regional Office in Anchorage. Thanks for giving me just a little bit of time on your busy agenda to talk to you today about an important issue that affects how we manage sport hunting on our National Preserve lands.

Last winter, I think it was February, the Department of the Interior directed the Park Service to initiate a rulemaking process to reconsider the factual, legal and policy conclusions of the 2020 hunting rule. And you'll remember the 2020 hunting rule is the rule that has allowed the baiting of bears by sporthunters in our Preserves as well as other previously banned activities such as hunting -- or trapping wolves and coyotes in their dens during the denning season, taking black bear cubs and sows with cubs in their dens, shooting swimming caribou and other practices.

So two points that the Park Service would like to look at specifically is, we're reexamining the public safety risk of allowing the baiting of bears in areas where we invite the public to come and enjoy those lands.

The second is, although these practices may be appropriate -- certainly are appropriate for Federal subsistence users, is this something we want to authorize for sporthunters to do.

So I want to be clear, none of this affects Federal subsistence regulations, we're talking about regulations pertaining to sporthunting.

1 So we started an informal dialogue with tribes and Native corporations on affected area lands 2 about April and what we heard, our primary input that we heard was that this directly creates competition 5 between local people and non-local sporthunters and 6 users. And so, although, we don't have a reg proposed 7 yet or published in the Federal Register, we're anticipating that'll happen sometime in November, 9 December, so we just wanted to get some input from this 10 body ahead of that because certainly your input makes 11 our regulations better. 13 And just to be clear, for the purposes 14 of this Western Interior Advisory Council really the 15

12

only National Preserve lands that we're talking about are up in Gates of the Arctic, the Northwest/Northeast Itkillik Preserve, so that would be Pollock's area, Jack's area, Anaktuvuk, Wiseman, maybe Bettles.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

16 17

> So just here to answer any questions you have and if you have any input that would be wonderful, if you don't, once the reg, the proposed reg is published in the Federal Register, there will be a 60 day public comment period so you can also comment then.

25 26 27

And that's all I have.

28 29

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Do we have any questions or comments.

30 31 32

MR. WHITWORTH: Question. I got a question.

33 34 35

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Kevin.

36 37

MR. WHITWORTH: Thank you, Madame Chair. Thanks for the report. Is Denali National Preserve part of that?

39 40 41

42

43

38

MR. CHRISTIAN: It would be, yeah, Denali National Park -- there's a little section up there in the sort of Northwest corner that could come into play, yes.

44 45 46

MR. WHITWORTH: Okay. And that's right near Telida, so part of Western Interior RAC's area?

47 48 49

MR. CHRISTIAN: It is. Telida and

```
0245
 1
    Nikolai, I believe, would be the two communities.
 2
 3
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Yeah. And that's --
 4
     Denali National Park is not though, right?
 5
 6
                     MR. CHRISTIAN:
                                    The Park is not in play
 7
    but the Preserve lands there where you're talking about
 8
     would be, yes.
 9
10
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Okay, good enough,
11
     thanks.
12
13
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you,
14
    Kevin. Any other concerns. Pollock.
15
16
                     MR. SIMON: I want to mention that to
17
     the north of Anaktuvuk Pass, Anaktuvuk Pass is right on
18
    the -- there's a valley right through the Brooks Range
19
    and to the north is a National Park Preserve where
20
     sporthunting is allowed and the caribou migrate through
21
     that valley. And for many years there's hunting
22
    pressure there and now the caribou don't come through
23
    anymore because there's hunting pressure on the
24
    Preserve.
25
26
                     Thank you, Madame Chair.
27
28
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you,
29
     Pollock. Okay, do you have anything else to add?
30
31
                     MR. CHRISTIAN: Nothing else at this
32
     time, thank you.
33
34
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you
35
    very much.
36
37
                     MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Madame
38
     Chair.
39
40
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. With that
41
    we have State Board -- State of Alaska Board of
42
    Fisheries, we're going to move that down to our future
43
    meeting dates and we're going to start on the agency
44
    reports. There's a time limit of 15 minutes, approved,
45
    and I won't read the rest. But with that we'll start
46
    with tribal governments.
47
48
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Madame Chair.
49
```

0246 1 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Kevin. 2 3 MR. WHITWORTH: Sorry to back up but 4 did we need a comment on that or do we have more time 5 to comment on the National Park Service presentation? 6 7 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: He did ask for 8 comments. 9 10 MR. WHITWORTH: I know. 11 12 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. 13 14 MR. WHITWORTH: But we have other 15 opportunity, right, later on, we'll have more opportunity? I don't know if we need to make comments 16 17 now, just curious, if we had more opportunity later? 18 19 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yeah, I'm sure 20 we do. 21 22 MS. PILCHER: I believe -- and correct 23 me if I'm incorrect, but if the Federal Register comes 24 out in November, December and there's a 60 day comment 25 period, your guys' next meeting is in April which means 26 this Council, as a whole, would not have another 27 opportunity. 28 MR. CHRISTIAN: Madame Chair. 29 30 correct. I guess you could have a separate meeting, 31 you know, to address this particular issue, but that's 32 right if it's April. 33 34 MS. PILCHER: Sorry. Once again this is 35 Nissa. Just timewise it's just kind of a -- you guys are kind of backed into a corner because it hasn't 36 37 actually come out in the Federal Register yet so you 38 don't really have much to comment on. But when it does 39 come out, there won't be enough time for you guys to 40 meet -- your next scheduled meeting won't be in time, I 41 do believe. 42 43 MS. WESSELS: Madame Chair. 44 45 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes. 46 47 MS. WESSELS: Thank you. Katya Wessels 48 for the record. The Council would not be able to have 49 another special meeting to discuss that. Our meetings

```
0247
    are announced in the Federal Register a year in
     advance, we would be hard pressed in order to schedule
     another meeting to address this one issue.
 4
 5
                     Thank you.
 6
 7
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. So I
 8
     quess we won't have.....
 9
10
                     MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair, this is
11
     Jack.
12
13
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Jack -- go
14
    ahead, Jack.
15
16
                     MR. REAKOFF: The Gates of the Arctic
17
    Subsistence Resource Commission will be addressing this
18
    issue at the next meeting far more than likely so
19
    Western Interior Regional Advisory Council has looked
20
    to the Subsistence Resource Commission to address
21
    issues that deal with Park issues. So I would feel
22
    comfortable with the comment, which I sit on that
23
    Subsistence Resource Commission, I feel comfortable wi
24
    the Subsistence Resource Commission addressing these
25
    issues.
26
27
                     Thank you, Madame Chair.
28
29
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you,
30
     Jack. So do we want to defer that to SAR.
31
32
                     MR. HONEA: What was that?
33
34
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Do we want to
35
    refer that to the SAR, S-A-R.
36
37
                     MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair. I make a
    motion to defer that issue to the Gates of the Arctic
38
39
     Subsistence Resource Commission.
40
41
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Is there a
42
    second to that motion.
43
44
                     MR. HONEA: Second.
45
46
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Motion
47
    made by Jack to defer to SAR, seconded by Don.
48
     Discussion. Kevin -- I mean Tim.
49
```

1 MR. GERVAIS: Did -- Peter, do you have 2 any draft language on this? 3 4 MR. CHRISTIAN: Madame Chair. We do not 5 have any draft language at this time that we could show 6 you, we're really looking for input at this stage and 7 what your perspectives are and so nothing to share. 8 Sorry. 9 10 MR. GERVAIS: Okay. Since -- it seems 11 like we're pretty early on in the rulemaking process, 12 we don't have much to work on I'm okay with deferring 13 it to the Subsistence Resource Committee. 14 15 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you, 16 Tim. With that, all in favor say aye. 17 18 IN UNISON: Aye. 19 20 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: All opposed same 21 sign. 22 23 (No opposing votes) 24 25 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, motion carried, we're going to defer it back to SAR. 26 27 28 Now, as I stated we're on the agency 29 reports now. 30 31 MS. PILCHER: This is Nissa Pilcher. 32 So typically we'll let tribal governments go first, 33 none reached out to me but I did leave it on in case there was one in the room or on the phone during your 34 35 meeting. 36 37 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. 38 39 (No comments) 40 41 MS. PILCHER: So moving on with that 42 we'd have the Native organizations which would be the 43 Tanana Chiefs Conference. And, just, of course, 44 everyone in the room and online is well aware but we are running short on time, there are some folks that 45 46 may get moved up just due to planes and what not, but 47 if those in the audience that are planning on giving a 48 presentation could give us the short and sweet version

0248

49

50

that would be great as well.

```
0249
 1
                     Thank you.
 2
 3
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: TCC, is that
 4
    where we are?
 5
 6
                     MS. PILCHER: Yeah.
 7
 8
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Tanana Chiefs,
 9
     do we have -- okay.
10
11
                     MS. FARNHAM: Good morning everybody --
12
     or sorry, afternoon. It's been a long day -- long few
13
     days. Good afternoon, Madame Chair and members of the
14
     Council. I'm Nicole Farnham and I am one of the
15
     Fisheries Biologists at the Tanana Chiefs Conference.
16
     And I'm going to hopefully give you a guys a quick,
17
     short, brief summary of what we did this summer and
18
     what we have up and coming.
19
20
                     So next slide, please.
21
22
                     (Pause)
23
24
                     MS. FARNHAM: Well, it's getting into
25
     focus.
26
27
                     (Pause)
28
                     MS. FARNHAM: Okay. Just for timesake
29
30
     I'll keep moving it along.
31
32
                     So our mission is to protect the
33
     cultural and traditional lifestyle and resources that
34
     fuel it. It is our goal to help advocate for the
35
     conservation and sustainability of these customary and
36
     traditional practices so that it may be continued and
37
    passed down from generation to generation. We strive
38
     to incorporate traditional knowledge into current
39
     research, management systems and to develop
40
     partnerships with other agencies and organizations to
41
     help achieve these goals.
42
43
                     Next slide please.
44
45
                     So we have a few current projects here
46
     at TCC. The one that TCC currently oversees right now
47
     is the Henshaw Creek weir and then we are in the
48
     process with working with Fish and Wildlife to take
49
     over the Gisasa weir project in 2024 so we're working
```

together right now to learn how they run the project and how we're going to take it over and manage it in the future. And then for next year we are going to be starting a new humpback whitefish survey up in the middle Koyukuk River region. All three of these projects are funded through the U.S. Fish and Wildlife OSM FRMP funding and our Partners Program also funds Brian McKenna's position, and thanks to all of these funding opportunities, it really helps us have our efforts succeed and for us to produce good data.

Next slide please.

MR. GERVAIS: Excuse me. You said -- what's the name of the weir that you're taking over?

MS. FARNHAM: The Gisasa weir. So that is in the lower area of the Koyukuk region, or river,

So this year we went out to the Henshaw Creek weir but unfortunately we were unable to operate due to flooding in 2021 so the weir sat in the river all winter long. So we had two different trips out there and we removed the weir and we had several key pieces that needed to be fabricated and so we will be repairing them this winter and I'll have more information on that come this spring.

Next slide please.

 MS. FARNHAM: So here are a couple of pictures that we see with what the weirs looked like when we first got the camp. Unfortunately our fish trap decided it was going to take a trip down the river and so we will be removing that, hopefully, next year, we didn't have the proper tools this year. But the weir did pretty well. It weathered the winter better than we thought. It did change the land, which is unfortunate, so we're hoping that the land will start to revert back over the next few years.

Next slide please.

So this year, like I said, we had two trips out to Henshaw. Our first trip was with a crew of five and we removed the weir and then we did a damage assessment. Came back to town, regrouped and went back out. And the second trip was to facilitate

weir repairs on the panels and do an inventory assessment.

So next slide please.

So the next slide shows some of our intensive sketches that we did so that we could actually fabricate each of the pieces because creating a weir, everything is special, it's not super cookiecutter so you need to have a lot of specs and measurements and all of that. So a few repairs that we will be....

Next slide please.

A few repairs that we will be working on this winter is getting a new winch stand which helps hold the weir together and the bulkhead, which, again, helps keep our trap fish [sic] tight. We're going to have to do some fabrications on the bulkhead, creating new pieces and parts for the chute, which is where the fish swim up so we can count them. Thanks to the Gisasa weir, they had a spare trap that they weren't using and haven't used in about 15 years and they were so kind to have donated it to us and so this winter I'll be doing a 3D puzzle putting it back together to see what pieces we might need still. But hopefully it's a complete trap.

Next slide please.

So this summer I also spent time out on the Gisasa River learning the in and outs of the project. I was working with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife and I went out and helped set up camp and then went back out at the end of season to see how they ran the video weir and helped remove and breakdown camp. During the winter of this year we will be helping Fish and Wildlife with the reports and analyzing the data and helping them do the final report for the end of the season. TCC is also working with the Louden Tribal Council to discuss a partnership for this project starting in 2024 and we're going to hopefully have —be able to hire people from the Galena area for that project.

Next slide please.

And then our last project is the

whitefish study that is starting this coming fall, or I quess next fall. This project will start in the summer 2023. ADF&G will be leading the household survey during the summer and TCC will be leading the biological sampling in the fall. Local research assistants will be hired to support both the household surveys and the biological sampling. TCC will be interested in contracting with local fisher people to sample their Federal Subsistence Board harvest of humpback whitefish in the Alatna River. So if anybody fishes in that area we would love to work with you and all of that.

Next slide please.

So the three main objectives for the whitefish project are to identify important whitefish and other non-salmon fish species in the upper Koyukuk River region with particular attention to the humpback whitefish, build upon past traditional knowledge interviews and harvest surveys to identify any changes to non-salmon fish populations, their habitat and their traditional uses, describe the demographic composition of age, sex, length, and gomaticsomatic index of the Alatna River humpback whitefish population.

Next slide please.

And, of course, none of this can be done without everybody who's helped us from the Allakaket and Alatna Tribal Councils, the Louden Tribal Council, the Office of Subsistence Management and the Partners Program as well as the FRMP, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Fairbanks Office, the Kanuti and Yukon Flats National Wildlife Refuge and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game.

Last slide.

And with that I'll take any questions.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Tim.

MR. GERVAIS: Thank you for your report. I might have missed it because I wasn't in attendance a year ago at our meeting. What was the reason that the weir was left in the river last year, was it high water conditions?

```
0253
 1
                     MS. FARNHAM: Yep. Through the Chair.
    Yep, that's exactly it. There was high water, it was a
 2
     flash flood and unfortunately they waited, they had to
     evacuate camp and then they came back out late, late
 5
     September, early October to try to pull the weir, a few
 6
    people did, and the water ended up freezing on them and
 7
     so they had to get out of camp right away.
 8
 9
                     MR. GERVAIS: So the summer of 2022,
10
     the first year with no data in quite awhile for Henshaw
11
     Creek.
12
13
                     MS. FARNHAM: To the Chair. Let's see,
14
     I think there was 2018 there was no data as well,
15
     again, due to high water. We've had a few seasons out
     there, I know 2014 was a high water season, and 2018,
16
17
     so, yeah, this will be our third year within a fairly
18
     close timeframe.
19
20
                     MR. GERVAIS: All right, thank you very
21
    much.
22
23
                     MS. FARNHAM:
                                   Yep.
24
25
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Any other
26
     questions. Pollock.
27
28
                     MR. SIMON: Yeah, I'm glad that the
29
     weir is going to be in operations again. Most of the
30
     time a few local people work there during the
31
     summertime so I'm glad they could work again. I hope
32
     there's no problem this time.
33
34
                     Thank you.
35
36
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you,
37
     Pollock. Anybody else.
38
39
                     (No comments)
40
41
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you,
42
    Nicole, that was a very good report.
43
44
                                   Thank you. Have a good
                     MS. FARNHAM:
45
     day.
46
47
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: So the next one
48
     up would be Koyukuk [sic] River InterTribal Fish
49
    Commission. Kevin.
```

1 MR. WHITWORTH: Madame Chair. Is it 2 okay if I sit here.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes.

MR. WHITWORTH: I'll be real brief. I'm putting on a different hat right now, so Kuskokwim River InterTribal Fish Commission, Executive Director, Kevin Whitworth from McGrath, tribal member of McGrath Native Village, born in -- not born in McGrath but I'm from McGrath as you all know.

So just in April, you guys probably heard Mary Peltola started campaigning for the current seat that she's in and she left, resigned the Kuskokwim River InterTribal Fish Commission at that time, the Board had asked if I could be the interim Director through the summer season, it was a hard lift, I won't lie, not having Mary there and me stepping up. We didn't backfill for Mary until just recently but the summer was a success. So now starting September 1st I'm the Director full-time. I've backfilled a little bit. So Nikki Pollock, I just hired her just a couple days ago, she's operations manager. She'll be taking the day to day -- she'll be doing a lot of the day to day operations of the company. Terese, she's from Bethel too, she's now a full-time employee. And then we are contracting our finance out to somebody from McGrath, a CPA, somebody who -- she's really good at taking care of finance and stuff like that. So there's some changes going on with our Staff. We have a new Jesuit volunteer, Andrew, he just started this fall.

But this summer was a success.

Our co-management agreement we have with Fish and Wildlife Service, we've just finished our seventh year of co-management working with Fish and Wildlife, Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge Staff. Aaron Moses, Boyd, and that Staff over there is fantastic to work for. Both companies, the Fish and Wildlife Service and the Fish Commission are working really hard to try to do what's best for the fishery, try to recover from the disasters that we're seeing with chinook salmon, chum salmon and the coho salmon now.

July time period. Escapement goals for chinook salmon was met, we did have some opportunity for folks but about a third of their harvest -- a third of their harvest they caught so there's still a lot of hardship. But they did -- we were able to reach the escapement goal, so it's huge. And that was really on the backs of the fishers. They did not get what they needed.

The chum salmon escapement goals were not met. Chum salmon harvest was not met, not at all. It's like the Yukon, chum salmon numbers are very low.

And this is the first time, and I've brought this up already, but this is the first year we've ever seen complete closure for coho salmon starting August 16, through September 15, about a month long closure for coho salmon. With all these closures, this year, 2022, was the longest restricted salmon fishery ever. It had to be. I don't know of any other year that was not as restricted as this year, and it's really hard on the people on the river, it's difficult and even though there's relatively good runs of sockeye salmon and non-salmon species like whitefish, sheefish, people are not able to access those. Trying to protect the other species.

We are involved with several research projects, the Kwethluk River smolt out-migration, it's a screw trap project. We're counting out-migrating smolt to try to come -- and this is in conjunction with the Kwethluk River weir. So we have a good idea of how much adult salmon species are getting up into the Kwethluk River system and now we're counting how much smolt are coming out of that system with this screw trap. This is the first year we did this. This project was done by U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for about four years in 2015, I believe, it was the last year it was run, or the first year, and we're just redoing this project. This project was funded by the AYK Sustainable Salmon Initiative. In the future -- we got two years of funding. This year and then next year, we have another year of funding. In the future we'll hopefully get it funded through other means. This is a great project. It gets an idea of how much fish are coming out of the system. It gives you a little bit of an idea of what the fresh water system is producing.

And then we have the Kwethluk River

weir, there's a partnership with OVK, the Organized Village of Kwethluk, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service there in Bethel and the Kenai Fish Office. We also run the Takotna River weir. And one of our more important projects that we run is the community based harvest monitoring project. This project, after each opener, we compile harvest interviews there in the local lower river villages. The Bethel Tribe, they do interviews in Bethel, and the local fish camps, all that data is compiled with aerial surveys that are done by u.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and we make -- we come up with harvest estimates after each opener. And it's about a 24 hour turnaround but after each opener we have the data turned around, produced in an assessment packet, we look at all of the information that is at Bethel Test Fish, the sonar, the harvest information, catch per unit effort, what is harvested with that opener and it goes to our in-season managers so that they can make management decisions on the next opener or closure with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

This project -- this is a really important project for us when it comes to in-season management, it provides a lot of data. And it's live -- it's in-season data, which is really rare.

Weirs is really a post-season data, you don't get the data until right now after the season's been compiled. Bethel Test Fish, we find that early season, that data's not real helpful until about midseason, maybe about until June 20th you can kind of get a better idea of what the run is looking like. So, really, this harvest estimate program is providing a lot of the bulk of the information as well as the -- we have local people, our in-season managers at the table. They're bringing their information to the table as well, so traditional knowledge, local knowledge is brought to the table as well.

 $$\mbox{I'm}$$ just going to skip a lot of this. I think this is in the packet.

The other thing I wanted to mention is the Kuskokwim River InterTribal Fish Commission, so we wrote the Kuskokwim River salmon situation report, I think there's some copies on the back table here and I encourage people to look at it. It brings all of the Western science that we know of, it paints the picture

of what's going on for the chinook salmon runs, the chum salmon runs and the coho runs. This year we added coho because you all know this is the third year of coho declines. This is the first year, 2022 was the first year with such drastic restrictions. The other two sections I want to point out, that I really encourage folks to look at, is the Area M interception section and the bycatch sections. Those two sections, really, as you all know, the data, the genetics in those two sections are not real accurate to one tributary like the Kuskokwim. So they're genetic, that information could be used for Yukon fish or Norton Sound, it's basically Western Coastal Alaska.

What we found with -- looking at the best data that we have, you know, and Yukon/Kuskokwim River, both systems, are really in a data limited management scenario, where we have very little data to make management decisions. And we see that in Area M too with the data that we have, which is from 2007 through 2009 are the genetics they did at that point, 57 percent of the chum catch in the June fishery down there was coming to Western Alaska. That's 10 times more than the pollock fishery. This intercept fishery is huge. This is a big problem for Western Alaska and I encourage you guys to read this report because it really lays it out. This is data from ADF&G and it's the best data that we have. Like I said it's not the best data because we're in a data limited system.

Pollock fishery, they have genetic data every year they collect this. Every year they're collecting it. They're seeing that they're catching less chinook salmon. I mean our chinook salmon populations are low. It makes sense they would catch less. Chum salmon, for the pollock fishery they're catching a lot. I can't remember what it's at 100,000 or so -- 500,000 or so, how much of those come to Western Alaska, I can't remember what the report says but I encourage you guys to look at that report.

I do work alongside the five regional corporations, the tribal corporations. We have AVCP, Kawerak, TCC, Yukon and Kuskokwim Fish Commissions, they make up this consortium, we call it the AYK Tribal Consortium. I work real closely with those five agencies on advocating for what's best for our fishery, especially in the pollock fishery, the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council, the Board of Fish, Area M

```
0258
 1
     Fishery, we work very closely together. It's a
     powerful group, it's over 118 tribes represented in
 2
     those five organizations. I just wanted to bring that
 4
    up real briefly. I can't remember where I was going at
 5
    with that but....
 6
 7
                     I just got a text. My dad had a
 8
     stroke.
 9
10
                     So, anyhow, I'm going to stop there.
11
12
                     My dad's in the hospital as I speak so
13
    he's fine, it was a mild stroke.
14
15
                     That's it, thanks.
16
17
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you,
18
    Kevin. Any comments or questions.
19
20
                     Tim.
21
22
                     MR. GERVAIS: Thanks for the report,
23
     Kevin. Is Quinhagak, Kongiginak and Kwig part of that
24
     Kuskokwim River InterTribal Fish Commission?
25
26
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Yeah. S o the Bay
27
     communities, not all of them, so there's North Bay,
28
     Kuskokwim Bay and then there's South Kuskokwim Bay,
29
     there's Quinhagak, they're part of the Kuskokwim River
30
     InterTribal Fish Commission, I can't remember which
31
     one's on the north side of the shore, who are, but
32
     they're -- those tribes haven't -- don't have a
33
     resolution where they've joined the Commission but
34
     sometimes they're at our meetings, sometimes they're
35
    not. I think right around 30 of the communities in the
36
     entire Kuskokwim River are part of this consor -- or
37
     the Commission. And Paul Cleveland, he's our in-season
38
    manager from Quinhagak who represents the Bay area. We
39
     also have Avery Hoffman from Bethel. We have Betty
    Magnuson from the McGrath area. Megan Leary from
40
41
    middle river. Mike Williams from Akiak region. So
42
    those five people are representing the in-season
43
    management group and are in co-management with Fish and
44
    Wildlife Service.
45
46
                     MR. GERVAIS: Okay. Well, I like the
47
     information we're getting from your group. And it
48
     sounds like you guys are having an impact on successful
49
     management of the fish resources so keep up the good
```

0259 work and congratulations on your permanent position. 2 3 MR. WHITWORTH: Thank you, Tim. 4 Appreciate it. 5 6 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, 7 Kevin, that was a very good report, I enjoyed it. Any 8 other comments. 9 10 Don. 11 12 MR. HONEA: Yeah, thank you, Madame 13 Chair. Kevin, it was pretty thorough, I really enjoyed 14 your report and I was wondering, maybe, you know, 15 because of othe -- because we have an agreement, International agreement, if you will, with Canada to 16 17 let a certain amount in and so we have the sonar set 18 up, you know, in a couple places but is there sonars on 19 the Kuskokwim above Aniak, maybe between McGrath that 20 would give you guys an indication of your numbers like 21 how are you coming about with these numbers, you know, 22 like in escapement or how much are going out to the 23 ocean or whatever, it's interesting. 24 25 MR. WHITWORTH: Thank you, Madame 26 Chair. So the Kuskokwim has something what they call a 27 run reconstruction and they -- that program, it's a statistical model basically and they take all the weir 28 29 data, they take aerial survey data, and it goes into 30 this program where they estimate the run size about 31 this time of year and that's unique. We also have 32 sonars, you can use the sonar to give you an idea in-33 season what the run is doing. But we have only one 34 sonar, not like Yukon where there's two, we have one in 35 Bethel. And that's it. I think that's all we have as far as -- you know, the aerial survey data is used in 36 37 the run reconstruction but what we've found is it's very biased towards the different observers, different 38 39 pilots and it's not as accurate as a weir would be. 40 But that is all we have, anyhow. 41 42 MR. HONEA: Thank you. 43 44 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you, 45 Kevin. With that we'll move on to Yukon River Drainage 46 Association, Serena Fitka and Catherine Moncrieff. 47 48 MS. MONCRIEFF: Hi, good afternoon, can

49

50

you hear me okay?

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes.

MS. MONCRIEFF: Okay. Good afternoon, Madame Chair and Council members. Thank you for the opportunity to present to your Council. I'm pleased to represent the Yukon River Drainage Fisheries Association. And for the record my name is Catherine Moncrieff and I am YRDFA's Staff Anthropologist.

We apologize that our Executive Director, Serena Fitka, and our new Staff member, Gabe Canfield are unavailable due to other commitments at this time, and that I was not able to be in the room today but I will be providing our report by teleconference.

I'd like to bring your attention to our report in your supplemental meeting materials. I'll provide brief updates on our activities and key accomplishments since you last met.

I'll start with a Board update.

Our Board met on March 22nd and 23rd in Anchorage of 2022. The 2023 Board meeting is tentatively scheduled for April 17th and 18th in Fairbanks. We will have five Board member seats up for election and five alternate seats also up for election. Please encourage people in your communities to selfnominate or your tribes to submit nominations. We will be having a special meeting in November to go over the Board of Fish proposals, it is tentatively set for November 8th and 9th of 2022 and this will be a virtual meeting.

Our Yukon River salmon summer preseason preparation meeting was held in Anchorage on March 24th, 2022. This was our first in-person preseason meeting since 2019. We had approximately 80 people in-person and 50 online participants. With the high number of participants we put the agenda aside to listen to the people's concerns as we went around the room. It was a very successful meeting. The 2023 Yukon River pre-season meeting will be held in Fairbanks and will be a two day meeting, the tentative date is set for April 19 and 20 of 2023.

Next I want to give a fisheries disaster update for 2020, 2021 and 2022.

NOAA has just allocated 56\$ million for the salmon fishery disasters in alaska. On September 22nd, 2022, the State of Alaska opened up the public comment period for the spend plan. We have copies of this letter and can forward it to your Coordinator if you would like. Deadline for the comments has passed and we submitted our comments and questions for the spend plan. Our comments and questions included the following:

Questioning the reasoning for combining the Yukon River with the Kuskokwim, and reason for combining the 2020 and 2021 years for the Yukon River. We also disagreed with the formula for distribution on the subsistence allocation by shares. The guidance should first be broken down by harvest by community and then by shares. We need to continue to work with our delegation to strengthen the subsistence priority in the Magnuson-Stevens Act and to better serve our people who continue to receive the shorter end of the stick.

And I'm just going to pause for a second because it's completely silent on my end and I want to make sure I'm still being heard.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{MADAME}}$ CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, you are, we're all listening.

 $\label{eq:MS.MONCRIEFF: Okay, great, it was so } \text{quiet.}$

Okay, next I'd like to give you an update on the in-season salmon management teleconferences which are a Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program funded project and there is a detailed report in your supplemental packet.

We had mailed two posters to each tribal office to hang around town and use Social Media to remind people of the teleconferences each week, however, I want to acknowledge our challenges, which currently include a lack of participation. Listening to the dismal news each week took a toll on the mental health of the Yukon River people, and we understand that people do not want to share information while on the call. Taking into account the current situation we included special presentations on topics we heard arise from previous calls. And I want to emphasize that the teleconference calls are still a useful platform for

people to talk and we encourage participation from your region.

2 3 4

Next, an update on the in-season subsistence salmon survey program. This is also included in your supplemental packet with a report and result from the final interviews of 2022.

Some highlights from this season include starting out with a hybrid training in March in Anchorage with some surveyors in-person and some online. Followed by another challenging summer with surveys attempting to collect information from fishers who were unable to fish. Through the final interviews we learned that the impact of Covid19 was greatly reduced this year but some participants in almost every community felt some impact or stayed isolated from others. We also learned that participants were, again, not able to harvest enough fish for the winter. Our surveyors informed us that it would be easier to do their job if people could fish and wanted to participate in the survey. They said some people were reluctant to give any information, afraid that they would be shut down or restricted from any kind of fishing. We are learning that we need to find more ways to put people at ease. Next steps for this project include considering program updates and revisions. We are bringing Gabe, our new Staff member, on to help run this project and explore ways to enhance its effectiveness. The program is currently funded by the FRMP through March of 2024 and if you have ideas for changes or about how to improve this program, we please contact myself or another YRDFA Staff member.

Next I want to give an update on the Local and Traditional Knowledge of Anadromous Fish in the Yukon Flats with an emphasis on the Draanjik Drainage project. This FRMP funded project has ended since your last meeting and the final report is available in electronic form. In this project YRDFA partnered with the communities of Chalkyitsik, Fort Yukon and Venetie and the Tanana Chiefs Conference to update the Anadromous Waters Catalog. We used a combination of traditional knowledge and Western science techniques to document anadromous fish and make nominations to the Anadromous Waters Catalog in the following locations. Rearing juvenile chinook salmon in the Tetthajik and Drifting Snow Creeks; spawning adult and rearing juvenile coho salmon in Ni'inlii in

the Kvenjik Creek Drainage; presence of adult and juvenile roundfish in Kvenjik Creek, Drifting Snow Creek and Grayling Fork Black River; presence of juvenile Arctic lamprey in Kvenjik Creek, Tetthajik Creek and Grayling Fork Black River.

Our other projects are covered in your supplemental documents and they include the Yukon River Clearing House which is a partnership with the Yukon River InterTribal Watershed Council and is a culturally responsive monitoring program that will cumulate in a digital clearing house where traditional ecological knowledge and scientific materials on the Yukon River can be accessed. It is further described in your supplemental packet.

For the Elders Warnings project we will be holding our third workshop for the team this fall to focus on learning how to turn our research and recordings into outreach materials. This project goes through March of 2024. Our final year will focus on summarizing what we have learned, producing outreach materials and giving presentations about the project.

For an update on the project Local and Traditional Knowledge of Anadromous Fishes in Tanana and Yukon River, which is funded by the Alaska Sustainable Salmon Fund and is a partnership between YRDFA and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. This project has a goal of expanding documentation of fish presence and life stages on a section of the Yukon and Tanana Rivers. During this past summer, the Alaska Department of Fish and Game team was out on the river in June, July and September documenting fish presence. In total, they documented 14 species, including chinook and chum salmon as well as several whitefishes known to be anadromous in the middle Yukon River or lower Tanana River such as Lease Cisco, humpback whitefish, broad whitefish and sheefish. But some of the field work was not possible due to fires and weather so we have extended this project for one more year ending now in June of 2024. This will give us the time to complete the field work and hold community meetings to share the results.

We have a new projects called, Engaging Fishers in Chinook Salmon Research funded by the North Pacific Research Board that will begin in January of 2023. Through this project we will be partnering with

1 the communities of Alakanuk and Emmonak to conduct interviews about the health of chinook as they enter 2 the river. This information will help inform our companion project led by Dr. Katie Howard. And we will 5 be working with the communities of St. Mary's and Huslia to monitor water temperatures, conduct carcass 6 7 surveys and develop a community action plan if there is another heat event that causes salmon die-off in the 8 9 future. This winter we will be reaching out to begin 10 our partnership and work.

11 12 13

More information on other YRDFA projects and programs are included in your supplemental materials and I'd be happy to answer any questions about our report or projects.

15 16 17

14

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this report.

18 19 20

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Catherine. Do we have any comments or questions.

212223

MR. HONEA: Yeah.

24 25

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Don.

26 27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

MR. HONEA: Thank you, Madame Chair. Catherine, hey this is Don Honea. I guess I met with you maybe in April or May at -- down here at the David Salmon Hall, remember our conversations about the low chum run and maybe some of the reasons for it. But specifically I had a question, you mentioned that you disagreed with the distribution of fish equation, or what was that comment, because I did not know that you guys had anything to do with distribution of fish to the villages because for the most part, we, in the middle Yukon, at least, and I know Galena and Ruby, we haven't 'received any fish in Ruby, but Galena, I think Louden has gone out and purchased fish, and it was pretty high quality fish. Maybe they were reds, I don't know what they were but people were pretty well pleased with it. I just wanted to know if YRDFA had a program where you guys distributed maybe along with TCC fish distribution. If you could explain that I would appreciate it.

45 46 47

Thank you.

48 49

MS. MONCRIEFF: Yes, through the Chair.

```
0265
     Thank you for the question Don. I think -- so I think
     Serena took a pretty strong role in the fish
     distribution the previous summer but this summer I
     don't think we had much of a role in that. I think
     what you heard me talking about was the fisheries
     disaster update and the fact that NOAA has just
 6
 7
     allocated money for the salmon fisheries disasters in
     all of Alaska. And we submitted comments on their
     spend plan, of how they were going to distribute the 56
10
    million that they set aside and the comment that YRDFA
11
    made on the letter was that we questioned the reasoning
     for combining the Yukon River with the Kuskokwim River,
12
13
     and for combining the years. We thought that the
14
     formula distribution for allocating those funds should
15
     be based on -- broken down by harvest by community and
16
     then by shares.
17
18
                     So I think that's what you heard me
19
     talking about.
20
21
                     MR. HONEA: Okay. I appreciate that
22
     clarification, thank you, Catherine.
23
24
                     MS. MONCRIEFF: You're welcome.
25
26
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, at this
27
     time -- hang on -- Tina, you needed a break, something
28
     with your machine?
29
30
                     REPORTER: Uh-huh.
31
32
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. So we'll
33
     take a few minutes break right now, there's something
34
     wrong with her machine. Be back in about five, 10
35
     minutes -- five minutes.
36
37
                     (Off record)
38
39
                     (On record)
40
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, if you
41
42
     would start making your way back to your seats Council.
43
44
                     (Pause)
45
46
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, we'll
47
     continue with our meeting. And I don't know if
48
     Catherine was still on the phone but if anyone had any
49
     questions for Catherine.
```

0266 1 MS. PILCHER: I don't think anyone 2 else. 3 4 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: I don't think 5 anyone does. 6 7 (No comments) 8 9 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you, 10 Catherine for your report. 11 12 MS. MONCRIEFF: Thank you for the time. 13 14 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Next on 15 the agenda we have Jeremy from the Innoko, Yukon -- or 16 Koyukuk Nowitna. 17 18 MR. HAVENER: Thank you, Madame Chair 19 and Council members. For the record my name is Jeremy 20 Havener, I'm the Refuge Subsistence Coordinator for 21 Koyukuk, Nowitna and Innoko National Wildlife Refuges. 22 That was a long sentence there. 23 24 (Laughter) 25 26 MR. HAVENER: And I just want to say, 27 you know, I said it in my introduction but I'm just super happy to be here in person for the first time in 28 29 what, two and a half years, so it's great to see 30 everybody's faces. It's nice having the side 31 conversations and just catching up on everything. 32 thank you, again, for having us here. 33 34 I'm going to do a quick update on 35 things that are going on at the Refuge. 36 37 First I'll start off with some management things that are going on and Staffing. 38 39 first one, since he's here in person I'll go ahead and 40 introduce him, we've got a new law enforcement officer, relatively new, I think he's been with us for a couple 41 42 years now, stationed out of Fairbanks but comes and patrols the Koyukuk, Nowitna, Innoko Refuges, and if 44 you don't mind standing up, that's Jared Long. So put 45 a face with the name. 46 47 The other big change we have is we are

vacant in our Refuge Manager position. I think most of

you knew Bob Rebarchick, he retired in July, and we are

48

49

in the process of advertising that. I think it was opened on September 26th and it'll be closing in a week so we expect to get a new Refuge Manager soon. know who, obviously, at this point, but as soon as that position gets into Galena we will make sure to introduce them to all the villages we work with, you know, come to the Councils and things. So other Staff changes, the big one that I'm working on right now and I've been working on it for some time and I'm trying to do some recruiting for it, is a Refuge Information Technician position. That's a liaison position that works for us and goes out to the communities and, you know, gathers subsistence information and, you know, brings management decisions and things and starts that conversation with folks and it helps us out, knowing what's going on with our subsistence users. And if you guys know of anybody that might be interested in that, it'll be a local hire position, try to get them in touch with us or let me know and then we can start recruiting somebody for that position. We're also hiring a couple technicians this summer. We're looking at a fisheries technician and a biotechnician so we'll be hiring those positions probably come around May.

So then the other thing we've been working on here recently is the tribal co-stewardship consultation meetings. We have those going on right now. We've got one coming up here in November and just trying to better our work with our partners in conservation and continuing subsistence.

I'm going to jump into outreach. Moving out of covid we've, you know, been pretty restricted on being able to go do village visits, and things like that and now we're kind of getting out of that and my fingers are crossed there. So what we've —— we've conducted here this summer, first thing was we did a couple hunter safety programs, I actually teach those and worked with a local State Trooper and we went up to Huslia and did one in Galena and we ended up getting 30 kids through hunter safety this spring. So that was great to see.

And also for McGrath, we conducted a culture science camp. Kelly Pearce is our Park Ranger down there and she put on a program where I think she had 26 students go through it, and they did everything from working with traditional foods, drying moose meat, making stews, working with the tribal members and, you

know, sharing traditional stories. And, you know, science and biological stuff included identifying birds and plants. So that was a good program and it turned out really well.

Also we did a science camp and culture camp in Galena and we had 20 students there and went through a variety of activities that lasted two weeks. So that was a good step out of kind of coming out of the Covid restrictions and getting out and working in our communities.

I will jump into our biological update. And we completed most of our surveys this year. run into some issues as you probably all remember in May and June we had a really dry, dry spell there that created a bunch of smoke and so we did have to cancel a few things, but for the most part we conducted our snow surveys and we had a lot of snow this winter. Throughout the three Refuges our average was 35 inches in all of our stations and we had some of them that were over 40. So we were pretty concerned about the snow this year, you know, anything over 30 inches is when we start to get, you know, concerned about the impacts on moose. And we think we saw that -- we jumped into moose twinning surveys in May and we did see some low twinning rates, I think 10 percent in some areas, which is really low, and then some places had 26 percent. But I did talk with our supervisory wildlife biologist and he did indicate that when they do these surveys they've got to get a guota on the number of calves that they have to identify or locate and this year is the fasted we've ever done that. So twinning rates might have been down but it seems like production was pretty good with the winter that we saw.

We also participated in the lynx project. That's a statewide project we've been working on for five years now. This year we collared four new lynx, and since the project started we've collared 39 of them in Galena. So we're hoping to continue that here this next spring.

Galena Mountain Herd caribou telemetry, we only did three flights this year, we're pretty limited. But we did find six of the collared caribou that are still alive. And we counted 83 caribou this year, which appears to be down.

5

6

Also we conducted our breeding bird surveys. Whitefronted goose aerials molting surveys and river flow production surveys. Right now we just conducted the beaver cache surveys that we do and we did it in the Koyukuk Refuge this year. We kind of rotate those each year so that way we get each Refuge once about every three years.

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

And then kind of jumping into this November we are going to do our moose trend count surveys. And this is -- I kind of want to take a second to touch on this. Speaking with a couple of the RAC members that participate in moose hunting out on the Nowitna moose hunter checkstation, we kind of noticed this year that we had some low harvest. I got back and kind of compiled everything and we saw we had 19 moose harvested for the whole month of September, which is actually the lowest harvest that we've seen since the checkstation began in 1988. And, you know, we know there were some things with warm weather. think I had whitesocks biting me on the last day of the season on September 25th and so it was pretty warm and that can obviously impact how the bulls are moving. But it's definitely a population that we've been kind of keeping our eyes on the last couple years. Have had some concerns from Council Member Honea and Council Member Wright from the Eastern Interior Regional Advisory Council and, you know, I got back I talked with our biologists and, you know, it kind of came to light that we need to do maybe a little bit more of a survey, which is a geospacial population estimate. And because Alaska Department of Fish and Game are conducting one in the Koyukuk Refuge this year, we think and we've kind of spoke with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, we think we have the tools necessary to be able to conduct one this year on the Nowitna Refuge. So I just wanted to pass that information along. It's not set in stone yet, we're still working with our pilots and kind of figuring out the logistics for that but I wanted you to know that we are looking at that.

41 42 43

44

45

46

47

48

Again, I mentioned it just a second ago with the Nowitna checkstation, but we set up this year on September 2nd and then we closed it October 1st, and, again, we had about an average number of hunters. It was 88 with 19 moose harvested, and then there's the Federal season that opens up from September 26th through October 1st for qualified residents of Galena,

```
0270
 1
     Ruby and Tanana and we issued 12 permits and three
     moose harvested. And that's preliminary. I'm still
 2
     trying to track down some harvest reports. But I don't
 4
     imagine harvest went up too much.
 5
 6
                     Also Federal hunts, the FM2104 and
 7
     FM2105, which is the winter hunt that takes place
 8
     around Grayling, Anvik, Shageluk and Holy Cross in Game
     Management Unit 21E, as you probably know I've updated
 9
10
     you in the past but they traded a State registration
11
    hunt that takes place exactly the same time as the
12
     Federal hunt and so we've kind of deferred to Alaska
13
     Department of Fish and Game's registration hunt during
14
    that time and I think the last two years we haven't
15
     issued any Federal permits because folks can get the
16
     State registration permit and essentially go out and
17
    hunt on corporation lands, State lands and anything out
18
    there so they're not restricted in a sense. And it
19
     seems like it's working out pretty good. I know there
20
    were concerns of maybe overharvest since it's a
21
     registration hunt but from looking at my data the hunt
22
     numbers, the harvest numbers are pretty close to the
23
     Federal harvest numbers that we've had in the past.
24
25
                     And I think on that note, I'll open it
26
     up for questions.
27
28
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Anybody
29
    have any questions or comments.
30
31
                     MR. HONEA: Comment.
32
33
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Comment, who,
34
     you?
35
36
                     MR. HONEA:
                                Yeah, me.
37
38
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Don.
39
40
                     MR. HONEA: Thank you, Madame Chair.
     Jeremy, I appreciate that report and I appreciate the
41
42
     fact that I did not know that -- who was exact -- you
43
     know, how many years ago was a population count
44
     conducted as opposed to a trend count. Now, a trend
45
     count, you would just take a certain section there and
46
    maybe kind of guesstimate as to the rest of the
47
     whole....
48
49
                     (Teleconference interference -
```

participants not muted - on hold)

MR. HONEA:the whole region as opposed to -- what is a more thorough way of doing the population count, if you would enlighten me on that?

Thank you.

MR. HAVENER: Through the Chair. Member Honea. No, I appreciate that question, that's a really good point and I didn't mention that. I know I've talked to you in person about it. The last population estimate or geospacial population estimate that we conducted for the Nowitna was 2008. And so, you know, that's our interest as well, is trying to get a good idea of what that population is doing especially with the recent burns that we've had there. But, yeah, you know, I'm no moose biologist but I do know, you know, when we're doing the trend counts, you know, we're flying these selected areas along the river corridor looking for, you know, the number of cows, ratios, essentially, cows and calves, bulls and cows and yearling bulls and cows. A geospacial population estimate is a more thorough count where we're going out and we're flying a larger area, you know, putting more time in and then all that information gets plugged into a model and then that kicks out a population estimate for that area.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ HONEA: Can I have one last question here.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes.

 MR. HONEA: Okay. You -- you had mentioned the caribou, a caribou herd, is that the herd between Huslia and Galena and some mountain herd or something, I was just interested -- years ago, we had a -- like a herd back of Kokrines, between Kokrines and maybe Allakaket or Hughes or something, is that nonexistent to this day?

MR. HAVENER: Through the Chair. So that -- yeah, you're exactly right, that herd that's north of Galena, it's the Galena Mountain Caribou Herd. They kind of winter, you know, near -- you know, on the Huslia Trail there in between Galena and Huslia. The one you might be referring to, it could be the Wolf Mountain Herd but I'm not 100 percent certain on that

0272 so I might defer on that information but I can try to get back to you on that question if you'd like. 3 4 MR. HONEA: Thank you. 5 6 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you. 7 8 Tim. 9 10 MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Madame Chair. 11 Thanks for your report Jeremy. Kind of sad to hear 12 they're going to hire a full-time manager, we like 13 having you run the show down there. On the Federal 14 permit hunt, the 12 permits, do you recall what's the 15 ratio of that from Tanana users and Ruby users? 16 17 MR. HAVENER: So through the Chair. 18 Yeah, just off the top of my head I want to say there 19 was one permit for Ruby and then the rest were Tanana 20 and nobody from Galena was participating this year. 21 22 MR. GERVAIS: Okay. And then when 23 you're talking about this new style of moose count, 24 geospacial, why are you going to that, is that supposed 25 to be more accurate or a more efficient way to count? 26 27 MR. HAVENER: Yeah, through the Chair. 28 Yeah, absolutely it's a more thorough count that gives 29 us a better estimate of how many moose are in that 30 area. 31 32 MR. GERVAIS: Okay. From your Staff, 33 did you -- do you figure that there was a large 34 winterkill last year with the moose and that's -- is 35 that one of the factors with the 19 moose overall 36 harvest on the Nowitna? 37 38 MR. HAVENER: Through the Chair. -- thank you for that question and that's a really good 39 question. I mean I -- you know, talking with our biologists they didn't seem to indicate like they saw a 40 41 42 ton of winterkill. You know I've talked to a few subsistence users who've said they've obviously ran 44 into those. But, you know, as harsh as the winter was 45 it seems like things turned out pretty good for most of 46 the area. And, you know, as far as the 19 moose 47 harvested, I don't want to speculate on that too much 48 but, you know, it could be anything from the warm 49 temperatures we were seeing to, yeah, maybe less moose,

```
0273
     there's a bunch of factors that could be at play there.
 2
 3
                     MR. GERVAIS: Alrighty, well, thanks
 4
     for managing good and healthy populations on these
 5
     Refuges. It's important to everyone in the region.
 6
 7
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay.
 8
 9
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Madame Chair.
10
11
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Kevin.
12
13
                     MR. WHITWORTH:
                                     Thanks. I'll be real
14
    brief. Thank you, Jeremy. Yeah, we saw a lot of that
15
     in McGrath. In McGrath, we saw a lot of that warm
16
     weather. In early September, lots of rain, lots of
17
     water. Everything was flooded, streams were high. And
    what we found in McGrath is if you got a moose in that
18
19
     early part of the September moose hunt, you know, and
20
     you basically had to butcher it right away, you know,
21
     it was just too hot. You couldn't hang it, you know --
22
     you know prefer if you can hang it, you know. But what
23
     we were seeing there in McGrath was the transporter --
24
     the new transporter that was flying out to the Innoko
25
     Refuge, is he was bringing back really bad meat. And
26
    he was giving this meat away, some of it wouldn't be
27
     given away, friends of mine would give it to people who
28
     have dog teams because they said this is not edible,
29
     this is not edible at all. It's blood shot, it's
30
     spoiled. Lots of waste. I had mentioned this earlier.
31
     Also so some of the meat would go to the butcher,
32
     there's a butcher shop in McGrath, some of this meat
33
     would be given to the butcher and he would try to
34
     salvage as much as he could but a lot of the waste went
35
     to the dump. A lot of this concern is not mine, but
36
     the people, the local people, the elders stressed that
37
     to me, they said there's got to be something done here.
38
     So I encourage Jeremy and the Refuge Manager there to
39
     work with the local Fish and Game Office in McGrath,
     I'm sure they're aware of it and also Kelly Pearce, the
40
     Fish and Wildlife Service person there. I know she's
41
42
    hunting herself so she's not there all time. But the
43
     local people, the elders, this is a big concern of
44
     theirs.
45
46
                     So I just wanted to bring this up,
47
     thank you.
48
49
                     MR. HAVENER: Through the Chair. I
```

just want to respond to that. And, yeah, Council Member Whitworth, I appreciate that information and, yeah, we've heard some concerns of that as well and we are definitely looking into that. We take that very seriously, you know, with any commercial activities on a Refuge, we permit those through a special use permit and we definitely want to make sure it's -- laws are being followed and meat's coming out in good condition. So I very much appreciate that.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you, Jeremy. If there's no more comments or questions.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you much. Okay, with that we have an agenda shift here, we have a couple people leaving so we need to get them up to the mic. The first one would be Aaron Moses.

MR. MOSES: Thank you, Madame Chair. My name's Aaron Moses, I'm the Subsistence Coordinator for Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge. I'll start with some Staff updates.

This year we lost our fisheries biologist and our deputy. We're in the process of getting those hired. We just hired a brand new assistant manager, he's on his -- he's driving his way up from Arizona as we speak so hopefully our upper management positions are going to be filled. We have two local students that are -- one is just starting grad school and one is almost finished. Randall Friendly, he's here at UAF getting his, I think it's his last semester for waterfowl and hopefully by next year he'll come on on the Refuge as one of our first waterfowl biologists that are from -- that is from the village. We also have Paul Arson who's just starting grad school, he's going to the University of Colorado and he's going to be studying eiders. And so we're very happy we have these young guys going through college.

One of the first things I wanted to -- I'll be pretty quick.

This summer we had some pretty bad cases of the highly pathogenic avian influenza run through a lot of our waterfowl. Our waterfowl

biologists and projects took over 350 samples and the bird flu was detected in quite a high number of the samples. This spring I'll be able to have a better report on that because we have samples still being analyzed right now. But we did find quite a high number of birds near the Newtok area have a high prevalence of the bird flu and we found a lot of deceased birds out there that are being sampled right now.

For fisheries, Kevin spoke about it, the one project we have is the screw trap and looking forward to working on it again this year.

As for our Big Game, this is one of the bigger programs that we're having right now. Our big game biologist is on the move also, I forgot to say that, it will be vacant for a little awhile. But one of the things we have is the Unit 18 Remainder, it's the Yukon moose from Russian Mission on down to the mouth of the Yukon. In that area we have an estimated count of 25,000 moose and one of the management changes that we had this year is we moved the quota from two moose per Alaska residents to three moose and we extended our hunting season to April 30th so our hunt season now is from August 1st to April 30th. So a lot of people from the Kuskokwim snowmachine up in the wintertime and harvest moose and from the projects that are going on closer to the mouth of the Yukon, those populations are still skyrocketing up. Around the Russian Mission area they're kind of decreasing because of the high snow and high mortality from starving. A lot of them are starving. We're seeing about between 200 and 500 moose alongside the river in the springtime just dead from starvation and a lot of that is attributed to the higher snow. It's not -- it can be also that they're eating themselves out of house and home but our big game biologists in Fish and Wildlife are looking through that.

As for the Kuskokwim, we started a different method of distant sampling for moose. This is because our resident helicopter pilot is on the verge of retiring and it is very expensive to get a helicopter pilot from Anchorage or Dillingham to come and help so we're trying to find different ways using our fixed-wing aircrafts to do different methods for our moose on the Kuskokwim.

As for my regular -- our caribou number I give to Jack every year, for 2022, Fish and Game, we weren't able to be involved just because of our low Staff number, but Fish and Game in Togiak were able to do flights this summer and the Mulchatna Caribou Herd number for this year was 12,112, which is down about 700 caribou. Our management objective's supposed to be about 30,000 caribou, so it's even gone lower than the past two years. In the spring we'll have a wildlife biologist present for you guys to be able to answer questions on why the population decreased even more.

Along with that I'm just really glad that I'm here and see you guys. The last time I was with you guys was in Galena and that was a lot of fun, so I'm really happy to be back. So with that I'm open to questions.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Aaron. Any questions or comments for Aaron.

Tim.

MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Aaron. You said that the annual harvest limit for Federally-qualified users is three moose?

MR. MOSES: through the Chair. Yeah, it's actually for all Alaska residents. We, on the Unit 18 Remainder, what we call Unit 18 Remainder, is pretty much the Yukon River from Russian Mission on down. We've even opened it to antlerless moose hunt in the wintertime to non-residents because there's a lot of moose and we feel like we need to harvest as many -- a lot more than we are right now. We're averaging about 3,000 moose harvested every winter. We'd like that to be up to roughly 5,000 maybe, I'm not 100 percent sure. But the moose population is still growing very fast and there's a lot of moose that are having a lot of twins in that lower Yukon area.

MR. GERVAIS: All right, thank you. Madame Chair. Can we add this continual decline of the Mulchatna Herd number to our annual report. That's a critical thing we need to keep tracking and keep communicating with the Subsistence Board.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{MADAME}}$ CHAIR PELKOLA: Yeah, I guess so if it's okay with the Board members. Okay with you to

```
0277
 1
    continue?
 2
 3
                     MR. WHITWORTH: I second.
 4
 5
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Was that a
 6
    motion?
 7
 8
                     MR. GERVAIS: I'll make a motion. I
 9
     would -- Madame Chair, due to Aaron's report on the
10
     continual decline, and that's a pretty significant
11
     decline, like 8 percent or so when we're already in a
12
     state of restricted harvest there, I would like to add
13
     on our annual report topic that Mulchatna Caribou Herd
14
    population estimate is 12,112 with a decline of 700
15
     animals from the prior year. That's my motion.
16
17
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Motion by
18
     Tim and seconded by Kevin.
19
20
                     Discussion.
21
22
                     MR. HONEA: Yeah.
23
24
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Don.
25
26
                     MR. HONEA: Thank you, Madame Chair.
27
     Under discussion, I quess that is a major concern for
     us for it falls within our region there and we've taken
28
29
     measures in the past to try to curtail these numbers
30
     from going down and it's pretty sad to see it's still
31
     going down so I think that should be a part of our
32
     objectives to work on that.
33
34
                     Thank you.
35
36
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Don.
37
38
                     Tim.
39
40
                     MR. GERVAIS: Yeah, it's a 5.7 percent
41
     decline from the prior year.
42
43
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you.
44
45
                     MR. GERVAIS: Aaron, when I talk to
46
     some of the villagers that live in that coastal area,
47
     Unit 18, they -- what they communicated to me is they
48
     just hunt caribou whenever it's around. Does the
49
     Refuge have any plans for any additional education or
50
```

enforcement to try to support that population better, the caribou population? MR. MOSES: Through the Chair. Yes, we are starting that pretty much now. Because right when the ice -- right when all the lakes freeze up that's when a lot of -- we see a lot more movement of the caribou and they do get very close to the villages early on in the falltime or late in the falltime. So me, along with RITs and our law enforcement, we're just now starting our outreach right before freezeup happens because we know that's when a lot of out of season harvest occurs. MR. GERVAIS: Okay. Yeah. I don't know if the caribou and the moose are in the same location but I guess the big moose numbers are on the Yukon River and the caribou are in the -- around the villages on the Kuskokwim so it's not like the lower Kuskokwim villagers have access to that big moose resource; is that the situation? MR. MOSES: Through the Chair. There is a lot of people that snowmachine up from Bethel in the wintertime. It's about 75 miles one way. And

MR. MOSES: Through the Chair. There is a lot of people that snowmachine up from Bethel in the wintertime. It's about 75 miles one way. And there are places we could -- it's called the Devil's Elbow area, it's between Marshall and Russian Mission, there's been times when we've counted over 200 moose on a single island in that area and so in that Devil's Elbow area if you go late in the season you'll see like 300/400 gut piles on one single island so there is quite a lot of moose and there are a lot of people from at least the Bethel area that are using that resource. And that Unit 18 Remainder is a very large area because it includes all the Coastal Villages. The only place it kind of restricts is the Kuskokwim area because there's a divide between the Kuskokwim moose hunts and the Yukon moose hunts.

MR. GERVAIS: All right, thank you.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$ CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Aaron. Now, all in favor of the motion say aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

 $\mbox{{\tt MADAME}}$ CHAIR PELKOLA: All opposed same sign.

0279 1 (No opposing votes) 2 3 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, the motion 4 carries. Thank you, Aaron. 5 6 MR. MOSES: Thank you. 7 8 MR. REAKOFF: Thank you, Aaron. 9 10 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Next we 11 have Bruce. 12 13 MR. SEPPI: Madame Chair. Members of 14 I am Bruce Seppi, Subsistence and the Council. 15 Wildlife Biologist for the Anchorage Field Office, BLM. 16 I'm going to make this short because I have to catch an 17 airplane. But you have our agency fall report and I'm 18 not going to go through that line by line. But there 19 are some points I wanted to make on it. 20 21 You mentioned early in the meeting 22 about wood bison and I just wanted the Council to know 23 that BLM has been involved with wood bison in the 24 management plan over the years but we were able to get 25 a significant amount of money in 2021 from a Washington 26 Office that we transferred to Fish and Game through a 27 cooperative agreement and that money was used to 28 purchase 28 yearling bison from Canada that were 29 transferred by truck to Fairbanks and then later by 30 barge to the Innoko, up the Innoko this summer and that 31 money helped pay for that. And then it also helped 32 hire local hires from Shageluk and Holy Cross to make a 33 temporary pen in the Innoko area to release those bison 34 and then it -- and it also helped pay for that 35 transport. So we're happy to make that happen. I was 36 able to be out on the river -- and, oh, it also helped 37 to pay for the use of the lands from the Shageluk

42 ground like that. 43

The other thing you mentioned is Mulchatna Caribou and for years we've been working with the Togiak Refuge to put money into an InterAgency agreement to monitor the Mulchatna Herd which is in severe decline as everyone knows. In this last year, over 2020 and '21 we got money to purchase radiocollars

Village Corporation to build that pen, Fish and Game

had paid them to use their land to release those bison

a part of that and use that money to get bison on the

and that was very successful. So I'm glad BLM could be

49 50

38

39

40

41

44

45

46

47

for the Mulchatna Herd especially in the Platinum Goodnews Bay area. Since the herd is so small now and it's become much migratory and tends to be splitting up into these smaller herds and Fish and Wildlife Togiak Refuge wanted to get proof of that and show that these animals aren't moving as much and they're actually now moving into separate smaller herds. So this is the first year just because of Covid and because of weather it was difficult to get those collars out but they were out in early April of this year and so we'll get a lot more information of how that herd is moving and whether it's splitting up into smaller herds or not.

And then finally, what's not on my agency report is I wanted to let everyone know that BLM is working on an environmental impact statement to evaluate ANCSA withdrawals on BLM-managed land. And I've got something right from our web page that I'm going to read to you just so I get it straight, so I don't mess this up. But:

Bureau of Land Management is working on an environmental impact statement to consider the effects of opening lands currently subject to withdrawals established under the Section 17(d)(1) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act on different land use plans. That's the Bay Plan, the Bering Sea Western Interior, the East Alaska Plan, the Kobuk/Seward Plan and the Ring of Fire Plan.

And there was a 60 day public comment period but that has closed, it just closed October 17th, which is Monday. So this Council won't have a chance to comment on that but I just wanted to let you know that BLM is working on an environmental impact statement for that. That EIS will review Public Land Orders that were previously prepared but not issued. In 2020 and 2021 BLM prepared those land orders, five of them, which would have opened the BLM-managed lands to such activities as mining and oil and gas by revoking the ANCSA 17(d)(1) withdrawals for approximately 28 million acres in those five planning areas. The new Administration determined that legal defects were identified in the decisionmaking process that led to these orders and so as a result the opening of the public land orders are going to be subject to an EIS and will be deferred until further review, until that EIS is done. BLM is now addressing those legal defects in that -- with this EIS, including ensuring

that there's compliance with NEPA, or the National Environmental Policy Act.

If you have questions on that I'm 'not well versed in this, I know it's happening, there will be an ,810 analysis done on this, meaning a subsistence analysis and the biggest thing that happens with that is when those lands are -- those withdrawals are lifted the selections come into place and they would be selected either by Native corporations or the State and move out of Federal ownership meaning they wouldn't be ANILCA lands and they wouldn't be -- have a priority -- have a subsistence priority on them, so it is an important thing that's happening with that and BLM will keep the RAC Councils informed as it goes along.

Any questions on that, I know that was

quick.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Don.

MR. HONEA: Yeah, Bruce, I just had a question on the bison, the bison herd, you know, and we don't have to go into real detail about the numbers but are they thriving. I remember when I first got on this Council it was a dream of, I believe, it was Randy Rogers to -- Tina?

REPORTER: Yes, Randy Rogers.

MR. HONEA:to do that.

MR. SEPPI: Yes.

MR. HONEA: And, you know, I mean I even offered to say, hey, over in the Melozi country or near Ruby or something and late Ed Sartin, it was our kind of dream to maybe bring that in as an alternate food source. But I'm just -- you know it's kind of quiet about the numbers, are they thriving or can you say are they getting along with the moose or the wolves doing anything because last I heard, maybe a few years ago the bison not being native to the land there they just -- some of them are just out on the lake and they just stood there and fell through the ice and drown and so I'm just wondering, does the numbers have to come up to, you know, the goal of this whole thing is to bring a food source, is it going to be available or anything, I mean....

1 MR. SEPPI: Yes.

MR. HONEA:not to go into great

4 detail? Thank you. 5

MR. SEPPI: Well, I want to point out this is not a BLM project, it's Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Tom Seaton is the lead biologist on it. The answer to your question is, they are doing well but they've had some hard winters. When they were first released a number of them in the spring walked out on the Innoko River and fell through the ice and drowned. But right now, with these 28 yearlings there's 150 animals of all age classes on the ground. They have a very -- I don't have the statistics for you, they have a very good calf recruitment. Bison, because of the way they protect their young, both bears and wolves aren't a huge factor on them. I can't talk with any authority of their survival rates but when they have a decent winter, when there's not rain on snow types of events that is affecting them and as the bison, you know, they were released and they were being semidomesticated and now they're figuring out how to live on the landscape and they're finally figuring that out and their survival is much better.

So to answer your question, yes, they are thriving but as any wild population they do have their ups and downs. And the goal is to get to a certain management level where they will -- when they will be able to be harvested and that is -- remains to be seen but that is the goal of releasing these on to the landscape.

And as far as information on this, if you look up Alaska Wood Bison, Fish and Game has a website that they go into detail about what's currently happening with them, what they know about them, what their numbers are, I don't have that website handy here but it's easy to Google it and look it up to get some accurate information on it.

 $\label{eq:madame} \mbox{MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you,} \\ \mbox{Bruce. Tim.}$

MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Madame Chair. Bruce, did -- is there any chance or opportunity for the work that was done a few years ago with the ACEC program for that to get revisited under the Biden

```
0283
    Administration or is all that project complete and not
    to be rehashed again?
 2
 3
 4
                     MR. SEPPI: I think Geoff might talk a
 5
     little bit about this, Geoff Beyersdorf from Fairbanks,
    but right now there is talk about that. I don't have
 6
 7
     anything official to tell you. But, yes, there has
    been discussions on either a plan amendment. As you
 9
    well know othe ACECs that were proposed in the Bering
10
    Sea Western Interior, for sure, which is in my field
11
    office and which is a large part of the Western
    Interior RAC, those ACECs that were proposed were not
12
13
    included in the final decision and so there is a
14
    process that's starting that's looking at either a plan
15
    amendment or some sort of way to reevaluate that and
16
     look at that. So the answer to that is, yes, I just
17
     don't know when and the details of it.
18
19
                     MR. GERVAIS: All right, thanks for
20
    your report.
21
22
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you very
23
    much, Bruce.
24
25
                     MR. SEPPI: Okay, I got to scoot, thank
26
     you.
27
28
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Okay,
29
    next on the agenda.....
30
31
                     (Pause)
32
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, next on
33
34
     the report we'll go to Kanuti.
35
36
                     MS. FOX: Good afternoon, can you hear
37
     me?
38
39
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes.
40
41
                     MS. FOX: Good afternoon to the
42
    Council, through the Chair. For the record, I'm Joanna
43
     Fox, I'm the Manager of the Kanuti National Wildlife
44
     Refuge. And before I summarize our report today, I
     would like to start by acknowledging that Kanuti Refuge
45
46
     encompasses the traditional lands of the Koyukon,
47
    Athabascan and the KobukNunamiut people who have relied
48
     on the lands, waters and resources that the Refuge
49
     provides for thousands of years and still do today.
```

We've been hearing and talking a lot about change the last couple of days, profound environmental changes in climate, in vegetation and in habitat and in the fish and wildlife populations that we steward and benefit from.

5 6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

I want you to know that we are also going through some Administrative change at Kanuti Refuge. You can reference our summary report which is available in Tab 6 of your supplements for more information. Briefly, though, leadership in our region recently completed a multi-year effort to reprioritize and redistribute funds and Staffing among the Refuges in Alaska with the intent to allow more flexibility for managers to respond to unpredictable but generally declining budgets. As a result of those efforts, which included an in-depth workload analysis of all 16 Refuges in the region, which is the State of Alaska, budgets and Staffing at Kanuti and Yukon Flats National Wildlife Refuge to our east, are being reduced, and within two to five years we will be combined, or as you may have heard it called before, complexed. In the meantime as positions are vacated many are not being refilled. We are also starting the transition to where to combine programs, though we have not yet been complexed. So we're eliminating programs and facilities that we can no longer support. I bring this up so that you understand why we no longer have Staff or facilities in Coldfoot at Kanuti Refuge and to prepare you for more change that is coming down the road. I assure you that the Staff at both Refuges are still passionate about the work that we do, we're doing the best that we can with what we have and we continue at all times to advocate for the resources that we need to fulfill the purposes for which these Refuges were established, including providing the opportunity for continued subsistence use.

37 38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

I'll start at our biological report today by mentioning that we are very grateful to Alaska Department of Fish and Game for completing a (indiscernible) moose survey in the Refuge last fall, the first since 2017. So the Refuge no longer has planes or pilots to contribute to the effort we were able to provide the aviation fuel to support the survey as well as lodging for the crew in our Bettles bunkhouse where the effort was based. Glenn Stout reported the results of that survey to you at the spring meeting, I believe, but in a nutshell the

estimate included 528 cows, 297 bulls and 115 calves for a total estimate of 783 to 1,121 moose in Kanuti Refuge and this had a 90 percent confidence interval. While the estimate was lower than the 2017 estimate it was not significantly lower and, thus, the overall trend suggests that the population is still stable but in the low density equilibrium that it has been for quite a long time now. For more information about the estimates in the years 1989 through 2021 please refer to the table that's on the third page of our report.

This year we were able to have a crew string out at the Moses Beach (indiscernible - background noise) administrative cabin at Kanuti Lake, Wildlife Biologist Chris Harwood and a seasonal intern that we share with Yukon Flats Refuge this year were there from mid-April through the end of June. While they were there they conducted long-term monitoring and inventorying of birds and they got quite a bit of overdue maintenance completed for us. Since there have been significant declines in bee production in the last 50 years and bees and other pollinators play a key ecological role in ensuring seed and fruit production for plants they also initiated bee collections to inventory the types of bees and other pollinating insects that are present in the Refuge.

We had aerial surveys for breeding scaup and scotters and for molting geese planned this year and we were ready to get those done but unfortunately pilot and plane unavailability in June and then persistent wildfire smoke in July prevented us from completing those surveys. Smoke from fires also ultimately caused us to cancel our annual dragonfly day event just a day or two before it was set to occur, which was very disappointing.

We were also very much hoping to reinstitute our longstanding and popular science and culture camp at the Henshaw Creek weir this summer but due to the severe flooding and damage that you heard about from Nicole with TCC earlier this afternoon, those plans, too, came to a halt when TCC let us know that they needed to spend the whole season making repairs and we would be unable to host students there. We're very much hoping to be out there with the students and elders again next summer and we're looking forward to making that happen.

Finally, I'm happy to report that
Federal Wildlife Refuge Officer Jared Long was able to
conduct several days of patrols in the Refuge during
the moose season in September. He reported that he was
able to make 30 hunting contacts, check nine rifle and
one archery moose kill and a wolf kill and he reported
no problems or complaints. He's still in the room, I
believe, so if you have questions about his patrols,
I'll defer those to him and I'll conclude my report
here.

There is some additional information in the summary in your books so I encourage you if you haven't already read that, please do. And I thank you very much for your time and I'm happy to try to answer any questions that you might have.

Madame Chair.

MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair, Jack

Reakoff.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Jack.

MR. REAKOFF: I've been listening very closely to all of these reports, I have not been making comments. I am extremely concerned about the reductions for Yukon Flats and Kanuti, what kind of reductions have you had Joanna in the last -- to basically draw down to a skeletal crew at this time, what kind of budgets have been cut?

MS. FOX: Well, right now what we're going through is a time period where as there's (indiscernible - background) in our Staff, positions are not being refilled. That's not every position, there are some positions that don't fall within our primary base operation funding so fire positions are still funded, law enforcement is still funded, maintenance as well, for the most part. But we -- we've lost -- over the last four to five years, we've lost several biologists at Kanuti Refuge alone, we only have one biologist remaining and we are not being allowed to refill those biological positions. The intent is that we will share our biological Staff with Yukon Flats and we have done a lot of sharing for quite a few years with Yukon Flats but, you know, as they are losing positions and funding it becomes a matter of having to prioritize and do one project here and a different one

0287 there, you know, maybe on alternating years. So that's what I foresee down the road but we definitely -- we've definitely seen a pretty big decrease in our base budget over the last couple of years. I think the new 5 model for the region gives the combined Refuges 6 6 percent of the budget for the Refuges in Alaska. 7 8 MR. REAKOFF: Thank you. Madame Chair. 9 10 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, any other 11 comments. 12 13 MR. HONEA: Madame Chair. 14 15 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Go ahead, Don. 16 17 MR. HONEA: Yeah, was somebody online. 18 19 (No comments) 20 21 MR. HONEA: Jenna, is that your name. 22 23 MS. PILCHER: Joanna. 24 25 MR. HONEA: Joanna. 26 27 MS. FOX: Joanna. 28 29 MR. HONEA: Yeah, this is Don Honea. 30 just had a question I'd like to ask about each one of 31 our Refuges since we don't know, I'm just -- are you 32 concerned about your moose population? I think you 33 said maybe the count is down a little bit or something 34 and I think it's -- we should concern ourselves in 35 every Refuge about how your numbers -- are you 36 comfortable with your numbers? 37 38 Thank you. 39 40 MS. FOX: Yes, through the Chair. 41 comfortable with the numbers that were derived through 42 the survey that was done. In other words, I'm 43 comfortable that with the 90 percent confidence 44 interval they're pretty accurate. It's hard for me to 45 say that I'm comfortable with the moose population that 46 is at a low level but it has been low for a very long 47 time. It's in an equilibrium state. I haven't seen an 48 alarming trend over the past five to 10 years but I am

definitely worried about weather events in the winter.

49

```
0288
    I don't know what kind of an impact weather might have
    had on the moose population this last year and
    certainly we had a pretty horrific winter around
    Fairbanks so that survey was completed before -- you
    know, before full winter so it's possible that numbers
    are different now. But, yeah, I guess I would say I
 6
 7
    don't have a sense that there's been a crash of some
     sort without doing a new survey.
 9
10
                     MR. HONEA: Yeah, again, I just ask
11
     this question because you guys had a wolf control
12
     program I believe up there some years ago, I don't know
     the numbers or anything and I'm just hoping that it had
13
14
     an effect and I -- I don't know if they -- I thought
     there was one around McGrath and I just -- you know I
15
     am just wondering how much -- how low we have to get
16
17
    before we have to institute something like that but I
18
    appreciate your answers.
19
20
                     Thank you.
21
22
                     MS. FOX: Thank you.
23
24
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, any other
25
    questions or comments, if not, thank you very much
26
     Joanna.
27
28
                     MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair. Madame
29
    Chair.
30
31
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Go ahead, Jack.
32
33
                     MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair.
34
35
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Jack.
36
37
                     MR. REAKOFF: I would like to read this
     into the record. The Western Interior Regional
38
39
    Advisory Council Member, I am very concerned regarding
     Staffing and funding of important resource monitoring
40
41
     at Kanuti.
42
43
                     Goals and priorities need to support
44
    (cuts out)....
45
46
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, Jack, are
     you....
47
48
49
                     MR. REAKOFF: Western Interior Regional
50
```

```
0289
 1
    Advisory Council is experience....
 2
 3
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Jack, you cut
 4
     off on -- we couldn't hear what you said so maybe you
 5
     could repeat.
 6
 7
                     MR. REAKOFF: I'm on my cell phone, our
 8
     phone system is having problems today. Can you hear me
     okay.
 9
10
11
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: You keep cutting
12
     out but go right ahead.
13
14
                     MR. REAKOFF: I'll try and redo this
15
     again.
16
17
                     Western Interior Regional Advisory
18
    Council is -- as a Council member I'm very concerned
19
     about Staffing and funding for Kanuti National Wildlife
20
    Refuge. I feel the goals and priorities need to
21
     support interaction of the Refuge subsistence Staff
22
    with communities of Allakaket, Alatna, Evansville,
23
    Bettles and Hughes.
24
25
                     The Western Interior Regional Council
26
    has experience with complexing with Innoko and Koyukuk
27
    Nowitna has proven to be a vast reduction and failure
28
     of the Refuge's resources and the Refuge itself.
29
    Innoko is too distant from Galena and budget for
30
    subsistence outreach was minimal. This is not the
31
    fault of the Koyukuk/Nowitna Refuge, they're just
32
     spread too thin. Complexing is a failure at ground
33
    level view. I feel as a Council member that the Kanuti
34
    needs to be Staffed with its own manager, biological
35
    Staff and Kanuti resource information technicians that
36
    may be shared with Yukon Flats at times. It needs its
37
    own subsistence coordinator.
38
39
                     As a WIRAC member I oppose complexing
    Kanuti with another Refuge, Yukon Flats. The small
40
41
     savings is less to the resources and people of each
42
     Refuge unit. The Council needs funded fish and
43
     wildlife habitat monitoring at Kanuti so we and the
44
     Federal Subsistence Board can make informed decisions.
45
46
                     Thank you, Madame Chair.
47
48
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Jack.
49
    Any other comments. Tim.
```

```
0290
 1
                     MR. GERVAIS: Thanks for your comment,
 2
     Jack, would you like to add it to the annual report?
 4
                     MR. REAKOFF: I would like to send this
 5
     as a letter to the Regional Director Sara Boario and
 6
     the Alaska Chief of Refuges, Brian Glaspell, as a
 7
     letter of concern about this complexing plan that is
    due to fail so to the Subsistence Regional Council, the
 9
    people and the resources.
10
11
                     MR. GERVAIS: I would make a motion to
12
    transmit that letter as dictated Jack.
13
14
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, motion by
15
    Tim.
16
17
                     MR. REAKOFF: Second.
18
19
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Is there a
20
    second.
21
22
                     MR. SIMON: Second.
23
24
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Second by
25
    Pollock.
26
27
                     MR. REAKOFF: Second.
28
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay.
29
30
    Discussion. I think we've discussed it -- Kevin,
31
     sorry.
32
33
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Question.
34
35
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, question.
36
    All in favor say aye.
37
38
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
39
40
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: All opposed same
41
    sign.
42
43
                     (No opposing votes)
44
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, motion
45
46
     carries. Okay, if there's no more comments or anything
47
     for Joanna, Joanna thank you very much.
48
49
                     (No comments)
50
```

MS. OKADA: Good afternoon, Madame Chair. Council members. My name's Marcy Okada and I work for Gates of the Arctic National Park and Preserve as the Subsistence Coordinator. I'd like to refer you to your supplemental packet, Tab 7 for our written update. And I'll try to make this as brief as possible.

We do have a new Superintendent, Mark Dowdle, but unfortunately he had to head out to another meeting this afternoon. He was here earlier today. Our two Subsistence Resource Commission members on your RAC are Jack Reakoff and Pollock Simon, Sr., and they'll both have the chance to talk further with our new Superintendent at our upcoming SRC meeting next month, November 9th and 10th. I know we have a new member on the RAC and just to let folks know, Pollock Simon, Sr., is the RAC appointed member to our SRC.

So I will hand it off to Matt for the caribou and moose update.

MR. CAMERON: Thanks, Marcy. Hi, Madame Chair and members of the Council. For the record my name is Matt Cameron, I'm a Wildlife Biologist here in Fairbanks with the National Park Service for Gates of the Arctic. I'll be presenting our Western Arctic Herd update and moose update.

So this spring in working with ADF&G we deployed 36 GPS collars on the herd and we currently have 87 active collars on the Western Arctic Herd. We retrieved our camera collars this summer and, Jack, I'm happy to tell you we plan to analyze those for summer and fall diets for the herd in the next year or two. And we plan to deploy collars again this next spring via helicopter.

For our moose update we successfully completed a GSPE survey this spring. Our 2022 estimate was 1,308 moose and this was up from 2015 but our confidence intervals were overlapping and so it

suggests the population is relatively stable if not up a little bit. So this gave us a density of .21 moose per square mile and generally we had a similar number of calves in 2015. So our report just got finalized earlier this week and so we can share that with anyone who's interested. We also noted in the report that deeper snow this year compared to 2015 may have had an influence on our estimate as we saw more groups in fewer units but in larger groups overall.

So that concludes the moose report and we have Will Deacy on the line to present our sheep update.

MR. GERVAIS: Madame Chair, may I ask a question while we're on moose?

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Sure

MR. GERVAIS: Hi, Cameron. What's your -- what's the goal on the moose density per square mile?

MR. CAMERON: It's just monitoring and so this is -- we've conducted three surveys in total, three GSPE surveys for Gates, 2004, 2015 and 2022 and they've all produced relatively similar densities so we think this is just characteristic of the population.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you. Okay, whoever's online, did you say his name, I forgot his name.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$ CAMERON: Will Deacy. Are you there, Will?

MR. DEACY: Yes, thank you, Madame Chair. This is Will Deacy, Biologist with the National Park Service. I'll just provide a quick sheep survey update. This summer my team in partnership with the BLM conducted distance sampling surveys for dall sheep in July 6th to the 16th in Gates of the Arctic Park and also in BLM lands surrounding the Dalton Highway. We received a lot of help from Fish and Wildlife Service, three biologists helped us with the survey. This year we flew surveys in the Itkillik area up Northeast Gates and also in Southeast Gates of the Arctic Park between the Dalton Highway and the John River. Unfortunately we did not finish surveys in Anaktuvuk Pass survey area

because of snow that fell and that ran us into the start of the Anaktuvuk Pass community hunt.

2 3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

Full results in this years survey aren't available yet, however, our preliminary data suggests sheep numbers in the Itkillik area are stable or possibly slightly decreasing when compared to the last few years. But it's important to remember that the numbers over the last few years are down 50 to 70 percent since the large decline the area experienced in 2013 to 2014. Our initial assessment of the data from the Southeast Gates area suggests sheep numbers are similar this year compared to last which means 50 to 60 percent decline in adult sheep since 2015.

14 15 16

17

18

19

20

So more information including the full results of the abundance model and details of the graphic data will be available at your winter meeting and you have material in your packets from -- including the full survey results and I'll also provide the full results at the Gates of the Arctic SRC meeting.

21 22 23

Thank you, Madame Chair.

24 25

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you very much. Any more to add to this.

26 27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

MS. OKADA: And then our final update is just that Park Service has partnered with the U.S. Geological Survey to look at orange colored streams. They've been seeing more of these in the Brooks Range and the thought is it's the effect of iron mobilization that's having impacts on stream water quality and so they'll be doing this project for the next few years. We've heard from local people that they've also been noticing what's quoted as "Tang" colored streams. So there's a study being done on this.

37 38 39

And that's it for our update.

40

41 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Any other 42

comments.

43 44

MR. REAKOFF: Thank you.

45 46

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Jack.

47 48

MR. REAKOFF: I wanted to thank Gates of the Arctic Staff for diversifying their fields of

0294 study on the wildlife and on the habitat. Thank you. 2 3 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Tim. 4 5 MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Madame Chair. 6 Marcy, is Gates of Arctic Park involved with any work 7 with the Alaska Industrial Development Authority on the environmental work regarding the Ambler Road? 9 10 MS. OKADA: Madame Chair to Mr. 11 Gervais. So because Ambler Road does go through the southern portion of Gates of the Arctic we do have 12 13 Staff that attend meetings, AIEDA that they've been 14 holding. One thing that AIEDA create was a subsistence 15 advisory committee and there's an appointed seat from 16 our Subsistence Resource Commission to this subsistence 17 advisory committee so there's some overlap there. But 18 as of right now it's just been meeting between the 19 AIEDA hosts that the Park Service attends. 20 21 22 23 24

MR. GERVAIS: Okay. And then I never been up to Gates of Arctic, how much of an area is subject to the -- this rule or planning that's coming out regarding the sporthunting in the -- is there a section of the Gates of Arctic that's a Preserve or is it all a Park?

MS. OKADA: So the hunting rule that was presented earlier would affect two portions of Gates of the Arctic. We have two Preserve units that are attached to the Park. The northern most Preserve Unit is the Northeast section called Itkillik Preserve and then we have a southern portion, a Southern Preserve Unit, we call it the Kobuk Boot portion of the Gates of the Arctic so those are the two Preserve units that would be affected.

MR. GERVAIS: All right, thank you.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you. Do you have any more -- if you don't have anymore thank you very much Marcy Okada and Mark -- Mark -- Matthew.

MR. HONEA: Matthew.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Oh, Matthew Cameron. Okay, next up we have Central Yukon Field Office, Erin Julianus.

49 50

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39 40

41

42

43 44

45 46

47

MS. JULIANUS: Yeah, good afternoon Madame Chairwoman and members of the Council. For the record my name is Erin Julianus and I'm a Wildlife Biologist for the Central Yukon Field Office. And with me today I have our field -- or our Fairbanks District Manager, Geoff Beyersdorf, who, of course, many of you know, who will be speaking briefly about the Ambler Road. And, yeah, it's really wonderful to see everybody here today. I'll try to keep my update short but I do want to cover a couple field office specific things.

So usually at this meeting we, of course, give an update on the Central Yukon Resource Management Plan. My update's going to be pretty short. The planning effort is still ongoing as it has been for a long time, since 2013. We published the draft EIS, environmental impact statement, last year, and received many meaningful and constructive public, agency and other organization comments. The draft and all associated documents are available online. I know that hard copies have been circulated pretty widely. I don't have any additional updates for the RMP and we don't have an official timeline for it's completion at this time.

So for resource updates in the field office, our Staff had a pretty busy summer as usual. A lot of trips up to Coldfoot and did a lot of our usual compliance work for permitting along, specifically, the Dalton Highway. And both the aquatics and terrestrial Staff for the Central Yukon Field Office were involved in BLM's national inventory and monitoring program work. The aquatics Staff have been involved in this work for multiple years but this was the first year we started doing terrestrial vegetation inventory work as part of that effort so we were all pretty excited to get that done.

For wildlife we continued our InterAgency sheep survey work with the National Park Service and, yeah, we're really grateful to, you know, Will, and the Park Service for their capacity and willingness to extend their survey efforts to BLM-managed land in the Central Brooks Range and, yeah, of course, defer to Will -- or the updates that Will just provided and, yeah, I think we'll have further to say about the 2022 survey results next spring.

Jen McMillian took a job with the National Park Service this spring so I'm slowly integrating the sheep work into my workload. We're still planning on summarizing the mineral work in a report and continuing with the Park Service InterAgency agreement with surveys into next year.

We surveyed the Ray Mountains Caribou Herd this past September and got kind of a partial survey done of the Hogatza Herd. We ended up getting weathered out the second half of that survey but it was good to be able to get the Ray Mountains Herd counted.

Our Cultural Resource Staff floated the Atigun River in July to monitor known archeological sites and we continue to monitor and treat invasive species along the Dalton Highway as we've been doing for a number of years.

Let's see, in our report, which is included as supplemental material on the table in the back included a really nice summary from our Ranger, Steve Mosier of the 2022 hunting season. And much of the beginning of the season, of course, was spent making sure people were aware of, you know, the closure of Federal public lands in and around the Corridor to sheep hunting and making sure people had a really good understanding of the land status in the areas where they intended to hunt. And, yeah, Steve, does a really good job for us and has been really committed to extend patrol efforts in the field office, particularly on the Dalton Highway and that's been really beneficial, we've been really grateful for that.

A quick summary of our -- all of our authorizations in the field office. We have 32 active recreation permits, special recreation permits under authorization. Most of these are tour operators, we do have eight commercial hunting guides under permit and permittees are submitting their post-use information from the 2022 season now so we'll have that summary as we typically do, or usually do at the winter meeting.

We continue to permit a lot of diverse right of ways and other land use activities in the field office. We have multiple active -- yeah, let's see, 11 active placer mines, five active gravel pits and ongoing permitting activity with Pipeline maintenance.

Yeah, and then the last thing I'll say before turning it over to Geoff, yeah, the Ambler Road right of way certainly continues to be a big workload for us. We're currently conducting a supplemental environmental impact statement to address identified deficiencies in the original impacts analysis and, yeah, we're currently in an open 45 day comment period on this supplemental environmental impact. And, yeah, Geoff is here because he is the authorized officer for that project so Geoff maybe you could speak more specifically to that. And, yeah, I'll turn it over to you.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ BEYERSDORF: I guess before we go there, if there's any questions for you from the Central Yukon side.

MS. JULIANUS: Yeah, we could certainly do that. I know there was a question about, I can't remember, the ACECs, I think areas of critical environmental concern, Bruce kind of addressed that to the extent that I'm aware of it too so.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Do we have any questions or comments, if not, go ahead Geoff.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Go ahead.

MR. BEYERSDORF: Through the Chair to the members, Geoff Beyersdorf. I wanted to thank you for allowing me to be here today. I'm the son of Ralph and Sharon Beyersdorf and the grandson of Wilamena and August and John and Kate, my family is primarily from Czechoslovakia and from Germany and our background as far as moving over to the United States is primarily in farming. I'm the oldest of six kids....

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MADAME}}$ CHAIR PELKOLA: Excuse me, could you go closer to the mic.

MR. BEYERSDORF: Yeah. I'm the oldest of six kids. I've got three children and god willing I'll have five grandchildren come next month. So I've been in Alaska, as many of you know, I was surprised at how many of you are still here. I've been here for about 32 years and as Jenny well knows, about half my time has been spent in villages.

I wanted to speak on the Ambler EIS. Specifically it's been pulled out of the field office and up to the district and so what has occurred there is it has gone through litigation. It went through the U.S. District Court here in Alaska and the Department of Interior voluntarily remanded it back to the BLM to take a look at it because of four identified deficiencies in regards to caribou habitat, the decline in the Western Arctic Caribou Herd as you guys have noted and also the decline in the Yukon salmon population as well as the water crossings and some of the impacts from the water crossings in sheefish, whitefish and other salmon species.

So currently as Erin noted, we're in the open public comment and scoping period which goes from September 20th until November 4th. With that I've been heavily engaged in the government to government consultations. I was in Kobuk last week. I will be going to Ambler and Shungnak and then also to Huslia probably the middle of the month and then to -- Elder Simon just to let you know, I contacted your chief yesterday and I'll be going to Allakaket probably next week as well so there's some significant engagement getting back out to the tribes and hearing from them in regards to their concerns. You know this open comment period goes through November 4th but obviously there will be opportunities beyond then. I would assume that this -- the Western Interior RAC is interested in commenting on that. I didn't catch if it was in your annual report or not, and then we will be looking to come out with a draft environmental impact statement based upon the scoping and the comments through this open period probably some time in June and that would obviously be another opportunity for people to provide us comments on that.

So with that I'll stop and slow down and I'm sure that there may be a question or two, maybe even from you Jack.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MADAME}}$ CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, do we have any questions.

MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Jack.

MR. REAKOFF: Yes. I do appreciate

```
0299
    Geoff Beyersdorf being back working with this Council
     again. He was the Subsistence Coordinator down in
    Galena for a long time. And so I do feel that the
    Council has made comments on the Ambler Road
    previously. I feel that those comments are still valid
 5
     and I feel that our comments should be resubmitted
 6
 7
     during this open comment period again. And I do
     appreciate the thorough presentation by Erin Julianus.
 9
10
                     Thank you.
11
12
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Jack.
13
    Anybody else.
14
15
                     Tim.
16
17
                     MR. GERVAIS: Madame Chair. Do we need
18
    a motion to draft this letter restating our Western
19
     Interior's position on the road and the subsistence
20
     concerns that the Ambler Road raises?
21
                     MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair.
22
23
24
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes.
25
26
                     MR. REAKOFF: I'd like to make a motion
27
     to recover our comments that we submitted to the
28
     Federal Bureau of Land Management regarding the Ambler
29
    Road issues and resubmitting them.
30
31
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Motion made by
32
     Jack, is there a second.
33
34
                     MR. GERVAIS: Seconded.
35
36
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Seconded
37
    by Tim. Discussion.
38
39
                     (No comments)
40
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: All -- okay, all
41
42
    in favor say aye.
43
44
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
45
46
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: All opposed --
47
     Jack.
48
49
                     MR. REAKOFF: I'm affirmative.
```

```
0300
 1
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. All
 2
     opposed same sign.
 3
 4
                     (No opposing votes)
 5
 6
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Motion carries.
 7
    Okay -- yes.
 8
 9
                     MS. PILCHER: This is Nissa for the
10
    record. Just to clarify while we did just lose Member
11
    Honea from the room we're still maintaining quorum,
12
     there's still five members present, so we're still good
13
     there.
14
15
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: With that, are
16
     you done with your report -- yes, Mr. Beyersdorf.
17
18
                     MR. BEYERSDORF: Thanks, Chairman
19
    Pelkola. A couple things, one, I want to directly --
20
    I'm sorry that I missed Jack, that he's not here, I
21
    wanted to thank him personally, I know he spent some
22
    time with Director Manning there in Coldfoot and there
23
    was an opportunity for her to get out to Allakaket also
24
     to visit with people and so I think that was time that
25
     was very well spent and I really appreciate his making
26
     the effort to do that.
27
28
                     Secondly, you know, Erin has mentioned
29
     the supplemental environmental impact statement. One
30
     thing going forward with that, we're going to be doing
31
     some cultural resources surveys which is what we would
32
    have to do if there's -- if there's any Federal project
33
     that goes along and there's some disturbance associated
34
    with it we do what's called a Section .106 compliance
35
     in regards to cultural surveys, archeological surveys
36
     and we are making very intensive efforts with all of
37
     the villages on getting those surveys complete along
     with doing what's called ethnographic history and then
38
39
     we'll be working with each of the tribes along the way
40
     in regards to developing their own specific
41
     ethnographic history report so they have that as a
42
    source of information should there be any future need
43
    for that. So I wanted to point out to Elder Simon that
44
    that is one thing that we're going to be doing with
45
    Allakaket and Alatna as well.
46
47
                     So thank you.
```

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you

48 49

very much. Before you leave I have a little story about Geoff. In 2002 I came down with -- I got cancer and I went out to Seattle for treatment and in Galena they had a raffle for me and when they sent out to the list to me his name was on there and I remember he donated -- he didn't even know me, he donated \$100 and I said, who is this guy that donated, I don't even known him.

(Laughter)

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: And so when I came back to -- went back to Galena, I went directly to camp and low and behold this White guy come into camp and he said I'm Geoff Beyersdorf and I said, oh, okay, he's the one, so I had a chance to thank him there. I didn't know him, that was my first meeting with him. And then later on he -- I don't know if it's the same time but later on he said, hey, there's a vacancy on the Western Interior -- I didn't even really know about the Western Interior and he said, well, why don't you go for it, it must have been later, years later, so he just kept pushing me. He said you're always at fish camp anyway, you might as well just get on there, try so that's how I got on and he pushed me and we have a long friendship since then. We taught him how to play cards in Galena, he wasn't very good but.....

(Laughter)

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA:but he was learning. So that's my connection with Geoff. And this morning he brought me a jar of honey and I said, oh, thank you honey.

(Laughter)

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: So I just thank you, again, Geoff, and so you did a good job on your report. Thank you.

MR. BEYERSDORF: Thank you, Chairman

 Pelkola.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Tim.

MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Madame Chair. Erin, so you said that the supplemental EIS is complete and it's out for public comment?

MS. JULIANUS: It's not a supplemental yet, it's the draft environmental impact statement for the Central Yukon Resource Management Plan, yeah, it was published last year sometime, I think, yeah. Yep.

MR. GERVAIS: All right. So it's for the whole BLM operation, it doesn't just address the Ambler Road?

MS. JULIANUS: Yeah, correct. Yep, two different projects entirely. Yep. Yep, so this is the resource management plan which will, you know, provide management direction for BLM-managed lands in the, you know, essentially our field office, but the Central Yukon portion of the state so.

MR. GERVAIS: Okay.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. If there's no comments or questions, I thank you very much for your report and we'll see you later Geoff, sometime.

Is there anyone to give a report for the Alaska Department of Fish and Game.

 MS. COLEMAN: Hi, this is Jesse Coleman with Division of Subsistence. So hoping to just give one really quick update and introduce myself since I'm new in this position.

(Interference on speaker's phone)

MS. COLEMAN: There's just one project that's coming up this year on customary trade. I wanted to highlight this one because it's relevant to your region. Some of our other work is more Delta focused. But this project is a sister project to previously OSM funded work. It was completed in 2015 in the upper Yukon. This project is about documenting customary trade and barter and describing how these practices fit within kind of the overall subsistence use of salmon in the lower and middle Yukon areas, today and in the past.

So last year surveys were completed in Galena and Kaltag and then we will be doing surveys in the communities of -- right now Mountain Village and NunamIqua this fall and winter.

0303 1 So that's my really quick update and 2 thank you very much, Madame Chair and Council members. It's good to meet you. 4 5 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, are you 6 done? 7 8 MS. COLEMAN: Yes, I'm done, thank you. 9 10 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: That was quick, 11 any questions or comments for her. 12 13 (No comments) 14 15 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Before we 16 go to the next one I just want to let Geoff know that I 17 got my 15 year plaque yesterday, 15 years plus. 18 19 Okay, with that we have OSM. 20 21 MR. KRON: Madame Chair. Members of 22 the Council. 23 24 On behalf of the OSM, I wanted to thank 25 all Council members for your exceptional work on behalf 26 of your communities and the user groups during the 27 trying times in recent years, during the pandemic. value your experience and contributions and your 28 29 knowledge to the regulatory process. And I'm going to 30 stand and give you a round of applause and I'm going to 31 ask everyone behind me to do the same. 32 33 Thank you. 34 35 (Applause) 36 37 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you. 38 39 MR. KRON: Thank you all. 40 41 We are holding all 10 2022 Regional 42 Advisory Council meetings in-person this fall and have 43 been working over recent months to arrange for travel, 44 lodging and meeting places for all Councils. 45 46 OSM Staff are happy that we can finally 47 see you all face to face. We thank you again for 48 bering with us during the past two and a half years

during the pandemic and the teleconference meetings.

The Federal Subsistence Management Program recognizes that in-person Council meetings are preferable. Again, I am very sorry to hear about Jack's accident and his inability to come to this meeting but thank you, Jack, for joining us again via teleconference.

The Council meetings must follow Federal government guidelines. We are hoping that Covid19 levels are low enough in each of the communities across the state where the Council meetings are planned at the time of the meeting so that we will be able to meet Federal government requirements. We are working with each of the Councils on the specific requirements for their region to conduct in-person meetings safely.

OSM is pleased to welcome two newly hired Subsistence Council Coordinators, Lisa Hutchinson-Scarbrough and Jessica Gill. Both have a wealth of knowledge and experience in working with rural users in communities as well as Alaska subsistence issues.

 $\label{eq:Karie Crow returned to OSM as a budget analysis.}$

Bernard Shavings joined us as an Administrative Support Assistant.

Michelle Andrews joined as OSM's Executive Secretary.

The OSM Team continues to work on rebuilding our capacity.

Over the past two and a half years we've been reminding Council members about the change in requirements for IDs at airports. Beginning May 3rd, 2023, next spring, every air traveler will need to present a RealID compliant driver's license or other acceptable form of identification such as a passport to fly within the United States. This is applicable even when you fly on small Bush carriers. Please note that all members will need to make sure they have the RealID for travel to fall 2023 Council meetings.

As you were previously briefed in 2020 the State of Alaska filed a lawsuit against the Federal Subsistence Board after it adopted Emergency Special Action WSA 19-14. This Special Action allowed the village of Kake in Southeast Alaska to engage in community harvest of up to four bull moose and 10 male Sitka black tail deer in response to Covid19 pandemic and it's impact on food supplies for that community. Also in the lawsuit was Temporary Special Action WSA 20-03 in which the Board closed Federal public lands in units 13A and B to moose and caribou hunting by non-Federally qualified users for the 2020 to 2022 regulatory cycle for reasons of public safety and continued subsistence uses.

On December 3rd, 2021 the court ruled in favor of the Federal Subsistence Board on both matters. Briefings are currently underway for both of these issues.

Council Member Whitworth asked about ADF&G's recent management on the Kuskokwim at an earlier Council meeting. With the poor salmon runs to the Kuskokwim Rivers in recent years the Federal Subsistence Management Program has issued closures to non-subsistence fishing and severely limited subsistence participation in the fishery on the waters within the Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge. In spite of this the ADF&G announced openers in 2021 and 2022 allowing all Alaskans to harvest salmon on Refuge waters. ADF&G's actions undermine ANILCA, Title VIII priority to rural residents and preempted the Federal conservation based actions. In response to calls for action from an outraged subsistence community and at the request of the Federal Subsistence Board the Solicitor's Office drafted a complaint and began seeking litigation against the State of Alaska. Department of Justice filed this complaint in May of this year. On June 23rd the U.S. District Court issued a preliminary injunction after concluding that the U.S. is likely to prevail on the merits of this case. The court also found irreparable harm to the Federal government's ability to enforce ANILCA's rural subsistence priority. This injunction prevented ADF&G from unlawfully interring with the Federal government's implementation of ANILCA's subsistence priority and efforts at conservation on the Kuskokwim for the duration of the lawsuit. This case is proceeding.

0306 1 That concludes my report. I'd be happy 2 to answer any questions. 4 Thank you, Madame Chair. 5 6 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, do we have 7 any questions for Tom from the Board. 8 9 Tim. 10 11 MR. GERVAIS: Regarding the case, Tom, 12 so it's just still getting litigated, nothing's been 13 determined or is that preliminary injunction 14 effectively settle the issue? 15 16 MR. KRON: Our Solicitor's office representative, Ken Lord, told me that he is guessing 17 18 that it's probably going to be in the court system for 19 another two years but the indications are that the 20 Federal Subsistence Board will prevail on this matter 21 based on what we're seeing right now. And, again, 22 we'll work real closely with the Kuskokwim River group 23 that Kevin is very involved with. 24 25 Thank you. 26 27 MR. GERVAIS: So how did that affect the management on the grounds this summer, this summer? 28 29 30 MR. KRON: Mr. Chair, I would defer to 31 Council Member Whitworth. 32 33 MR. WHITWORTH: Madame Chair. 34 35 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Kevin. 36 37 MR. WHITWORTH: This is Kevin. Well, maybe you can elaborate on -- I'll just back up a 38 39 little bit. I'll take a bite at it. 40 41 So at one point the Kuskokwim River 42 salmon management working group, the Alaska Department 43 of Fish and Game announced that they will not -- we do 44 not have -- so that's a State of Alaska working group that advises State of Alaska for openers and closures. 45 46 The State of Alaska announced that that -- one of the 47 meetings, I can't remember the date, sometime this 48 summer, that they will -- that we didn't have any 49 authority -- I was part of the working group as the

0307 Western Interior RAC representatives, we didn't have 1 any authorities anymore within Federal waters and that we had to stop making management recommendations to the State managers and the manger said we cannot so that 5 was huge. For them to announce that and not to mirror openers or have openers within emergency special action 6 7 closure time periods, that was a big announcement. So there's no more worry there. 9 10 So I don't know, do you want to add any 11 more to that Tom, I'm just going to leave it at that. 12 13 MR. KRON: Madame Chair. I think 14 Council Member Whitworth addressed it very well. 15 you. Nothing to add. 16 17 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Tom. 18 Any more comments or questions. 19 20 (No comments) 21 22 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: If not thank you 23 very much. 24 25 (No comments) 26 27 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Now we've 28 got to go back up to..... 29 30 MR. RISDAHL: Madame Chair. 31 32 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Jack. 33 34 MR. RISDAHL: This is Greg Risdahl with 35 the USDA Forest Service. I can answer the question that 36 Council Member Tim Gervais asked. He was asking what 37 will be happening in the meantime. 38 39 The court -- what will happen is -- or what's happened is the court instated both a temporary 40 41 restraining order and a preliminary injunction, and the 42 preliminary injunction means that the State will not be 43 allowed to implement any kind of openings until this 44 gets through the court system. 45 46 Thank you. 47 48 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Sorry, I thought 49 that was Jack, it was somebody else. Okay.

0308 1 MR. RISDAHL: Madame Chair. This is 2 Greg Risdahl. 3 4 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. 5 6 MR. RISDAHL: I was just speaking to 7 answer Tim Gervais question about what will happen in the meantime while this is still going through 9 litigation. And what will happen is the State is not 10 allowed to open up any fisheries on the Kuskokwim over 11 the top of a Federal closure because of a preliminary 12 injunction that the judge issued. 13 14 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you. 15 Okay, with that..... 16 17 MR. RISDAHL: You're very welcome. 18 19 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Did 20 somebody say something -- no -- okay, with that we're 21 going to go ahead and select our winter location 22 meeting dates and you have a calendar in front of you. 23 24 MR. HONEA: Yeah. 25 26 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. So the 27 dates were set for the winter meeting were set for 28 April 4th and 5th at Aniak. 29 30 Everybody agree with that. 31 32 Do we need a motion after we.... 33 34 MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair. 35 36 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Jack. 37 38 MR. REAKOFF: This typically is a -- we 39 need to first affirm that we have a meeting place other 40 than the gym, that has extremely bad acoustics. And 41 the other thing about going to Aniak, which I'm in 42 favor of, is that we need to have a charter to reach 43 Aniak. Going on a one week trip to Fairbanks and 44 Anchorage and all round about, we've traditionally used 45 charter to access this meeting. So do you have any 46 other information to add to that Nissa? 47 48 MS. PILCHER: For the record this is 49 Nissa Pilcher. So I haven't got around to figuring out

0309 if there's other locations in Aniak, just the charter 1 -- or just the travel stuff. So I will certainly do that now. But I guess in the meantime while I'm figuring out -- so first off Aniak is not a hub 5 community which means I would need Director approval in 6 order to have the Council meet there. So what I can do 7 in the meantime is -- or what we could do currently is after the meeting ends I can figure out if there's any meeting location other than the place you don't want to 10 go but you guys could also -- and then seek Director 11 approval to actually hold a meeting in Aniak, but if 12 you'd like you could pick a secondary location so if 13 either of those dominos fall you have one already in 14 your back pocket to choose from in case Aniak doesn't 15 work out. 16 17 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. 18 19 MR. REAKOFF: Okay. 20 21 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Any 22 suggestions. Pollock. 23 24 MR. SIMON: Yeah, the last time we had 25 meeting in Aniak it was several years ago we had to --26 me, I have to fly to Fairbanks and Fairbanks to 27 Anchorage, stay overnight in Anchorage and next day 28 Anchorage to Aniak and the return was the same, McGrath 29 [sic] to Anchorage, Anchorage to Fairbanks, stay over 30 night and then go home, a couple of days flying for a 31 day and a half meeting. I would -- at the time we talk 32 about a charter flight straight to Aniak. But they 33 said you can't have a charter flight while the airline 34 has a seat available to fly in there. 35 36 Thank you, Madame Chair. 37 38 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, 39 Pollock. Any other comments. 40 41 (No comments) 42 43 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: I think Nissa

will look into it, right?

MS. PILCHER: Yes, Madame Chair, I will certainly look into it. I'm not positive on the process here but like I -- if you guys make a motion to meet in Aniak, and we find out that either, A, we can't

44

45 46

47

48

49

```
0310
    pull a charter off, or, B, the only place to meet is in
    that location, without a secondary location I'm not
    positive we'd be able to meet anywhere other than Aniak
     just because -- well, I shouldn't say not possible, but
 5
     it might be more difficult. It looks like we might be
 6
     able to get that addressed.
 7
 8
                     MS. WESSELS: Yeah, so if the Regional
 9
     Director doesn't approve your meeting location in Aniak
10
    due to the travel costs and travel ceilings that we're
11
    probably going to get this year since we started
12
    traveling again, then you automatically will revert
13
    back to Fairbanks because it's your hub location,
14
    Fairbanks, Western Interior. So if you -- your Council
15
    wants to meet in a non-hub community, maybe you want to
    select an alternate one just in case and Nissa will
16
17
    have to do the cost comparisons and put that for an
18
    approval with the Regional Director -- with the
19
    Assistant Regional Director for OSM.
20
21
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Anybody
22
    has a suggestion for an alternate place to meet.
23
24
                     Pollock.
25
26
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you,
27
    Madame Chair. If that's the way it's going to be,
     travel through Anchorage, I don't mind the travel, I
28
29
     just want to bring it to everybody's attention.
30
31
                     Thank you, Madame Chair.
32
33
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Anyone else.
34
35
                     MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair, this is
36
     Jack Reakoff.
37
38
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Jack.
39
40
                     MR. REAKOFF: What's our other hub
41
     community, is that Galena and McGrath?
42
43
                     MS. WESSELS: I will have to look,
44
     Jack, if you'd give me a second I could probably find
45
     what other hub communities for Western Interior.
46
                     MR. REAKOFF: This Council has
47
48
     requested charter to Aniak different times, we've
49
     requested the charters to McGrath also because there's
```

```
0311
    no cost advantage to all of the Council members
     spending two nights in hotels in Fairbanks and
    Anchorage during travel, that's not cost effective, the
     charters are actually cheaper because we could go
    directly in one day from home to McGrath, or home to
    Aniak. So I would like to make that clear for the
 6
 7
    record, that the Council's advocating for the -- a few
    dollars saved, the per diem, the hotel rate, not
 9
     (breaks up) so I would like to know what other hub
10
     communities there are so the Council can make an
11
     informed decision as to an alternate to Aniak.
12
13
                     (Pause)
14
15
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Nissa.
16
17
                     MS. PILCHER: I think I -- excuse me,
    this is Nissa Pilcher. I think I found the document
18
19
     Katya was looking for, it looks like for Western
20
     Interior your hub communities are -- another option
21
     would be Galena as a hub community.
22
23
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Pollock.
24
25
                     MR. SIMON: It would be good to meet --
26
     go down there and meet in Aniak, in the earlier time we
27
    had another member from Aniak, but there's none now so
    maybe we could meet down there and maybe somebody will
28
29
    get interested and get on the Board.
30
31
                     Thank you, Madame Chair.
32
33
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: That's a good
34
     idea. Anybody else.
35
36
                     (No comments)
37
38
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: So what
39
     should....
40
41
                     MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair, may I make
42
     a motion.
43
44
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Motion by Jack
45
     is there a second.
46
47
                     REPORTER: Jenny, he hasn't made it
48
     yet.
49
```

```
0312
 1
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Oh, okay, yes,
 2
    Jack.
 3
 4
                     MR. REAKOFF: I'll make the motion, the
 5
    motion is that the first priority is Aniak with charter
    access as our first priority with the selected dates,
 6
 7
     alternate would be Galena with charter for RAC members
    from southern communities like McGrath and I guess
 9
    McGrath is the only one. But we need to have the
10
    ability to expeditiously transport our Regional Council
11
    members to a selected destination so Galena would be
12
    our second alternate.
13
14
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Is there
15
    a second to that motion.
16
17
                     MR. GERVAIS: Seconded.
18
19
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. There's a
20
    motion made by Jack to have the meeting in Aniak and
21
    the alternate place would be Galena. Discussion.
22
23
                     MS. PILCHER: Can I just ask.....
24
25
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Hang on -- yes.
26
27
                     MS. PILCHER: Would you want to include
28
     in that motion that the current dates are okay which
29
    would currently April 4th and 5th.
30
31
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Anybody.
32
33
                     MR. REAKOFF: Yes.
34
35
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. So it
36
    will be April 4th and 5th in Aniak or Galena.
37
38
                     MR. REAKOFF: Yes.
39
40
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Via charter.
41
    Okay, all in favor say aye.
42
43
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
44
45
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: All opposed same
46
     sign.
47
48
                     (No opposing votes)
49
```

```
0313
 1
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, motion
 2
     carries. So our next meeting will be in Aniak on April
     4th and 5th. We're going to go on to our fall meeting
 4
     and that's scheduled for.....
 5
 6
                     MS. PILCHER: It's not.
 7
 8
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: It's not
 9
     scheduled.
                 So if you look on your calendar there's
10
    some RACs that already chose their dates. Okay,
11
     anybody have a preference.
12
13
                     MR. GERVAIS: I like the October 11th
14
    and 12th.
15
16
                     MR. REAKOFF: I'll concur with that.
17
18
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. October
19
     11th and 12th in, where? Fairbanks.
20
21
                     MR. REAKOFF: Fairbanks.
22
23
                     MR. GERVAIS: Is there protocol between
24
    hub and rural or how is there -- is there any way that
25
     that's supposed to play out.
26
27
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: I know we met in
28
    Anchorage a couple times but I don't know what happened
29
    to that but wherever you want to meet we'll meet.
30
31
                     Kevin.
32
33
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Oh, I just wanted to
34
    mention that hopefully we can avoid AFN week.
                                                    I don't
35
    know, you know, last time when we voted on a fall
36
    meeting we didn't know AFN's schedule and it's this
37
    week, and I think that's a lot of the reason why we're
38
    missing some people here, you know, there's a lot of
39
    people there at AFN. So anyhow I just wanted to
    mention that. And I am kind of frustrated, too, that,
40
41
    you know, with Covid we saved a lot of money on travel
42
    but now we're trying to scrap to make Aniak work. I
    realize there's Federal budgets and fiscal year but
44
    just wanted to mention my frustration there, with Covid
45
    we did save a heck of a lot of money with travel
46
    budgets.
```

Thanks.

49 50

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you, Kevin. I think AFN is usually on the third week in October so hopefully they don't move it, if we set our meeting — but if we do set our meeting and AFN happens to move is there something we can add an alternate dates for that instead of — Nissa.

MS. PILCHER: This is Nissa Pilcher for the record. So you guys will be revisiting your dates in your — at your winter 2023 meeting and I did a quick search and I couldn't find that the AFN dates were out for 2023 but you're right it is normally that third week of October, which this does not fall on. That being said you could move your fall meeting at your winter meeting if it does happen to coincide but then — but unfortunately then you'll run into we can't really do more than two Council meetings in one week just due to Staff as well as the Court Reporter so the wiggle room that you'll have at that time during the winter meeting will be much smaller than you've got right now.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Another thing to remember is I think this next year it'll be held in Fairbanks, AFN, but I'm not too sure. They try to alternate between Anchorage and Fairbanks.

So with that, October 11th and 12th, is that the dates you picked -- the 11th and 12th?

MR. GERVAIS: Yeah.

MR. REAKOFF: I prefer those dates.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$ CHAIR PELKOLA: So do we have a motion for that. Oh, I'm sorry, Pollock -- yes.

MR. SIMON: Just like this week is AFN weekend and we got two members that took off to AFN so I was thinking we could come and meet a week earlier, 10, 11, 12 or 13th.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. I think there's a motion on the floor to have it for October 11th and 12th and it was made by Tim and then seconded by Kevin.

MR. WHITWORTH: Second.

0315 1 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. 2 Discussion. 3 4 MS. PILCHER: Where? 5 6 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Oh, where? 7 8 MR. WHITWORTH: Tim, did you say 9 Fairbanks, is that what your motion was for? 10 11 MR. GERVAIS: No, I asked if there was 12 some kind of schedule or protocol where we have a 13 certain rotation we did. But I would say I don't care 14 where it is. 15 16 MS. WESSELS: Madame Chair. 17 18 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes. 19 20 MS. WESSELS: Yeah, I can answer Tim's 21 question. IT used to be that the meeting in the non-22 hub community was once every two years, so I -- we have 23 a new Assistant Regional Director at OSM now so I don't 24 know what her policy will be because we don't know what 25 the budgets will be. Unfortunately, yeah, we did not 26 travel for the last two years, two and a half years, 27 but, you know, each year we get a new budget so I don't 28 know what's going to happen. I think your chance of 29 being in a hub community is very high -- a non-hub 30 community is very high this year just because we are 31 just starting traveling. So I think, you know, chances 32 of Aniak being approved is a good chance but I don't 33 know what's going to happen after that. 34 35 MR. GERVAIS: Okay. I had a -- I guess 36 one -- a discussion yesterday with Nissa and she's 37 indicating that -- I'm open to suggestions on the location of fall 2023. I'm not -- I don't have a 38 39 certain location that I'm saying needs to happen there so feel free for other members to make a -- to suggest 40 41 a location. 42 43 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. The way I 44 think the way we worked it was we had it like every two years in the villages and then we'd have it in the city 45 46 so that's how we got to meet in the hubs every two 47 years so we tried to be fair that the village people 48 would be able to attend when we have it in the village

and then when we come to the city, that the city people

49

```
0316
    would be able to attend, that's why I think we had it
    like that. And I don't know what happened to Anchorage
    but it doesn't matter where we meet as long as we meet.
 4
 5
                     Yes, Don.
 6
 7
                     MR. HONEA: Yeah, Madame Chair. I -- I
 8
     agree with you 100 percent. We only have a certain
     amount of villages that have the capacity to be able to
 9
10
    meet like this. I remember when we went to Aniak the
11
    sound system, everything was not set up that good,
12
    that's why I -- over the years I've always advocated
13
    for McGrath because it was just -- where we stayed was
14
    right across the street from -- everything was set up
15
    really nice and Galena is another one. We don't have
16
    that many places. We tried Kaltag one time, maybe they
17
    didn't have the sound system or something. But I
18
    agree, I mean I think meeting here in Fairbanks is
19
    great and then every -- whatever -- alternate --
20
    whatever formula you had is good.
21
22
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay.
23
24
                     MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair, this is
25
     Jack.
26
27
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Jack.
28
29
                     MR. REAKOFF: I would prefer Fairbanks
     for the next fall meeting. We have more RAC Members
30
31
    that have access to Fairbanks from the southern part.
32
    Going to Anchorage would be more costly in the long run
33
    because additional travel days. So I would prefer
34
    Fairbanks as our meeting place for the fall 2023
35
    meeting.
36
37
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, so we'll
38
     just add that to our motion then.
39
40
                     MR. HONEA: Yes.
41
42
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. So we're
43
     going to add that on, October 11th and 12th in
44
     Fairbanks for the next fall meeting.
45
46
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Question.
47
48
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA:
                                           Kevin.
```

```
50
0317
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Question.
 1
 2
 3
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. The
 4
    question has been called for. All in favor say aye.
 5
 6
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
 7
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: All opposed.
 9
10
                     (No opposing votes)
11
12
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Motion carries.
13
    We're almost done I think. Okay, so Jack is going to
14
    do his report.
15
16
                     MS. PILCHER: (Nods affirmatively)
17
18
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Jack is
19
     going to do a report on State of Alaska Board of
20
     Fisheries review, right?
21
22
                     MS. PILCHER:
                                   Yes.
23
24
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: A report.
25
26
                     MR. REAKOFF: I don't know what that
27
    is.
28
29
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Nissa.
30
31
                     MR. REAKOFF: We were going to
32
    cover....
33
34
                     MS. PILCHER:
                                   I'm going to try Jack.
35
36
                     MR. REAKOFF: Go ahead.
37
38
                     MS. PILCHER: We're going to cover the
     Board of Fish proposals. But since OSM Staff really
39
40
     can't lead you guys through those Board of Fish
41
    proposals, that's kind of more of a Council driven
42
    process. You guys do have the Board of Fish proposals
43
     in your packet, both Yukon, Kuskokwim, and then Area M
     -- or I shouldn't say Area M, but the Alaska Peninsula
44
45
     Chignik Fisheries Proposals if you wanted to go through
46
     them. And there is -- there is some Staff.
47
48
                     MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair, this is
49
     Jack.
```

50 0318 1 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Go ahead, Jack. 2 3 MR. REAKOFF: There's real priorities 4 State Proposal -- the management plan for Area M, 5 Proposal 140, the one Virgil went over. Proposal 24 is the gear specs proposal by Department of Fish and Game 7 to allow additional harvest methods on the Kuskokwim River. Those are important ones that will address some 9 of the issues with harvest of non-salmon species. I 10 think those are the two most important proposals. And 104 is a hook and line proposal for the Koyukuk River 11 12 Advisory but that's not nearly as important as Proposal 13 140 and 124. 14 15 And so those are in our proposal 16 attachment. Can you assist with that Nissa. 17 18 MS. PILCHER: I'll give it a go. 19 20 MR. REAKOFF: 140 is the proposal by 21 the Fairbanks Advisory Committee, the one that Virgil 22 spoke extensively on. So this would be reverting back 23 to South Alaska Peninsula and Shumigan June salmon 24 management plan. I was fully supportive of that long 25 discussion by Virgil. And so I don't think that the 26 Council needs additional discussion, we had like an 27 hour discussion with Virgil on this proposal. 28 29 I'm supportive of Proposal 140. 30 31 Should I make a motion, Madame Chair. 32 33 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, go ahead, 34 Jack. 35 36 MR. REAKOFF: I make a motion to adopt 37 State Board of Fisheries Proposal South Unimak Shumagin Island June salmon fisheries management plan is 39 Proposal 140 submitted by the Fairbanks Advisory 40 Committee to revert to a management classification of 41 the fishery, there would be more protection for the 42 chum -- total chum salmon loss to the subsistence users 43 of the Yukon and Kuskokwim River drainages. 44 45 Thank you, Madame Chair. 46 47 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Is there 48 a second to that motion.

50 0319 1 MR. WHITWORTH: Second. 2 3 MR. HONEA: Second. 4 5 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Seconded by Don. Discussion. 7 8 (No comments) 9 10 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. All in 11 favor for the motion.... 12 13 Jenny, yeah, I would like MR. GERVAIS: 14 to discuss this for a minute. 15 16 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, Tim. 17 18 MR. GERVAIS: So last year from August 19 to October I was down in this area for crab fishing and 20 I spent a lot of time in King Cove and some amount of 21 time in False Pass and I met a lot of these fishermen 22 and saw them actually fishing quite a bit and I wanted 23 to correct some of the statements from Virgil's 24 testimony yesterday that there are a lot of streams 25 down there that are local to those areas. And so it's 26 not that -- everything that these people are harvesting 27 in this area is intercept fishing. Yes, there are some 28 people that have real expensive boats and there are 29 some people that have million dollar seasons but then 30 there's a lot of people that have a more modest harvest 31 and they're -- they are just like other people in rural 32 Alaska, they're just trying to make a living based on 33 the resources that are available to them. 34 35 I've been trying to get a hold of a 36 fellow from King Cove all day to have him come online 37 and explain what this proposal would mean to him. 38 39 To me, I don't have a copy of this 40 current, 5AAC9.365 with me, I have one on my boat but I 41 didn't bring it to this meeting. And Virgil just pulled this management plan from 2001 to 2003 and 43 without having the other plan in front of me to compare 44 the differences, I would have to -- let me think of the 45 term -- it's -- I would have to not vote on it because 46 I don't have enough information comparing the current 47 management plan to what Virgil's proposing here.

I understand everybody's position on

 trying to protect AYK chum salmon stocks, however, I cannot say yes or no whether this specific management plan from 2001 to 2003 with some slight revisions is the best way to go about it. I would ask Madame Chair if we could have this fellow from King Cove speak to us about his thoughts. He grew up in the area. He's at least a second generation fisherman. He knows more about the chum harvest in this area than everyone on this Council combined. But that all takes time and I don't know if you want to get involved with it but I cannot participate in the vote based on the amount of information and discussion we've had so far to have such a radical revision of their management plan.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Any other

16 comments.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Jack, do you have anything to add.

MR. REAKOFF: Well, Virgil made a compelling argument in favor of Proposal 140. The current management plan is not working. We got 900 and some, thousand, chum salmon take and the current management plan makes no consideration of chum salmon interception. The current plan is not working in my mind and I'm supportive of Proposal 140 to at least get it before the Federal -- or the State Board of Fish so that they can reconsideration the impacts to the Kuskokwim and Yukon River fisheries. So I intend to vote for Proposal 140. The Board of Fish will sort this out in the mix. The current plan is not working.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{MADAME}}$ CHAIR PELKOLA: Did you cut out or are you still talking?

MR. REAKOFF: I'm done, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you, Jack. Tim.

 MR. GERVAIS: Madame Chair. Can we check to see if this fellow from King Cove is on the line to explain his understanding of the management plan. His name is Justin Wilson.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. What's

```
50
0321
 1
    the preference of the Board.
 2
 3
                     Kevin.
 4
 5
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Yeah, any testimony is
    good I think but I don't think we should block a
 7
    testifier.
 9
                     MR. REAKOFF: Right.
10
11
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Jack, did you
12
     have something to add.
13
14
                     MR. REAKOFF: Oh, no, I agree with
15
     Kevin. Thank you.
16
17
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. So if you
18
     can get him.
19
20
                     (Pause)
21
22
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Oh, what's his
23
    name?
24
25
                     MR. GERVAIS: His name is Justin
26
    Wilson.
27
28
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Is Justin
29
    Wilson online.
30
31
                     (No comments)
32
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Justin are you
33
34
    there, Justin Wilson.
35
36
                     (No comments)
37
38
                     MR. GERVAIS: Okay, I would just advise
39
     you to proceed if he's not able to call in.
40
41
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. So we
42
     have a motion before us. All in favor of the motion
43
     say aye.
44
45
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
46
47
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. All
48
     opposed.
49
```

```
50
0322
 1
                     (No opposing votes)
 2
 3
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Tim is
 4
     abstaining at this time. The motion passes.
 5
 6
                     MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair. I made a
 7
    mistake on the Proposal number, for the Kuskokwim River
     lawful gear and gear specifications, which is Proposal
 9
     91 submitted by the State Department of Fish and Game
10
     to give more wider range of options with dipnets and
     various other aspects of -- it should -- Proposal 91
11
12
     should be in your packet.
13
14
                     (Pause)
15
16
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Where -- oh, in
17
     our packet.
18
19
                     MR. REAKOFF: In the Board of Fish
20
    proposal packet that was provided by Nissa.
21
22
                     (Pause)
23
24
                     MR. REAKOFF: So I'll make a motion to
25
     adopt Proposal 91.
26
27
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Motion by
28
     Jack seconded by Kevin.
29
30
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Second.
31
32
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay.
33
     Discussion.
34
35
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Madame Chair, this is
36
     Kevin. I would like to make a friendly amendment, if
37
     we can add coho salmon to that motion.
38
39
                     MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair.
40
41
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Jack.
42
43
                     MR. REAKOFF: I'm amicable to including
44
     all salmon species. Would that be appropriate, Kevin?
45
46
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Yeah, let's -- I like
47
     that language, all salmon species if we could make that
48
     amendment, thank you.
49
```

```
50
0323
                     MS. WESSELS: Madame Chair.
 1
 2
 3
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, sorry.
 4
 5
                     MS. WESSELS: Sorry. There's no such
     thing as friendly amendment. You will have to make an
 7
     amendment to the original motion and then vote on the
     amendment and then vote on the original motion as
     amended. Sorry.
 9
10
11
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Say that again -- so I
12
     make an amendment and.....
13
14
                     MS. WESSELS: You make an amendment and
15
    then the Council.....
16
17
                     MR. WHITWORTH: I make a motion to.....
18
19
                     MS. WESSELS: .....votes on your
20
     amendment and then the Council votes on the original
21
    motion as amended.
22
23
                     MR. WHITWORTH: What she said.
24
25
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: So you're
26
     amending what he just said.
27
28
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Yeah, I make an
29
     amendment -- motion to amend the original motion.
30
31
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Is there
32
     a second to that.
33
34
                     MR. REAKOFF: To include all salmon, I
35
     second.
36
37
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. We have a
38
    motion, it was seconded. Discussion.
39
40
                     (No comments)
41
42
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Hearing none
43
     we'll go ahead and vote on the motion. All in favor
44
     for Proposal 91 say aye.
45
46
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
47
48
                     MR. GERVAIS: For the amend.....
49
```

```
50
0324
 1
                     MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair.
 2
 3
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Oh, wait, wait,
 4
    hang on, we're voting on the amendment.
 5
 6
                     MR. REAKOFF: Okay.
 7
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: So all in favor
 9
     for Kevin's amendment say aye.
10
11
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
12
13
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Did Jack vote?
14
15
                     REPORTER: Yes.
16
17
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, I guess
18
     you did.
19
20
                     MR. REAKOFF: I did, sorry.
21
22
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Oh, yeah.
23
    Okay, all opposed same sign.
24
25
                     (No opposing votes)
26
27
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, the motion
28
    passed.
29
30
                     MS. PILCHER: The amended motion.
31
32
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Now we got to go
33
    back to the main motion.
34
35
                     MS. PILCHER: Correct.
36
37
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. The main
38
    motion, all in favor for the main motion say aye.
39
40
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
41
42
                     MR. GERVAIS: As amended.
43
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: As amended,
44
45
     thank you.
46
47
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
48
49
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: All in -- all
```

```
50
0325
 1
     opposed say no.
 2
 3
                     (No opposing votes)
 4
 5
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, motion
 6
    passed.
 7
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Madame Chair, sorry, I
 9
    was just trying to be friendly.
10
11
                     (Laughter)
12
13
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: That's okay, I'm
14
     so confused anyways so -- we're all confused right now.
15
16
                     (Laughter)
17
18
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: That's okay,
19
     we're getting through it. Okay, what's next Nissa.
20
                     MS. PILCHER: Yep, so those were the
21
22
     two proposals that Jack outlined that he'd like the
23
     Council to go over but if there's any other proposals,
24
     any other State Board of Fish proposals the Council
25
     would like to take up this would be an opportunity.
26
27
                     MR. REAKOFF: If the Council has a
28
     quick moment, the Koyukuk River Advisory Committee has
29
     Proposal 104 to allow hook and line for subsistence
30
     from Nulato up the Koyukuk River to the closed area of
31
     the Dalton Highway Corridor. The Koyukuk River
32
     Advisory Committee wanted to have hook and line as a
33
     legal gear type for subsistence fishing.
34
35
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Is that a
36
     -- this....
37
38
                     MR. REAKOFF: 104 should be in your
39
     packet.
40
41
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: It's in our
42
    packet?
43
44
                     MR. REAKOFF: It should be.
45
46
                     (Pause)
47
48
                     MR. REAKOFF: No, I'm wrong on my
49
     number again. It's Proposal 79, excuse me. I got my
```

```
50
0326
1
    page number and proposal number mixed up.
 2
 3
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, Proposal
 4
     79, I don't know where it is. Hang on.
 5
 6
                     (Pause)
 7
 8
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Oh, first page.
 9
    Okay, so Jack you made a motion to accept Proposal 79.
10
11
                     MR. REAKOFF: I'll make that motion to
12
     accept -- to adopt Proposal 79 for gear specifications
13
     to allow hook and line fishing from the Nulato River
14
     mouth up to the Koyukuk River up to the permit area of
15
     the South Fork and Middle Fork of the Koyukuk River.
16
     That's the motion.
17
18
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Is there a
19
     second to that motion.
20
21
                     MR. GERVAIS: Seconded.
22
23
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Motion
24
    made by Jack to accept Proposal 79, seconded by Tim.
25
26
                     Discussion.
27
28
                     (No comments)
29
30
                     MR. SIMON: Question.
31
32
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, Pollock
33
     called for the question. All in favor of adopting the
34
     Proposal 79 say aye.
35
36
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
37
38
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: All opposed same
39
     sign.
40
41
                     (No opposing votes)
42
43
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Does the
44
    Board have any other proposals.
45
46
                     MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair.
47
48
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Jack, go
49
     ahead.
```

50 0327 MR. REAKOFF: That's all the proposals 1 I feel the Council -- that's imperative for the Council 2 3 to address at this time. The Council is tired and I feel that it was -- that's all we need from there 5 unless other Council members have a proposal. 7 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Does 8 anybody -- other Council members have any other 9 proposal they want to look at or pass. 10 11 (No comments) 12 13 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, I think 14 not -- it looks like it. So we'll go ahead -- are you 15 done, Jack, with that? 16 17 MR. REAKOFF: Yes. 18 19 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. So now 20 we're on to Council closing comments. 21 22 Pollock, closing comments. 23 24 MR. SIMON: Thank you, Madame Chair. 25 I'm glad that we had a sit down meeting, face to face. we never had a meeting like this for a couple years. 27 It's good to see all of you, all the different 28 agencies. I listened to teleconference a few times the 29 last couple years but the phone, the landline, phones 30 in my home, so I had trouble trying to call in so I 31 didn't like it much but now I'm happy I see all of you. 32 33 Thank you, Madame Chair. 34 35 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, 36 Pollock. 37 38 Tim. 39 40 MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Madame Chair. 41 I'd like to thank all the Council members and Staff for 42 helping us put the meeting on. I'd like to thank the 43 community of Fairbanks for hosting us. I wish Jack a 44 good recovery from his accident. I appreciate Jenny 45 and Nissa for working together and keeping our meeting 46 orderly and efficient. 47 48 In speaking with people during the 49 meeting we had some reference to continued wanton waste

of moose meat coming out of the Melozi River with the same guiding outfits, Craig Hill's so we're going to --as a community of Ruby we're going to pursue documenting this and trying to get the correct law enforcement or whatever is the correct agencies involved with getting rid of that problem of wanton waste coming out of the Melozi which has been going on for probably about a decade now. It's really sad that this is continuing on.

I'd like to express appreciation to all the subsistence users on both rivers, all three rivers, counting the Koyukuk for maintaining respect for the regulation and the closures and not engaging in much illegal salmon fishing so we can all share the burden of conservation. I hope we have some successes.

Over the winter we're trying to get various agencies, and the ADF&G and the North Pacific Council in taking some more significant action to address the lack of salmon on all these rivers.

And that's about it for tonight.

Thank you.

Don.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Tim.

MR. HONEA: Yeah, I'd like to thank our Coordinator here for bringing me in a day earlier because inclimate weather -- you saw how it was yesterday and I believe -- and we have sometimes a hard time getting into the village of Ruby. Galena might be -- might -- you know they got a pretty good size airport there and -- but, yeah, so I'm -- I will sign up again for -- I guess it'd be a year from now or whatever, that I'd serve, but I appreciate everyone bringing their -- and -- you know bringing their concerns to us, to the RAC. I mean just as PJ did, public testimony, I think is really meaningful when we hear from the participants, when we hear their problems and stuff like that. And I -- it just -- it just bothers me that some areas are not represented well.

I don't believe in this calling kind of business. I think we should really be compensated for that. It's really difficult to talk over the phone.

50 0329 When I got to, you know, borrow my wife's phone or 1 2 completely hang up or something like that, you know, we 3 -- maybe we don't want to talk about it but I think we 4 should. I think it should be compensated as to the guy 5 that wrote us the letter about this. 6 7 Other than that I appreciate each one 8 of you because -- Darrell and Tommy and they always, 9 you know, all them guys always bring it from the --10 straight from the heart. They were talking about a fish camp up here or -- or, you know, really good stuff. I 11 12 mean, you know, talking about stuff that I don't know 13 about that I, you know, there's -- maybe there's no --14 like he's talking about, the gravel -- a gravel bar and 15 stuff, or circumstances that, you know, each time we 16 come here it's kind of a -- it's a learning thing. 17 And, you know, my position right now, I'm more 18 concerned about our Refuges and our game populations. 19 Aaron talked about the problem of -- a moose population 20 exploding on the Lower Yukon. You remember 20, 30 21 years ago it was controversial for that area, there 22 were hunters coming up into the GASH area and -- and is 23 it kind of ironic that now they have so much that they 24 -- that they have a -- that they have to have a winter 25 season, a cow season and something, that's a good 26 problem. So I'm just going to keep trying to, you 27 know, at least if -- and I mentioned this before -- if 28 we don't have no fish I'm going to at least try to keep 29 our game populations. 30 31 With that thank you. 32 33 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Don. 34 35 Kevin. 36 37 MR. WHITWORTH: Thank you, Madame 38 Chair. First I just want to thank you for stepping up 39 while Jack's recovering, and, Jack, I hope you can 40 recover soon and see you at the next face to face 41 meeting. Nissa thank you for your coordination and 42 getting us all here and a place to stay. 43 44 OSM Staff, thanks for all the analysis 45 and it was a good meeting. 46

Thank you very much.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you,

47

50 0330 1 Kevin. 2 3 Jack. 4 5 MR. REAKOFF: Thank you, Madame Chair. I really appreciate you stepping up to Chair the 7 meeting. I'm really sorry I had to be on the phone here. I still am crawling for three weeks on my floor 9 and I can't put any weight on my feet. I do really 10 appreciate how the meeting progressed. We covered a lot of issues. And I appreciate Nissa is getting up to 11 12 speed on how the Federal process works. And I really 13 appreciate all the U.S. agencies, and the State of 14 Alaska that participated in this meeting. 15 16 I do feel that this Council has been 17 very effective and we'll try to avoid any kind of 18 meeting conflicts in the future. When we set those 19 dates last time we didn't realize that we were going to 20 have this AFN pop up during this meeting. 21 22 I do hope that we can get 23 representation for some areas of our region, the GASH 24 region and the lower and middle Kuskokwim down towards 25 Aniak would be a -- there's got to be some 26 representatives to speak well for those portions of our 27 region. 28 29 I appreciate all the Council members 30 diligence in attending and I hope to see you face to 31 face at the next meeting. 32 33 Thank you. 34 35 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Jack. 36 I would also like to thank Nissa for her help and like she said this is her second meeting. Thank you, you 37 38 did a good job. I'd thank the Board members, thank you 39 for sitting here and bearing with just listening and 40 getting educated for the same time. Thank you to the 41 Staff, you did a good job. 42 43 I don't know if you noticed but I was 44 timing every agency to see how you did and you all did 45 pretty good. Most of you stayed within 10 minutes, 46 which was very good. 47

(Laughter)

48

50 0331 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: And if we didn't 1 have any questions from the Board you would have all 2 3 hit like maybe eight minutes. You did very good. 5 (Laughter) 6 7 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: I'd like to thank our recorder, Tina. I know her as Tina, I don't 9 know what you know her as -- I've known Tina since I've 10 been on the Board so -- and she's always so patient, 11 thank you very much. 12 13 And the rest of the Staff that helped 14 us. 15 16 November 21 and we had only four 17 standing members on the Council and that's when I ran 18 the meeting on the phone and I just wanted to thank 19 Jack and Tim for being online that time, I had to go a 20 lot to them for support and they did it, thank you very 21 much. 22 23 24 25 26

And in this last -- I couldn't attend the last meeting due to my brother passed away the same day the meeting was held and I just think back since February I lost four family members so it's -- you know, we've just been losing a lot of people in our area.

Again, I'd just like to thank everyone. I think we did a good job. As you noticed, I said this morning, my head was like a little owl, I was trying to look at everybody and thank you for bearing with me.

(Laughter)

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34 35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

48

49

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: This has been an experience for me. When I listen to Kevin speak, or the younger guys speak I was thinking, what am I doing on this Board we need younger people on here but we also need the older people for guidance, I guess.

And I've always been pushing for a woman to get on the Board because I've been on the Board for a long time with -- just by myself. We had a lady before, she came to one meeting and I don't know what happened, and we have another lady on the Board and I've never met her. But I keep pushing for women in our area to get on the Board. I think we need to

```
50
0332
 1
    hear from both sides because the men have a lot of -- I
     don't know what you want to say, but the woman also
     have -- we have a lot of leadership in our women.
 3
 4
 5
                     So I just want to thank you again.
     It's been a long two days and we did it, thank you.
 7
                     One more -- yes, Tina -- or Nissa.
 9
10
                     MS. PILCHER: You just.....
11
12
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, we got
13
     done with our closing comments, we're at the end.
14
15
                     (Laughter)
16
17
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, is there a
18
     motion to adjourn.
19
20
                     MR. REAKOFF: Make a motion to adjourn,
     Madame Chair.
21
22
23
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Motion by
24
     Jack seconded by .....
25
26
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Second.
27
28
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: ....Kevin. All
29
     in favor say aye.
30
31
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
32
33
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: So we're done at
34
     6 -- 5:00 -- 5:55.
35
36
                     MR. GERVAIS: All opposed.
37
38
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: All opposed.
39
40
                     (No opposing votes)
41
42
                     MR. REAKOFF: Safe travels.
43
44
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Adjourned.
45
46
                     (Off record)
47
48
                       (END OF PROCEEDINGS)
49
```

50	
0333	
1	CERTIFICATE
2	THITTED OF THE O
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
4)ss.
5	STATE OF ALASKA)
6	
7	I, Salena A. Hile, Notary Public in and for the
8	state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix Court
9	Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify:
10	
11	THAT the foregoing pages numbered through
12	contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the
13	WESTERN INTERIOR SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL
14	MEETING, VOLUME II taken electronically on the 20th day
15	of October 2022;
16	
17	THAT the transcript is a true and
18	correct transcript requested to be transcribed and
19	thereafter transcribed by under my direction and
20	reduced to print to the best of our knowledge and
21	ability;
22	
23	THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or
24	party interested in any way in this action.
25	
26	DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 9th
27	day of December 2022.
28	
29	
30	
31	Salena A. Hile
32	Notary Public, State of Alaska
33	My Commission Expires: 09/16/26
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	